



COLECCION HISTORICA

1  
2  
3  
4 LOW INPUT TECHNOLOGY FOR MANAGING

5 OXISOLS AND ULTISOLS IN TROPICAL AMERICA

6  
7  
8 Pedro A. Sanchez  
9 Soil Science Department  
10 North Carolina State University

11 and

12  
13 José G. Salinas  
14 Tropical Pastures Program  
15 Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical  
16 Cali, Colombia



17  
18 BIBLIOTECA

19 8 SET. 1992  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION . . . . .

- A. Acid Soils of the Tropics . . . . .
- B. Conceptual Basis of Low Input Technology . . . . .
- C. Main Components of Low Input Technology. . . . .

II. SITE SELECTION . . . . .

III. SELECTION OF ACID-TOLERANT GERMPLASM . . . . .

- A. Annual Food Crops . . . . .
- B. Perennial and Tree Crops . . . . .
- C. Grass and Legume Pastures . . . . .
- D. Conclusions . . . . .

IV. DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF GROUND COVER

- A. Land Clearing Methods in Rainforests . . . . .
- B. Soil Dynamics After Clearing Rainforests . . . . .
- C. Land Preparation and Plant Establishment  
in Rainforests . . . . .
- D. Land Clearing Methods in the Savannas . . . . .
- E. Crop and Pasture Establishment in Savannas . . . . .
- F. Maintenance of Established Pastures . . . . .
- G. Mulching, Green Manures and Managed Fallows . . . . .
- H. Intercropping and Multiple Cropping Systems . . . . .
- I. Conclusions . . . . .

V. MANAGEMENT OF SOIL ACIDITY . . . . .

- A. Lime to Decrease Aluminum Saturation . . . . .
- B. Lime as Calcium and Magnesium Fertilizer . . . . .
- C. Selection of Aluminum-Tolerant Varieties . . . . .
- D. Selection of Manganese-Tolerant Varieties . . . . .
- E. Conclusions . . . . .

VI. PHOSPHORUS MANAGEMENT . . . . .

- A. Rates and Placement Methods . . . . .
- B. The Need to Improve Soil Fertility Evaluation  
Procedures . . . . .
- C. Use of Less Soluble Phosphorus Sources . . . . .
- D. Decrease Phosphorus Fixation with Liming . . . . .
- E. Select Varieties Tolerant to Low Levels of  
Available Soil Phosphorus . . . . .
- F. Potential Utilization of More Effective Mycorrhizal  
Association . . . . .

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

CONTENTS (Continued)

Page

G. Conclusions . . . . .

VII. MANAGEMENT OF LOW NATIVE SOIL FERTILITY . . . . .

    A. Maximum Use of Biological Nitrogen Fixation . . . . .

    B. Increase the Efficiency of Nitrogen and  
        Potassium Fertilization . . . . .

    C. Identify and Correct Deficiencies of Sulfur  
        and Micronutrients . . . . .

    D. Promote Nutrient Recycling . . . . .

    E. Conclusions . . . . .

VIII. DISCUSSION . . . . .

    A. Low vs. High Input Approaches . . . . .

    B. Productivity of Low Input Systems . . . . .

    C. Soil Mining or Soil Improvement? . . . . .

IX. SUMMARY . . . . .

X. LITERATURE CITED . . . . .

## 1 I. INTRODUCTION

2  
3 The outcome of the race between world food production and popula-  
4 tion will largely be determined in the tropics, where most of the  
5 world's undernourished people live. During the decade from 1965 to  
6 1975 food production increased at a slightly faster rate than population  
7 in food deficit countries (IFPRI, 1978). This achievement is due to a  
8 number of factors among which the predominant agronomic one is the de-  
9 velopment and adoption of high yielding varieties of several crops with  
10 improved agronomic practices. Most of these varieties were selected for  
11 their ability to produce high grain yields under conditions of little or  
12 no soil or water stress. Not surprisingly, their adoption has been most  
13 successful when grown on fertile, high base status soils with sufficient  
14 fertilization and a reliable water supply. The elimination of soil con-  
15 straints by applications of the necessary amounts of fertilizers and  
16 amendments can be considered as high input soil management technology.  
17 Its basic concept is to change the soil to fit the plant's nutritional  
18 demands. This high input approach is largely responsible for our pre-  
19 sent world food production levels and undoubtedly must continue where  
20 economic conditions permit.

21 The applicability of high input soil management technologies, *however,*  
22 diminishes in marginal lands where soil and water constraints are not  
23 easily overcome at low cost. The rising price spiral of petroleum-  
24 related products since 1973 has further limited the economic feasibility  
25 of soil management technologies based on the intensive use of purchased  
26 inputs, particularly for farmers with limited resources in the tropics.  
27 Many research efforts in the tropics are now directed towards developing

1  
2 low input soil management technology, which does not aim at eliminating  
3 the use of fertilizers or amendments but rather at maximizing the effi-  
4 ciency of purchased input use through a series of practices. The basic  
5 concept of low input soil management technology is to make the most  
6 efficient use of scarce purchased inputs by planting species or vari-  
7 eties more tolerant to the soil constraints, and thus decrease the rates  
8 of fertilizer applications while attaining reasonable, but not neces-  
9 sarily maximum yields.

10 Although basic knowledge about plant adaptation to acid soil  
11 stresses have been available for decades (Levitt, 1978), systematic re-  
12 search for developing technology based on this concept began only a few  
13 years ago (Foy and Brown, 1964; Spain et al., 1975; NCSU, 1975; Foy,  
14 1976a; Salinas and Sanchez, 1976; Wright, 1976; Foy and Fleming, 1978;  
15 Loneragan, 1978). These efforts have caused considerable controversy  
16 and some misinterpretations, such as the belief that "fertilizer-proof"  
17 cultivars can be developed and concerns about "mining the soil of its  
18 available nutrients."

19 The purpose of this review is to bring together examples of low  
20 input soil management technology for well-drained, acid, inherently  
21 infertile soils of the American tropics classified mainly as Oxisols  
22 and Ultisols. These examples are components of an overall production  
23 system, but seldom have all the necessary components been developed for  
24 one specific farming system. Most of the examples are drawn from  
25 tropical America, reflecting the author's<sup>g</sup> experience, without resting  
26 importance to related work performed in other parts of the world. Soil  
27

1  
2 taxonomy terminology (Soil Conservation Service, 1975), including soil  
3 moisture regimes will be used.

4 A. Acid Soils of the Tropics

5 At the broadest possible level of generalization there are three  
6 main avenues for increasing food production in the tropics: Increasing  
7 yields per unit area in presently cultivated regions, opening new lands  
8 to cultivation, and expanding irrigation. The first two require the  
9 alleviation or elimination of soil constraints, while the third elimi-  
10 nates water stress as the main constraint. Bentley <sup>et al.</sup> (1980) has examined  
11 these three alternatives and concluded that all three are needed, al-  
12 though the irrigation alternative is limited to relatively small areas  
13 and is the costliest of the three. There is little question that in-  
14 creasing productivity in land already under cultivation is the principal  
15 avenue for increasing world food production. Recent FAO estimates  
16 quoted by Dudal (1980) however, show that in order for per capita food  
17 production to remain at the present but largely inadequate levels, food  
18 production must increase by 60% within the next 20 years. Dudal further  
19 estimates that increasing yields on lands already in use is not suffi-  
20 cient; an additional 200 million ha of land must be incorporated into  
21 agriculture during the next two decades in order to accomplish this  
22 goal. This amount is roughly equivalent to the present cropland area  
23 of the United States. Is this possible? The answer is largely depen-  
24 dent on the use made of the acid soils of the tropics.

25 1. Extension and importance. The world is currently utilizing  
26 about 40% of its potentially arable land resources (Buringh et al.,  
27 1975). The greatest potential for expanding the world's agricultural

1  
2 frontier lies in the tropical rainforest and savanna regions dominated  
3 by acid, infertile soils classified mainly as Oxisols and Ultisols  
4 (Kellogg and Orvedal, 1969; National Academy of Sciences, 1977a). These  
5 vast regions have a large proportion of favorable topography for agri-  
6 culture, adequate temperatures for plant growth throughout the year,  
7 sufficient moisture year-round in 70% of the region, and for six to  
8 nine months in the remaining 30% (Sanchez, 1977). The paramount limit-  
9 ing factors preventing widespread agricultural development in these  
10 areas are low native soil fertility and the limited transportation and  
11 market infrastructure.

12 Table 1 shows the approximate extension of areas dominated by  
13 Oxisols and Ultisols in the tropics. As a whole, they account for  
14 about 1582 million ha or 43% of the tropical world. The almost equal  
15 proportion of Oxisols and Ultisols differ from previous estimates  
16 (Sanchez, 1976), as new information shows that there are less Oxisols  
17 than previously thought in Africa and Latin America. The sum of areas  
18 dominated by Oxisols and Ultisols however, remains similar to previous  
19 estimates. The largest concentration of Oxisols occurs in the South  
20 American savannas, the eastern Amazon, and parts of Central Africa.  
21 These soils are generally located in old, stable land surfaces, which  
22 makes them attractive for mechanized agriculture. Ultisols are  
23 scattered over large areas of tropical America, Africa and Southeast  
24 Asia. Many of these regions are being rapidly developed.

25 There are other acid soils with similar properties and potentials  
26 included in other rows of Table 1: Acid, well drained Inceptisols  
27 (Dystropepts), acid volcanic ash soils (Dystrandpepts), and acid, well

1  
2 drained red sands (Oxic Quartzipsamments). Excluded from consideration  
3 in this paper are acid soils which are poorly drained and have an aquic  
4 soil moisture regime.

5 Tropical America, at the broadest level of generalization, can be  
6 subdivided into two major regions in terms of farming systems and soil  
7 constraints (Sanchez and Cochrane, 1980). About 30% of tropical America  
8 (405 million ha) is dominated by relatively fertile, high base status  
9 soils which support dense populations. The remaining 70% of the tropical  
10 portions of the Western Hemisphere is dominated by acid, infertile soils  
11 of the orders Oxisols and Ultisols with relatively low population den-  
12 sities and mostly under savanna and forest vegetation.

13 In spite of a widespread belief that Oxisols and Ultisols cannot  
14 support intensive and sustained agriculture in the tropics (McNeil,  
15 1964; Goodland and Irwin, 1975), there is ample evidence that they can  
16 be continuously cultivated and intensively managed for growing annual  
17 crops (Sanchez, 1977; Marchetti and Machado, 1980), pastures (Vicente-  
18 Chandler et al., 1974) and permanent crops (Alvim, 1976). This is also  
19 the case with Oxisols and Ultisols of Hawaii, and Ultisols of south-  
20 eastern United States and southeastern China where they support large  
21 populations.

22 2. Major constraints. The major soil-related constraints of  
23 tropical America and its acid infertile soil region are shown in Table 2,  
24 based on preliminary estimates. The most widespread ones in the acid  
25 soil regions are chemical rather than physical, including deficiency of  
26 phosphorus, nitrogen, potassium, sulfur, calcium, magnesium and zinc  
27 plus aluminum toxicity and high phosphorus fixation. The main soil



1  
2 drained red sands (Oxic Quartzipsamments). Excluded from consideration  
3 in this paper are acid soils which are poorly drained and have an aquic  
4 soil moisture regime.

5 Tropical America, at the broadest level of generalization, can be  
6 subdivided into two major regions in terms of farming systems and soil  
7 constraints (Sanchez and Cochrane, 1980). About 30% of tropical America  
8 (405 million ha) is dominated by relatively fertile, high base status  
9 soils which support dense populations. The remaining 70% of the tropical  
10 portions of the Western Hemisphere is dominated by acid, infertile soils  
11 of the orders Oxisols and Ultisols with relatively low population den-  
12 sities and mostly under savanna and forest vegetation.

13 In spite of a widespread belief that Oxisols and Ultisols cannot  
14 support intensive and sustained agriculture in the tropics (McNeil,  
15 1964; Goodland and Irwin, 1975), there is ample evidence that they can  
16 be continuously cultivated and intensively managed for growing annual  
17 crops (Sanchez, 1977; Marchetti and Machado, 1980), pastures (Vicente-  
18 Chandler et al., 1974) and permanent crops (Alvim, 1976). This is also  
19 the case with Oxisols and Ultisols of Hawaii, and Ultisols of south-  
20 eastern United States and southeastern China where they support large  
21 populations.

22 2. Major constraints. The major soil-related constraints of  
23 tropical America and its acid infertile soil region are shown in Table 2,  
24 based on preliminary estimates. The most widespread ones in the acid  
25 soil regions are chemical rather than<sup>3</sup> physical, including deficiency of  
26 phosphorus, nitrogen, potassium, sulfur, calcium, magnesium and zinc  
27 plus aluminum toxicity and high phosphorus fixation. The main soil

1  
2 physical constraints are low available water holding capacity of many  
3 Oxisols and the susceptibility to erosion and compaction of many  
4 Ultisols with sandy topsoil texture. Laterite hazards cover a minor  
5 areal extent and most of the soft plinthite occurs in subsoil layers in  
6 flat topography not prone to erosion. In contrast, the high base status  
7 soil region of tropical America, the main soil constraints are drought  
8 stress, nitrogen deficiency and erosion hazards (Sanchez and Cochrane,  
9 1980).

10 When the chemical soil constraints are eliminated by liming and  
11 application of the necessary amounts of fertilizers, the productivity  
12 of these Oxisols and Ultisols are among the highest in the world. For  
13 example, Figure 1 shows the annual dry matter production of an Elephant  
14 grass (Pennisetum purpureum) under intensive nitrogen fertilization in  
15 an Ultisol of Puerto Rico, where all other fertility constraints have  
16 been eliminated. This yield approximates the calculated maximum poten-  
17 tial of tropical latitudes of 60 tons/ha/yr of dry matter according to  
18 DeWitt (1967). Another example is shown in Figure 2, where excellent  
19 corn yields on the order of 6.3 tons/ha/crop were obtained on a sus-  
20 tained basis in clayey Oxisol from Brasília, Brazil, when its high  
21 phosphorus requirement was satisfied by one broadcast application of  
22 563 kg P/ha and other chemical soil constraints corrected by liming and  
23 fertilization.

24 These management strategies can be very profitable, even at pre-  
25 sent prices, when the market provides a favorable ratio of crop prices  
26 to fertilizer cost. Whenever economics and infrastructure considera-  
27

1  
2 tions make these high input strategies profitable, they should be vigor-  
3 ously pursued.

4 B. Conceptual Basis of Low Input Technology

5 In the majority of acid soil regions in the tropics, such favorable  
6 market conditions do not exist, either because fertilizers and lime are  
7 expensive or not available at all, because transportation costs are ex-  
8 cessive, or simply because the risks are too high. The first two situ-  
9 ations are self-explanatory. The third one is illustrated in Figure 3,  
10 showing the response to phosphorus by Phaseolus vulgaris beans in a  
11 Typic Dystrandept from Popayán, Colombia, with a high capacity to fix  
12 phosphorus. The optimum phosphorus application rate according to mar-  
13 ginal analysis was 507 kg P/ha taking into consideration the residual  
14 effects for two subsequent crops. When the costs were further analyzed,  
15 economists found that farmers needed to invest a total of US\$1500/ha per  
16 crop to approach these maximum yields and obtain a net profit of  
17 US\$375/ha (CIAT, 1979). Although this represents a 25% return on the  
18 investment, most farmers with limited resources are unwilling to make  
19 such an investment, considering the risk due to high variability in  
20 yields caused by drought, disease, insect attacks, and unpredictable  
21 price fluctuations.

22 Low input soil management technology is based on three main prin-  
23 ciples: 1) Adapt plants to the soil constraints, rather than eliminat-  
24 ing all soil constraints to meet the plant's requirements, 2) maximize  
25 the output per unit of added chemical input, and 3) take advantage of  
26 favorable attributes of acid, infertile soils. It should be emphasized  
27 that the elimination of fertilization is not contemplated

1  
2 1. Use plants adapted to soil constraints. The first basic con-  
3 cept of low input soil management technology for acid soils is to alle-  
4 viate or overcome certain soil constraints simply by using species or  
5 varieties which are tolerant to them. Among the soil constraints listed  
6 in Table 2 more knowledge is available on tolerance to aluminum toxicity,  
7 followed by tolerance to low levels of available soil phosphorus. Less  
8 information is available on tolerance to manganese toxicity and low  
9 levels of other nutrients.

10 Figures 4 and 5 illustrate the concept with two different yield re-  
11 sponses patterns to liming in two savanna Oxisols. Figure 4 shows the  
12 differential response of two upland rice cultivars grown on an Oxisol of  
13 Carimagua, Colombia with a pH value of 4.5 and 83% aluminum saturation  
14 prior to lime application. The tall variety Colombia 1 produced twice  
15 the yield without lime as the short statured IR 5. Colombia 1 responded  
16 positively only to the first increment of lime (0.5 tons/ha) and nega-  
17 tively afterwards. Spain et al., (1975) attributed this behavior to a  
18 nutritional response to the calcium and magnesium content of lime and to  
19 lodging at higher lime rates. In contrast IR 5, bred under high fer-  
20 tility conditions in the Philippines, produced a typical quadratic re-  
21 sponse to lime attaining its maximum yield at the highest lime rate,  
22 which raised the pH to 5.5 and decreased aluminum saturation to 15%.  
23 The maximum yield attained by the aluminum-sensitive IR 5 cultivar was  
24 lower than the maximum yield attained by the aluminum-tolerant Colombia 1  
25 cultivar, which required less than one-tenth of the lime application.  
26 The type of differential response shown in Figure 4 shows an overwhel-  
27 ming advantage of the aluminum-tolerant cultivar.

Figure 5 illustrates a less dramatic but perhaps more common type of differential response to lime. Two grain sorghum hybrids were grown at different lime rates in a Typic Haplustox near Brasília, Brazil, which at the time of planting had a topsoil pH value of 4.4 and 79% aluminum saturation (NCSU, 1976; Salinas, 1978). The Taylor Evans Y-101 hybrid produced about four times more grain without liming than RS-610. This difference decreased with increasing lime rate and disappeared at the highest lime rate where both hybrids produced the same maximum yield of 6.8 tons/ha. The dotted lines of this figure indicate considerable savings in lime requirements to obtain 80 and 90% of maximum yields. For 80% maximum yields the aluminum-tolerant hybrid required 1.3 tons/ha of lime and the aluminum-sensitive one 2.9. For 90% maximum yield the lime requirements were 2.0 tons/ha for the aluminum-tolerant hybrid and 5.2 tons/ha for the aluminum-sensitive one. The use of aluminum-tolerant cultivars, therefore, can significantly decrease input use without a sacrifice in yields at 80 to 90% of the maximum.

These two examples illustrate the need for researchers to include more treatments at lower input rates than in the past in order to observe whether differential tolerance exists. If these experiments would not have included rates of 0.5 or 1 ton lime/ha, the effects may not have been observed, as cultivar differences tend to disappear at high input rates.

2. Maximize output per unit of fertilizer input. Traditional methods used for determining optimum fertilizer rates are based on marginal analysis where the optimum level is reached when the revenue of the last increment of fertilizer equals its added cost. This is designed

1  
2 to maximize yields and profit per unit area. A major disadvantage of  
3 this approach is that the optimum economic fertilizer rates frequently  
4 fall in the flatter portion of the response curve, where large incre-  
5 ments in the fertilizer input cause small increases in yields. Given  
6 the uncertainties associated in predicting yields under tropical condi-  
7 tions, these small yield increases are seldom realistic. A common fea-  
8 ture of yield response curves in Oxisol-Ultisol regions is that the  
9 amount of fertilizer required to produce 80% of the maximum or optimum  
10 yield is considerably lower than the amount required to reach the max-  
11 imum or optimum point. In Figure 3 the optimum level of phosphorus  
12 application according to marginal analysis is 507 kg P/ha. If only 80%  
13 of that optimum yield is desired, this amount decreases to less than  
14 half, 242 kg P/ha. Other examples from Oxisol-Ultisol regions presented  
15 in Table 3, show that fertilizer or lime rates decrease by 33 to 76%  
16 when the target yield is lowered to 80% of the maximum. This table in-  
17 cludes two examples of the effect of phosphorus and lime applications  
18 for a sufficiently long period of time to adequately evaluate their re-  
19 sidual effects. The reduction in input is on the order of 50 to 75% in  
20 these cases. Consequently, by lowering yield expectations, the cost of  
21 input use can be reduced by a considerable amount.

22 Boyd (1970, 1974) in England, and Bartholomew (1972) in the United  
23 States summarized large numbers of fertilizer response functions from  
24 all over the world and concluded that in most instances fertilizer re-  
25 sponse curves can be characterized by a sharp linear increase followed  
26 by a flat horizontal line. In essence, the above approach follows  
27 Liebig's Law of the Minimum. Several techniques have been developed to

1  
2 put this principle to practice in interpreting fertilizer response  
3 curves (Cate and Nelson, 1971; Waugh et al., 1973, 1975; Waggoner and  
4 Norvell, 1979). These methods are now widely used in tropical America.

5 A comparison of the linear approach vs. the conventional marginal  
6 analysis with quadratic equations is shown in Figure 6, using a wheat  
7 data set from Bolivia. This figure shows a lower recommended nitrogen  
8 application rate with the linear model. This rate occurs at a point  
9 along the linear portion of the response curve where the efficiency of  
10 fertilization is highest, measured in terms of units of crop yield per  
11 unit of fertilizer input.

12 One of the authors of this review used previously published data  
13 from a series of nitrogen response studies of rice in Peru to compare  
14 the two ways of developing fertilizer recommendations (Sanchez et al.,  
15 1973). The average nitrogen recommendation was 224 kg N/ha according to  
16 the quadratic model and 170 kg N/ha according to the linear response and  
17 plateau model. The differences in gross returns to fertilization were  
18 not significant but the net return per dollar invested in fertilizer  
19 nitrogen was \$8.8 in the linear plateau model vs. \$6.1 with the qua-  
20 dratic model (Sanchez, 1976). Although the applicability of the linear  
21 response model should be validated locally before using it as the basis  
22 for fertilizer recommendations, the concept of recommending rates that  
23 will produce the maximum output per unit of fertilizer input at an  
24 acceptable yield level is part of low input technologies.

25 It should be emphasized that this approach differs from the FAO  
26 simple fertilizer trials which also advocate the use of lower fertilizer  
27 rates than that suggested by marginal analysis (Hauser, 1974). The

1  
2 difference is that with the linear response and plateau model the yields  
3 at recommended fertilizer rates are on the order of 80% of the maximum,  
4 while the FAO trials normally consist of low fertilizer rates, that  
5 seldom approach maximum yields. Both methods emphasize working on the  
6 linear portion of the fertilizer response curve which produces maximum  
7 output per unit input but differ on the expected yield levels.

8 In addition to methods of determining fertilizer recommendations,  
9 there are a number of agronomic practices that also increase the effi-  
10 ciency of fertilizer use such as better fertilizer sources, timing of  
11 application and placement methods. These and other practices will be  
12 discussed in other sections of this review.

### 13 3. Take advantage of favorable attributes of acid infertile soils.

14 Many Oxisols and Ultisols in their acid state have several positive  
15 agronomic factors that can be used advantageously. By keeping the soil  
16 acid, the solubility of slowly available rock phosphate is higher than  
17 if the soil is limed; ~~soil~~ weed growth is considerably decreased as com-  
18 pared with a limed and fertilized soil. Also the low effective cation  
19 exchange capacity (ECEC) of the soils favors the downward movement of  
20 applied calcium and magnesium to the subsoil. Oxisols and Ultisols with  
21 high phosphorus fixation capacity may produce a longer residual effect  
22 of phosphorus fertilization and a more constant level of phosphorus in  
23 the soil solution than those with lower fixation capacity. Examples of  
24 these observations will be discussed in later sections of this paper.

### 25 C. Main Components of Low input Technology

26 Several concepts or techniques are being developed as building  
27 blocks of low input soil management technology for Oxisols and Ultisols



1  
2 of the tropics. The following is a partial list, some of which can be  
3 combined for certain farming systems:

- 4 1. Selection of most appropriate lands where, because of soil  
5 properties, landscape positions and market accessibility low  
6 input technology has the comparative advantage over high input  
7 technology.
  - 8 2. Use of plant species and varieties that are more tolerant to  
9 the major acid soil constraints as well as being adapted to  
10 climatic, insect and disease stresses.
  - 11 3. Use of low cost and efficient land clearing, plant establish-  
12 ment, cropping systems and other practices to develop and main-  
13 tain a plant canopy over the soil.
  - 14 4. Manage soil acidity with minimum inputs, with emphasis on pro-  
15 moting deep root development into the subsoil.
  - 16 5. Manage phosphorus fertilizers at the lowest possible cost with  
17 emphasis on increasing the efficiency of cheaper sources of  
18 phosphorus and prolonging the residual effects of application.
  - 19 6. Maximize the use of biological nitrogen fixation with emphasis  
20 on acid-tolerant Rhizobium strains.
  - 21 7. Identify and correct deficiencies of other essential plant  
22 nutrients.
- 23  
24  
25  
26  
27

## II. SITE SELECTION

The first step is to select the soils and landscape positions most appropriate for low input technology. This involves avoiding the best lands in terms of high native fertility, irrigation potential or close proximity to the markets. Most of these favored lands could be managed most effectively with high input technologies. In tropical America unfortunately, this is not always the case. It is common to find many valleys where the best bottomland soils are under extensive low input management systems while the attempts are made to farm intensively the adjacent steplands with acid soils. In many cases this is due to land tenure patterns. Efforts should be made to intensify production in the soils with less acute chemical constraints.

Large scale land evaluation schemes have improved our understanding about the areas suitable for low input technologies in tropical America. Approximately <sup>6</sup>/<sub>25</sub> of the Amazon (<sup>3</sup>/<sub>10</sub> million hectares) is dominated by well-drained, high base status soils classified as Alfisols, eutric Inceptisols, Vertisols and Mollisols (Cochrane and Sanchez, 1981). Their higher native fertility gives the comparative advantage to intensive annual food crop production or to acid-sensitive export crops such as cocoa (Theobroma cacao). In addition, the same study indicates that the Amazon has about 11<sup>6</sup> million ha of poorly drained soils either in flood plains or swamps, accounting for <sup>4</sup>/<sub>23</sub> of the basin. Some of the alluvial flood plain areas are already under intense use, such as many "várzeas" in Brazil and many "restingas" in Peru and Ecuador. Flood

1 hazards, however, limit the production potential of the lower topo-  
2 graphic positions.  
3

4 Also to be avoided, but for different reasons are acid infertile  
5 soils with severe physical limitations such as shallow depth or steep  
6 slopes, and coarse sandy soils classified as Psamments or Spodosols  
7 often called "Tropical Pod<sup>z</sup>ols." This latter group has extremely low  
8 native fertility, severe leaching and erosion hazards. These two groups  
9 cover about 41 million ha or 8.5% of the Amazon (Cochrane and Sanchez,  
10 1981). Unfortunately a sizeable proportion of the data gathered by  
11 ecologists to warn about the extreme fragility of the Amazonian environ-  
12 ment has been gathered from these Psamments or Spodosols, which repre-  
13 sent only 2.2% of the region and has combined the worst physical and  
14 chemical soil constraints.

15 The total area to which low input technology may apply in the  
16 Amazon region is therefore on the order of 275 million ha or 57% of the  
17 basin, mainly Oxisols and Ultisols with less than 8% slope.

18 In the savanna regions of tropical America it is less difficult  
19 to identify the soils to be avoided, but the criteria remain the same.  
20 Many of the islands of high fertility soils are already under intensive  
21 production such as in the Eastern Llanos of Venezuela. Steep and  
22 shallow soils are readily recognized in the savanna landscapes. Large  
23 areas of seasonally flooded plains such as parts of the Western Llanos  
24 of Venezuela and its extension into Colombia, and parts of the Beni of  
25 Bolivia and Pantanal of Brazil will require a different management  
26 strategy.  
27

1  
2 In the savanna regions of tropical America the CIAT Land Resource  
3 Study indicates that there are 71 million hectares of Oxisols and  
4 Ultisols with less than 8% slopes (T. T. Cochrane, personal communica-  
5 tion). This corresponds to approximately 24% of the savanna regions  
6 and this is primarily where the low input technology described in this  
7 paper can be applied. These estimates are conservative as it is pos-  
8 sible to produce beef from legume-based pastures at steeper slopes.  
9 There are an additional 19 million hectares of savanna Oxisols and  
10 Ultisols with 8 to 30% slope that could be used for such a purpose.

11 Although the above generalizations provide an overall picture,  
12 actual selection is site-specific. Soil parameters per se are not suf-  
13 ficient for appropriate site selection. Land classification therefore,  
14 is a more useful tool because it also considers climate, landscape,  
15 native vegetation and infrastructure. The land systems approach used  
16 in CIAT's Land Resource Study of Tropical America (CIAT, 1978, 1979)  
17 appears to be an appropriate method for evaluating the potential of  
18 these vast areas. Using a scale of 1:1 million about 500 land systems  
19 have been identified so far, each representing a recurring pattern of  
20 climate, soil, landscape and vegetation (Cochrane, 1979). Soils and  
21 climate are classified according to technical systems such as the  
22 Moisture Availability Index (Hargreaves, 1977; Hancock et al., 1979)  
23 and the Fertility Capability Soil Classification system (Buol et al.,  
24 1975). The data are assembled in computer tapes (Cochrane et al.,  
25 1979). Users of these tapes can produce computer-made maps of specific  
26 regions pinpointing one or several parameters, such as shallow soils,  
27 with more than 60% aluminum saturation at a specific depth.

1  
2 A modification of the USDA Land Use Capability Classification  
3 System has been developed in Brazil to take into account the realities  
4 of the tropical environment. Ramalho et al., (1978) redefined land  
5 capability classes in terms of the high, moderate or low input use.  
6 High input levels mean intensive use of fertilizers, lime, mechanization  
7 and other new technology. "Moderate" input use implies limited fer-  
8 tilizer and less intensive use of mechanization. This corresponds to  
9 the low input technology concept of this paper. Ramalho et al.'s "low"  
10 input use implies primarily manual labor and few if any purchased in-  
11 puts. This interpretive system has been applied to RADAM soil survey  
12 of the Brazilian Amazon (Ministério das Minas e Energia, 1973-1979).

13 Consequently, for low input technology soil management systems it  
14 is appropriate to select Oxisols and Ultisols without steep slopes,  
15 avoiding the high base status soils which can be better put to more in-  
16 tensive use, and also acid soils with severe physical limitations such  
17 as steep slopes, shallow depth, the Spodosols, poorly drained or sea-  
18 sonally flooded soils.

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

## III. SELECTION OF ACID-TOLERANT GERmplasm

A substantial number of plant species of economic importance are generally regarded as tolerant to acid soil conditions in the tropics. Many of them have their center of origin in acid soil regions, suggesting that adaptation to soil constraints is part of the evolutionary process. Also, varieties of certain species also possess acid soil tolerance although the species as a whole does not. These varieties have probably been selected involuntarily by farmers or plant breeders because of their superior behavior under acid soil conditions. Examples of such involuntary selection are well documented in the literature (Foy et al., 1974; Silva, 1976; Martini et al., 1977; Lafever et al., 1977).

The term "acid soil tolerance" covers a variety of individual tolerances to adverse soil factors and the interactions between them. When mentioned in this paper, this term only conveys a qualitative assessment of plant adaptation to acid soil conditions under low fertilizer or lime levels. Quantitative assessments of plant tolerances to acid soil stresses include tolerances to specific levels of aluminum or manganese toxicity, deficiencies of calcium, magnesium, phosphorus and certain micronutrients, principally zinc and copper. The interaction between these factors is also quite important. For example, the calcium level of the soil solution can partially attenuate aluminum toxicity in many plant species (Foy and Fleming, 1978; Rhue, 1979). Tolerance to aluminum and low phosphorus stresses occur together in cultivars of wheat, sorghum, rice and common beans but not on corn (Foy and Brown,

1  
2 1964; Salinas, 1978). The physiological mechanisms involved, however,  
3 are beyond the scope of this paper. The reader is referred to review  
4 articles in books edited by Wright (1976), Jung (1978), Andrew and  
5 Kamprath (1978), and Mussell and Staples (1979) for detailed discussions.

6 Duke (1978) compiled a list of 1031 plant species of economic im-  
7 portance with known tolerances to adverse environmental conditions.

8 Tolerance to "acid soils," "lateritic soils" and "aluminum toxicity"  
9 were included. The first two categories are qualitative assessments,  
10 and the last one identifies only those species with which aluminum tol-  
11 erance studies have been carried out. Duke's list although preliminary  
12 and incomplete, illustrates the broad base of acid-tolerant germplasm.

13 A total of 397 species were listed as tolerant either to acid soils,  
14 lateritic soils or to aluminum toxicity. Of these, 143 species met two  
15 of these criteria and 29 all three. This last number reflects the  
16 limited number of species on which aluminum tolerance studies have been  
17 conducted. Tables 4, 6, 7 and 8 list selected species from Duke's list  
18 which meet at least two of these criteria with modifications, additions  
19 or deletions by the authors of this review, based on their own observa-  
20 tions.

#### 21 A. Annual Food Crops

22 Table 4 shows that several of the world's most important basic  
23 food crop species exhibit a significant degree of acid soil tolerance.  
24 Seven of them, cassava, cowpea, peanut, pigeon pea, plantain, rice and  
25 soybean are considered acid-tolerant species, although there are some  
26 acid-sensitive cultivars. The degree of knowledge as to the nature and  
27 degree of acid soil tolerance varies with the species.

1  
2 Cassava (Manihot esculenta) is more tolerant to high levels of  
3 aluminum and manganese, low levels of calcium, nitrogen and potassium  
4 than many other species (Cock, 1981). Although it has high phosphorus  
5 requirements for maximum growth, cassava apparently can utilize phos-  
6 phorus sources that are relatively unavailable through mycorrhizal asso-  
7 ciations (Cock and Howeler, 1978; Edwards and Kang, 1978). Many cassava  
8 cultivars respond negatively to liming because of zinc deficiency in-  
9 duced by high soil pH levels (Spain et al., 1975). The ability of  
10 cassava to tolerate acid soil stresses may be due to an interesting  
11 mechanism. Cock (1981) observed that cassava leaves maintain an ade-  
12 quate nutritional status in the presence of low nutrient availability.  
13 This is shown in Table 5. Rather than dilute its nutrient concentration  
14 like other plants, cassava responds to nutritional stress by decreasing  
15 its leaf area index. This is one reason why it is difficult to assess  
16 visual symptoms of nutrient deficiency in cassava growing on acid soils.

17 Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) is the major grain legume species con-  
18 sidered most tolerant to acid soil stresses, and specifically to alu-  
19 minium toxicity (Spain et al., 1975; Munns, 1978). Under field condi-  
20 tions in Oxisols cowpea commonly outyields other grain legumes such as  
21 soybean and Phaseolus vulgaris beans at high levels of aluminum satura-  
22 tion (Spain et al., 1975). As in other legumes, the acid soil tolerance  
23 of the associated rhizobia is as important as the acid soil tolerance of  
24 the plant per se (Keyser et al., 1977; Munns, 1978).

25 Peanut (Arachis hypogaea) is also regarded as highly tolerant to  
26 soil acidity (Munns, 1978) although it has a relatively high calcium  
27 requirement. Fortunately small quantities of lime can provide



1  
2 sufficient calcium without altering the soil pH for maximum yields in  
3 Oxisols and Ultisols of the Venezuelan Llanos (C. Sanchez, 1977).

4 Plantain (Musa paradisiaca) is one of the most important carbohy-  
5 drate food sources in many areas of the humid tropics of America and  
6 Africa. Its tolerance to aluminum and general adaptability to acid  
7 soil stresses has been demonstrated in Ultisols of Puerto Rico (Vicente-  
8 Chandler and Figarella, 1967; Plucknett, 1978) and Oxisols of the Llanos  
9 Orientales of Colombia (CIAT, 1975). This crop, however, has relatively  
10 high requirements for nitrogen and potassium. Strong positive responses  
11 to nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, magnesium and micronutrient applica-  
12 tions have been recorded (Caro Costas et al., 1964; Silva and Vicente-  
13 Chandler, 1974; Samuels et al., 1975).

14 Acid soil tolerance of rice (Oryza sativa) under flooded conditions  
15 is normally not of significance. Except in some acid sulfate soils,  
16 the pH of most soils rises to 6 or 7 with flooding as a consequence of  
17 the chemical reduction of iron and manganese oxides and hydroxides  
18 (Ponnamperuma, 1972). Exchangeable aluminum is precipitated out at  
19 these pH levels. In non-flooded systems, many rice varieties are quite  
20 tolerant to aluminum toxicity (as shown in Figure 4) and/or low avail-  
21 able levels of phosphorus (Spain et al., 1975; Howeler and Cadavid,  
22 1976; Salinas and Sanchez, 1976; Ponnamperuma, 1977; Salinas, 1978).  
23 Also, varietal differences in tolerance to manganese toxicity and iron  
24 deficiency in acid soils have been identified (Ponnamperuma, 1976). In  
25 the Oxisol-Ultisol regions of Latin America upland rice is considered  
26 generally more tolerant to acid soil stresses than corn (Salinas and  
27 Sanchez, 1976; Sanchez, 1977).

1  
2 Soybean (Glycine max) can be considered as a generally aluminum-  
3 tolerant species (Foy and Brown, 1964; Pearson et al., 1977; Abruña,  
4 1980). As a species, soybeans <sup>are</sup> ~~are~~ probably less tolerant to overall  
5 acid soil conditions than most of the previously mentioned ones. Con-  
6 siderable varietal differences in tolerance to aluminum exists (Sartain  
7 and Kamprath, 1978; Muzilli et al., 1978; Miranda and Lobato, 1978) as  
8 well as to manganese toxicity (Brown and Jones, 1977b). Unlike the  
9 other grain legumes, rhizobia strains associated with soybeans tend to  
10 be more aluminum-tolerant than the plants (Munns, 1980).

11 Other less common grain legume species are also considered tolerant  
12 to acid soil stresses in Oxisols and Ultisols of the tropics, although  
13 there is little quantitative information about their degree of toler-  
14 ance. They are pigeon peas (Cajanus cajan), lima beans (Phaseolus  
15 lunatus), winged bean (Psophocarpus tetragonolobus) and mung bean  
16 (Vigna radiata), according to Munns (1978).

17 Table 4 also lists eight species where certain cultivars have been  
18 identified as acid soil tolerant but the species as a whole is not.  
19 Great variability exists with (Phaseolus vulgaris) beans common with  
20 some cultivars being tolerant to aluminum toxicity and/or low phosphorus  
21 levels and some highly sensitive to both stresses (Spain et al., 1975;  
22 Whiteaker et al., 1976; Salinas, 1978; CIAT, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980).  
23 In this species, disease and insect stresses, particularly in isohyper-  
24 thermic temperature regimes are more yield limiting than soil con-  
25 straints.

26 Although corn (Zea mays) is considered by some investigators to be  
27 generally acid-tolerant (Rhue, 1979) lime response trials in the tropics

1  
2 tend to demonstrate the opposite. Nevertheless several hybrids and  
3 composites possess a marked degree of aluminum tolerance and/or toler-  
4 ance to phosphorus stress (Fox, 1978; Salinas, 1978).

5 The potato (Solanum tuberosum) has long been considered an acid-  
6 tolerant crop. Potato growers prevent pH values to rise above 5.5 in  
7 order to control the common scab organism, Streptomyces scorbies.  
8 Definite varietal differences in tolerance to aluminum have been estab-  
9 lished (Villagarcía, 1973). Disease problems in isothermic temper-  
10 ature regimes are a greater limitation than acid soil constraints.

11 As a species grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) is poorly adapted to  
12 acid soil conditions, as most of the varietal improvement work on this  
13 crop has been conducted in near neutral or calcareous soils. Fortu-  
14 nately, cultivar differences in terms of aluminum tolerance do exist  
15 (Brown and Jones, 1977a). An example has been shown in Figure 5 adapted  
16 from Salinas (1978). Brown and Jones (1977a) have also reported marke<sup>d</sup>  
17 cultivar differences to copper stress, but none to manganese toxicity.  
18 Cultivar differences in tolerance to phosphorus stress also exist  
19 (Brown et al., 1977).

20 Aluminum tolerance in some sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas) cultivars  
21 have also been identified (Munn and McCollum, 1976). Some varieties  
22 grown in Puerto Rico are quite tolerant to aluminum and manganese tox-  
23 icity (Perez,<sup>Escobar</sup> 1977).

24 Wheat (Triticum aestivum) is probably the species most thoroughly  
25 studied in terms of acid soil tolerance. It is an important crop in  
26 Oxisol-Ultisol regions of Latin America with isothermic or thermic soil  
27 temperature regimes. Varietal differences appear related to the soil

1  
2 acidity status where they were developed (Silva, 1976; Foy et al., 1974).  
3 For example, the well known short statured CIMMYT wheat varieties which  
4 were selected on calcareous soils of northern Mexico perform poorly in  
5 Oxisols of the Cerrado of Brazil in comparison with Brazilian varieties  
6 which were developed there, in spite of their inferior plant type  
7 (Salinas, 1978). Acid soil tolerance in such wheat cultivars is re-  
8 lated to a joint tolerance to aluminum toxicity and low available soil  
9 phosphorus (Salinas, 1978; Miranda and Lobato, 1978). Other studies  
10 also show that aluminum-tolerant varieties perform well at higher per-  
11 cent aluminum saturation levels than aluminum-tolerant soybean varieties  
12 in Oxisols (Muzilli et al., 1978).

#### 13 B. Perennial and Tree Crops

14 Table 6 lists some of the tropical fruit crop species considered  
15 tolerant to acid soil stresses. Some species like pineapple and cashew,  
16 are well known for their adaptation to acid soils. Like the annual food  
17 crops, some species are severely affected by other constraints. For  
18 example, bananas are hampered by diseases and high potassium require-  
19 ments; the citrus species are less productive in isohyperthermic temper-  
20 ature regimes than in cooler climates; mango requires an ustic soil  
21 moisture regime for high productivity.

22 Some important perennial crops and forestry species adapted to  
23 acid soils in the tropics are listed in Table 7. Arabica coffee is very  
24 tolerant to aluminum but sensitive to manganese toxicity (Abruña et al.,  
25 1965). It prefers an isothermic soil temperature regime and a udic soil  
26 moisture regime. Robusta coffee is better adapted to isohyperthermic  
27 regimes but produces lower quality coffee.

1  
2 Among other perennial crops, rubber and oil palm are very well  
3 adapted to Oxisol-Ultisol regions, particularly those with udic isohyper-  
4 thermic regimes (Alvim, 1981; Santana et al., 1975). Sugarcane is also  
5 generally tolerant to acid soil conditions (Abruña and Vicente-Chandler,  
6 1967), but requires large quantities of nitrogen and potassium to sup-  
7 port high production levels.

8 Although many native wood species of the Amazon are tolerant to  
9 acid soil conditions, some of the most promising forestry species are  
10 imported from other regions. Gmelina arborea, Pinus caribea, Dalbergia  
11 nigra and certain species of Eucalyptus have proved to be well adapted  
12 to Oxisols and Ultisols of the Brazilian Amazon without liming (Alvim,  
13 1981). Other species native to the Amazon such as Brazil nut, guaraná  
14 and peach palm also have significant commercial potential.

15 Several important tropical perennial crops are not included in the  
16 above list. Noteworthy among them are cocoa (Theobroma cacao) and  
17 Leucaena leucocephala a legume species with potential for grazing,  
18 browse and firewood (National Academy of Sciences, 1977b). Neither of  
19 these two species are aluminum-tolerant, (Alvim, 1981; Hill, 1970).  
20 Therefore, they are not adapted to acid soils with minimum inputs.  
21 Breeding for aluminum tolerance, however, is proceeding in both species.  
22 In the case of the legume, selection for acid-tolerant Rhizobium strains  
23 is considered of equal importance as plant selection (CIAT, 1979; Munns,  
24 1978).

### 25 C. Grass and Legume Pastures

26 Extensive work on screening grass and legume pasture species for  
27 acid soil tolerance has been conducted in Australia and Latin America

1  
2 (Andrew and Hegarty, 1969; Andrew and Vanden Berg, 1973; Spain et al.,  
3 1975; Andrew, 1976, 1978; Helyar, 1978; CIAT, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1981;  
4 Spain, 1979). A fundamental difference of the work in the ~~different~~ <sup>two</sup>  
5 continents is that aluminum toxicity is infrequent in the tropical pas-  
6 ture regions of Australia while the opposite is the case in tropical  
7 pasture regions of Latin America (Sanchez and Isbell, 1979). The pre-  
8 dominant acid soil stresses in tropical Australia are low phosphorus  
9 and, to a lesser extent manganese toxicity. Aluminum toxicity, low  
10 phosphorus availabiltiy and high phosphorus fixation are more important  
11 in tropical America.

12 1. Aluminum tolerance. A wide range of CIAT's forage germplasm  
13 bank is tolerant to high levels of exchangeable aluminum simply because  
14 much of it has been collected from acid, infertile soil regions of trop-  
15 ical America (Schultze-Kraft and Giacometti, 1979). An example of dif-  
16 ferential tolerance to aluminum of four common tropical grasses is shown  
17 in Figure 7 from a culture solution study of Spain (1979). Brachiaria  
18 decumbens shows even a slight positive response to the first increment  
19 of aluminum, and no growth reduction at high concentrations. Panicum  
20 maximum exhibits strong tolerance up to half the aluminum concentration  
21 as Brachiaria decumbens. In contrast, Cenchrus ciliaris, one of the  
22 most widespread tropical grasses in ustic but not acid areas of  
23 Australia, is severely affected by aluminum. This excellent grass is  
24 well adapted to non-acid soils, but to grow well in Oxisol-Ultisol re-  
25 gions it is necessary to completely neutralize the exchangeable aluminum  
26 by liming to pH of 5.5.

1  
2 Figure 8 also adapted from Spain (1979) shows actual responses to  
3 lime applications in an Oxisol of Carimagua, Colombia with pH 4.5 and  
4 90% aluminum saturation before liming. Acid-tolerant grasses such as  
5 Andropogon gayanus, Brachiaria decumbens and Panicum maximum and the  
6 legumes Stylosanthes capitata and Zornia latifolia produced maximum  
7 growth either at 0 or 0.5 ton/ha of lime. The 0.5 ton/ha rate did not  
8 alter soil pH or aluminum saturation, but provided calcium and magnesium  
9 to the plants. Other legumes, particularly Desmodium ovalifolium and  
10 Pueraria phaseoloides appear to require either more calcium and magne-  
11 sium or a lower level of aluminum saturation than the previous group.  
12 Yet, their performance is clearly superior to aluminum-sensitive species  
13 such as grain sorghum and Centrosema plumieri, a legume clearly not  
14 adapted to acid soils. It is also relevant to point out that some  
15 species are aluminum-tolerant but do not grow vigorously in acid soils.  
16 This is the case of Pangola grass (Digitaria decumbens), shown in  
17 Figure 8.

18 2. Low levels of available soil phosphorus. Phosphorus is the  
19 single most expensive input needed in improved pastures in Oxisol-  
20 Ultisol savannas (CIAT, 1979). It is not, however, the only nutrient  
21 that is deficient in these soils, but its correction is usually the most  
22 expensive one. No improved pastures are likely to be established or  
23 maintained without phosphorus fertilization in these savannas. In order  
24 to increase the efficiency of phosphorus fertilization, it is possible  
25 to select plants that have a lower requirement of phosphorus for maximum  
26 growth than those commonly used. Fortunately, aluminum tolerance and  
27 "low phosphorus tolerance" often occur jointly because the latter seems

1 associated with the plant's ability to absorb and translocate phosphorus  
2 from the root to the shoot in the presence of high levels of aluminum  
3 in the soil solution and in root tissue (Salinas, 1978).  
4

5 Several promising grass and legume species require a fraction of  
6 the available soil test phosphorus levels required by annual crops and  
7 much less than other pasture species. For example, the general soil  
8 test critical level used for crops in Colombia is 15 ppm P by the Bray II  
9 method (Marín, 1977). Promising, aluminum-tolerant ecotypes of  
10 Stylosanthes capitata, Zornia latifolia, and Andropogon gayanus require  
11 1/3 to 1/5 of that amount to attain maximum yields. This information  
12 is shown in Table 32 of Section VID.  
13

14 It should be noted that adapted grasses such as Andropogon gayanus  
15 and Brachiaria decumbens require higher critical levels of available  
16 soil phosphorus (5-7 ppm P) than adapted legumes like Stylosanthes  
17 capitata and Zornia latifolia (3-4 ppm P) for near maximum growth (CIAT,  
18 1979). The commonly held view that fertilization of grass-legume mix-  
19 tures should be based on the legume's higher nutritional requirement  
20 does not apply to these species. This has been proven in the field by  
21 Spain (1979), who in addition to phosphorus, observed a higher need for  
22 potassium in the grasses than in the legumes.

23 Field responses during the establishment year show significant dif-  
24 ferences in the levels of phosphorus fertilization needed for near max-  
25 imum growth in an Oxisol with about 1 ppm available P prior to treat-  
26 ment applications (Figure 9). Andropogon gayanus required 50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha  
27 to reach maximum yields, while Panicum maximum required 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha  
and Hyparrhenia rufa required 200 or perhaps more. The latter species,



1  
2 very widespread in Latin America, performs poorly in Oxisol-Ultisol re-  
3 gions because of a generally higher requirement of phosphorus and potas-  
4 sium and a lower tolerance to aluminum than the other two (Spain, 1979).  
5 These differences are quite significant at the animal production level.  
6 At levels of inputs where other grasses produce good cattle liveweight  
7 gains, Hyparrhenia rufa produced serious liveweight losses at Carimagua,  
8 Colombia (Paladines and Leal, 1979).

9 It may be argued that the use of pastures that require less phos-  
10 phorus may provide insufficient phosphorus for animal nutrition. There  
11 is no evidence in the CIAT work that this is so (CIAT, 1978, 1979) but,  
12 if it were, it is probably cheaper to apply to the soil the quantities  
13 required for maximum plant growth and supplement the rest directly to  
14 the animals via salt licks.

15 3. Water stress. The ability to grow and survive the strong dry  
16 seasons of ustic environments under grazing is a necessary requirement  
17 for acid-tolerant forage species, because irrigating pastures is pro-  
18 hibitively expensive in most Oxisol-Ultisol regions. Because of their  
19 aluminum tolerance, roots of adapted forage species are able to pene-  
20 trate deeply into acid subsoils and exploit the residual moisture avail-  
21 able. This is in sharp contrast with aluminum sensitive crops that  
22 suffer severely from water stress even during short dry spells because  
23 their roots are confined to the limed topsoil (Gonzalez et al., 1979).

24 Adapted legume species are generally more tolerant to drought  
25 stress than the grasses species. Also legumes are able to maintain a  
26 higher nutritive value during the dry season than the grasses. For  
27 example, Zornia latifolia 728 contained 23.6% protein in its leaves at

1  
2 the height of the Carimagua dry season, while accompanying grasses hover  
3 at about 5% protein (CIAT, 1979). Among the adapted grasses, Andropogon  
4 gayanus is more tolerant to drought stress than Brachiaria decumbens or  
5 Panicum maximum (CIAT, 1979). Its pubescent leaves also permit dew  
6 drops to remain on the leaves longer than in B. decumbens or P. maximum.  
7 It is common to get one's pant legs wet while walking through an  
8 Andropogon pasture at about 10:00 a.m. in the Llanos or in the Amazon,  
9 when swards of the other two species are already dry.

10 4. Insect and disease attacks. Most of the adapted legume species  
11 have their center of origin in Latin America and therefore, have many  
12 natural enemies. Anthracnose caused by Collectotrichum gloesporoides is  
13 a most devastating disease of legumes (CIAT, 1977, 1978, 1979). Stem-  
14 borers of the genus Caloptilia also destroy several Stylosanthes species  
15 (CIAT, 1979). Spittlebug attacks caused by Deois incompleta and other  
16 species have destroyed thousands of hectares of Brachiaria decumbens  
17 pastures in udic regions of tropical Brazil. The solution to these  
18 problems is varietal resistance since applications of insecticides or  
19 fungicides to these pastures are likely to be uneconomical. Screening  
20 for tolerance to these and other pathogens has provided ecotypes that  
21 combine the adaptation to adverse soil conditions with pathogen resis-  
22 tance. Examples of these to date are several ecotypes of Andropogon  
23 gayanus, Stylosanthes capitata and Desmodium ovalifolium. Several pro-  
24 mising ecotypes of Stylosanthes guianensis, a legume extremely well  
25 adapted to acid soil constraints, unfortunately have succumbed to insect  
26 and disease attacks (CIAT, 1978, 1979). As in other plant improvement  
27

1  
2 programs, the search for new ecotypes that combine tolerance to patho-  
3 gens with other desirable characteristics is a continuing activity.

4 It is interesting to note that plant protection problems increase  
5 in importance after the soil constraints are alleviated by plant selec-  
6 tion or fertilization in Oxisol-Ultisol regions. This may be a conse-  
7 quence of eliminating a previously limiting factor, or of a pathogen  
8 buildup as new plants are grown on many hectares for the first time in a  
9 new environment. This observation applies both to pastures and to an-  
10 nual food crops. Tolerance to disease and insect attacks, however, ;  
11 varies with ecological conditions and therefore, the degree of tolerance  
12 of each promising cultivar must be validated locally.

13 5. Tolerance to burning. Accidental burning is common in savanna  
14 regions and intentional burning may be a necessary management practice  
15 in cases where grasses grow too fast and lose their nutritive value.  
16 Consequently, the adapted pasture species must be able to regrow after  
17 burning. Studies by Jones (CIAT, 1979) show that Andropogon gayanus,  
18 Panicum maximum, Brachiaria decumbens and Brachiaria humidicola regrow  
19 rapidly after burning.

#### 20 D. Conclusions

21 This section shows that there is a broad germplasm base of acid-  
22 tolerant annual crops, permanent crops, tree crops and pasture species.  
23 In addition, selection or breeding programs can provide acid-tolerant  
24 varieties from generally sensitive species. The degree of quantifica-  
25 tion of these differences, however, is very limited. A more systematic  
26 classification of what are the critical levels of each important variety  
27 or species is needed. Such a plant classification system could link

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

well with present technical soil classification systems in order to better match plant characteristics with soil constraints.

## IV. DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF GROUND COVER

The choice of farming systems is extremely varied and very much dependent on market demands or opportunity, farming tradition and government policies. The prevalent farming systems in Oxisol-Ultisol regions of tropical America can be grouped into four major categories: Shifting cultivation (primarily in the forested areas), extensive cattle grazing in both forested and savanna regions, permanent crop production systems, and intensive annual crop production systems. The extent of the last two is very limited. These systems are described in <sup>a</sup> ~~the~~ review by Sanchez and Cochrane (1980).

Regardless of the farming system or the plant species used, a basic principle of low input technology is to develop and maintain a plant canopy over the soil for as long as possible in order to decrease erosion, compaction and leaching hazards. The main technology components are land clearing methods, crop and pasture establishment techniques, mulching, the use of managed fallows, intercropping and multiple cropping systems. Some of the advances in developing these technology components are discussed in this section.

A. Land Clearing Methods in Rainforests

The choice of land clearing method is the first and probably the most crucial step affecting the future productivity of farming systems in rainforest areas. Several comparative studies conducted in the humid tropics of Latin America confirm that manual slash-and-burn methods are superior to different types of mechanical clearing because of the fertilizer value of the ash, soil compaction and topsoil displacement caused by mechanized land clearing.

1  
2 1. Nutrient additions by the ash. The nutrient content of the ash  
3 has been directly determined only upon burning a 17-year old secondary  
4 forest on Typic Paleudult from Yurimaguas, Peru. The data of Seubert  
5 et al., (1977) in Table 9 show significant beneficial effects of ash on  
6 soil chemical properties (Figure 10), that resulted in higher yields of  
7 a wide variety of crops during the first two years after clearing  
8 (Table 10). There is considerable variability in the quantity of ash  
9 and its nutrient composition because of differences in soil properties,  
10 clearing techniques and the proportion of the forest biomass actually  
11 burned. Silva (1978) estimated that only 20% of the felled forest bio-  
12 mass was actually converted to ash after burning a virgin forest on an  
13 Oxic Paleudult of southern Bahia, Brazil. Silva also analyzed the ash  
14 composition of the burned parts of individual tree species and observed  
15 wide ranges (0.8 to 3.4% N; 0 to 14 ppm P; 0.06-4.4 meq Ca/100 g;  
16 0.11-21.03 meq Mg/100 g, and 34-345 meq K/100 g). This information sug-  
17 gests the presence of certain species that can be considered accumula-  
18 tors of specific nutrients.

19 The fertilizer value of the ash is likely to be of less importance  
20 in high base status soils. Cordero (1964) observed that the increases  
21 in phosphorus and potassium availability caused by burning an Entisol of  
22 pH 7 in Santa Cruz, Bolivia, did not increase crop yields. The soil was  
23 already high in these elements. Information on ash composition from  
24 different soils and clearing methods, therefore, will contribute signif-  
25 icantly to our understanding of soil dynamics and its subsequent manage-  
26 ment.  
27

1  
2       2. Soil compaction. Conventional bulldozing has the clearly de-  
3 trimental effect of compacting the soil, particularly coarse-textured  
4 Ultisols. Significant decreases in infiltration rates, increases in  
5 bulk density and decreases in porosity have been recorded on such soils  
6 in Surinam (Van der Weert, 1974), Peru (Seubert et al., 1977) and Brazil  
7 (Silva, 1978) after mechanized land clearing. Table 11 shows the de-  
8 creases in infiltration in three sites. The slash-and-burn method had  
9 a moderate effect on infiltration rates but bulldozing decreased them  
10 by an order of magnitude. Comparisons between sites are difficult be-  
11 cause of differences in the time span used in measuring. The Manaus  
12 example illustrates the compaction observed in degraded pastures in parts  
13 of the Brazilian Amazon.

14       3. Topsoil displacement. The third major consideration is the de-  
15 gree of topsoil carryover, not by the bulldozer blade, which is normally  
16 kept above the soil, but by dragging uprooted trees and logs. Although  
17 no quantitative data is available, topsoil scraping in high spots and  
18 accumulation in low spots is commonly observed. The better forest re-  
19 growth near windrows of felled vegetation suggests that topsoil carry-  
20 over can result in major yield reductions (Sanchez, 1976). For example,  
21 Lal et al., (1975) in Nigeria observed that corn yields decreased by 50%  
22 when the top 2.5 cm of an Alfisol was removed. No comparable data,  
23 however, is available from acid soils of tropical America. Neverthe-  
24 less, the yield decreases shown in Table 10 are undoubtedly associated  
25 with topsoil displacement.

26       4. Alternative land clearing methods. The detrimental effects of  
27 bulldozer land clearing are now well known to farmers and development

1  
2 organizations. Government credits for large scale mechanized land  
3 clearing operations have been sharply reduced in the Brazilian Amazon  
4 since 1978. Also, the practice of completely destroying the forest vs.  
5 its partial harvest before burning is being considered. Silva (1978)  
6 provided the first quantitative estimate of the possible benefits of  
7 such a practice. He compared the two extremes, slash-and-burn and bull-  
8 dozing, with treatments that include the removal of marketable trees  
9 first, followed by cutting the rest and burning them. All the advan-  
10 tages of burning on soil fertility were observed in this latter treat-  
11 ment, with no significant differences from the conventional slash-and-  
12 burn method (Silva, 1978), but with a valuable increase in income. The  
13 lack of difference is probably due to the small proportion of the total  
14 biomass that is actually burned. Indeed many farmers in the Amazon  
15 harvest wood first; some of them developing profitable lumber mills in  
16 the process of clearing land for pasture establishment.

17 The pressures for opening new lands in some areas of the Amazon are  
18 so intense that it is now necessary to develop technology that minimizes  
19 the detrimental effects of mechanized land clearing on soil properties.  
20 Research comparing presently available mechanized land clearing tech-  
21 nologies has not been conducted in this region on a systematic fashion.  
22 Bulldozers equipped with a "KG" blade that cuts tree trunks at ground  
23 level by shearing action could cause less topsoil displacement since the  
24 root systems remain in place. "Tree pusher" attachments on tractors re-  
25 duce energy requirements for felling and probably decrease compaction by  
26 machinery. A heavy chain dragged by two bulldozers should also minimize  
27 compaction. With these three techniques the felled vegetation could be



1  
2 burned and later the remaining material could be removed by bulldozers  
3 equipped with a root rake.

4 A large scale unreplicated study on Oxic Paleudults near Manaus  
5 showed little difference in chemical or physical soil properties when  
6 some of the above combinations were compared with conventional bulldoz-  
7 ing (UEPAE de Manaus, 1979). The slash-and-burn treatment provided  
8 superior chemical properties and better pasture growth than the mech-  
9 anized land clearing treatments. Work on Alfisols of Nigeria with  
10 totally different physical and chemical properties show that the clear-  
11 ing with bulldozers equipped with a shear blade, followed by burning and  
12 removal of residues with a root rake was the least damaging mechanized  
13 system (IITA, 1980).

14 One type of low input technology that has produced little satis-  
15 factory results is the partial clearing of tropical rainforests, usually  
16 as strips cleared by slash-and-burn, in order to plant shade-tolerant  
17 crops such as cocoa, certain pastures or to enrich the forest with val-  
18 uable timber species. Experiments have been conducted in Manaus, Brazil  
19 by various organizations but the results have been disappointing. No  
20 data are available as such experiments have not been published. Appar-  
21 ently it is difficult to provide sufficient sunlight for vigorous plant  
22 establishment without eliminating the forest canopy. Leaving a few  
23 trees untouched, however, is often done particularly when they are of  
24 value or to provide shade for pasture. Hecht (1979) has identified  
25 several legume tree and shrub species that should be allowed to regrow  
26 after clearing for pastures, because of their capacity to provide browse  
27 forage for cattle.

1  
2 Many of the failures of large-scale farming operations observed by  
3 the authors in the humid tropics can be directly attributed to improper  
4 land clearing methods. Research on alternative mechanized land clearing  
5 methods that involve burning is needed.

#### 6 B. Soil Dynamics After Clearing Tropical Rainforests

7 When a tropical forest is cleared and burned several changes in  
8 soil properties generally occur within the first year: Large volatiliza-  
9 tion losses of biomass nitrogen and sulfur occur upon burning, soil or-  
10 ganic matter decreases with time until a new equilibrium is reached;  
11 the pH of acid soils increases, aluminum saturation levels decrease, ex-  
12 changeable bases and available phosphorus increase; soil temperatures  
13 increase (Sanchez, 1973). The following discussion is based on a recent  
14 review of the subject by the senior author (Sanchez, 1979).

15 Most of the available data is based on sampling nearby sites of  
16 assumed known age after clearing, which confound time and space dimen-  
17 sions and increase the already considerable variability between sites.  
18 Fortunately there are five studies in which changes in soil properties  
19 were followed with time in humid tropical America: Yurimaguas, Peru;  
20 Manaus, Belém and Southern Bahia, Brazil; and Carare, Colombia. Most of  
21 them, however, are limited to what happens during the first year, the  
22 oldest being eight years old. Nevertheless, they illustrate the differ-  
23 ences that take place within sites as a function of time.

24 1. Soil organic matter. Salas and Folster (1976) estimated that  
25 25 tons C/ha and 673 kg N/ha were lost to the atmosphere when a virgin  
26 forest growing on an Aeric Ochraquox in the middle Magdalena Valley of  
27 Colombia was cut and burned. These figures were derived by measuring

1  
2 the biomass changes before and after burning, but before the first  
3 rains. These losses accounted for only 11 to 16% of the total carbon,  
4 and about 20% of the total nitrogen in the ecosystem (Salas, 1978).  
5 Consequently, assertions that most of the carbon and nitrogen in the  
6 vegetation is volatilized upon burning deserve scrutiny. Another un-  
7 known factor is whether or not a proportion of the volatilized elements  
8 is returned back to nearby areas via rainwash.

9 The influence of burning on the thin organic-rich layer consisting  
10 of litter-topsoil interphase was also determined by Salas (1978). The  
11 C/N ratio of this material increased from 8 to 46 within five months,  
12 suggesting that the volatile losses were rich in nitrogen.

13 The literature has conflicting information about the losses of soil  
14 organic matter when the cropping phase begins. Larger losses will occur  
15 in soils with higher initial organic matter contents (Sanchez, 1976).  
16 This effect, however, is attenuated by the topsoil clay content.  
17 Turenne (1969, 1977) found an inverse relationship between organic car-  
18 bon losses and clay contents in Oxisols of French Guiana.

19 Another supposedly detrimental effect of burning is a decrease in  
20 soil microbiological activity. Silva's (1978) southern Bahia study re-  
21 ports no significant differences caused by various degrees of burning  
22 on fungal flora, but decreases in the bacterial and actinomycetal popu-  
23 lation during the first 30 days after the conventional burn. Figure 11  
24 shows the time trend in cellulose decomposition activity. Burning  
25 actually had a stimulating effect on the decomposing microflora, prob-  
26 ably because of the increase in phosphorus and other nutrients, plus the  
27 higher soil temperatures incurred upon exposing the soil surface to

1  
2 direct sunlight. No such effect was observed in the bulldozer clearing,  
3 probably because of topsoil displacement and soil compaction. The par-  
4 tial sterilization effect in the conventional burn may account for the  
5 lower microbiological activity observed during the first 25 days after  
6 burning.

7       The dynamics of organic carbon during the first four years of con-  
8 tinuous upland rice-corn-soybean cropping on an Ultisol from Yurimaguas,  
9 Peru without fertilization or liming, are shown in Figure 12. There  
10 was an actual increase in organic carbon contents right after burning,  
11 probably a result of ash contamination. This increase is followed by a  
12 plateau for the first six months, then a sharp decrease is observed  
13 after the first rice crop is harvested, and finally an equilibrium is  
14 reached at the end of the first year. The annual decomposition rate  
15 during the first year is on the order of 30%, but a new equilibrium is  
16 attained the second year of cropping at high fertility levels  
17 (Villachica, 1978). This sharp decomposition rate resulted in a very  
18 large increase in topsoil inorganic nitrogen during the first six months  
19 at Yurimaguas (80 kg N/ha in the top 50 cm), which quickly disappeared  
20 because of leaching and/or crop uptake (Seubert *et al.*, 1977). This  
21 "nitrogen flush" probably contributes to the initial lush growth of the  
22 first crop after burning.

23       2. Initial increases in nutrient availability. The changes in  
24 topsoil properties before clearing and after burning in several properly  
25 sampled time studies are summarized in Table 12. This table shows the  
26 general trends and deviations thereof. Soil pH values increase after  
27 burning but not to neutrality. Exchangeable Ca + Mg levels doubled,

1  
2 tripled or quadrupled, but there is considerable variability among  
3 nearby clearings on the same soil as shown by the two Yurimaguas sites.  
4 This particular difference is attributed to an initially higher base  
5 status in site II and a better quality burn than in site I. Exchange-  
6 able potassium also increases drastically but the effect is short-lived  
7 because of rapid leaching. This probably explains why there were no in-  
8 creases in the Yurimaguas II and Belém sites, which were sampled at 3  
9 and 12 months after burning. Exchangeable aluminum decreases in propor-  
10 tionate amounts to increases in Ca + Mg, suggesting a straight liming  
11 effect. An exception to this statement occurs in the more fertile  
12 southern Bahia site, which had relatively low exchangeable aluminum  
13 contents. Aluminum saturation decreases in all cases, to levels below  
14 that considered as critical (60%) except in one case. Available phos-  
15 phorus, also increases with burning, surpassing the critical level of  
16 about 10 ppm P in some cases, but again with considerable variability  
17 among sites. Regardless of these differences there is no question that  
18 the fertility of acid soils improves considerably after burning.

19 3. Fertility decline pattern. These positive effects begin to  
20 reverse with time. Figure 10 illustrates the changes occurring within  
21 the first 10 months after clearing in Yurimaguas without fertilization.  
22 Silva (1978) has reported almost identical results at the other end of  
23 the continent, in southern Bahia. Inorganic nitrogen (not shown) and  
24 potassium are the first elements to be depleted, while the others show  
25 a slower decline. Figure 12 shows the changes occurring in topsoil  
26 properties during the first four years in Yurimaguas. Equilibrium  
27 values were attained with pH and organic carbon after the first year.

1  
2 Exchangeable aluminum began to increase after the original decline,  
3 attaining pre-clearing levels within a year. This is attributed to the  
4 rapid organic matter decomposition rate during the first year which re-  
5 leased  $H^+$  ions into solution which, in turn, released  $Al^{+++}$  ions from  
6 the solid phase (Villachica, 1978). Consequently, the residual "liming"  
7 effect of the ash was short-lived. Increases in exchangeable calcium  
8 remained relatively stable with time. Exchangeable magnesium and potas-  
9 sium, however, decreased after six months of cultivation. Available  
10 phosphorus levels were close to the critical level of 15 ppm P in this  
11 particular trial.

12 Crop performance data (Villachica, 1978; Sanchez, 1979) shows that  
13 nitrogen and potassium became deficient within six months after clear-  
14 ing. Aluminum reached toxic levels for corn at 10 months after clear-  
15 ing. At that time phosphorus, magnesium, copper and boron became defi-  
16 cient and crop yields without fertilization approached zero. When  
17 potassium fertilizers were added, a K/Mg imbalance was detected which  
18 necessitated further magnesium additions. Zinc approached deficiency  
19 levels at the end of the second year and sulfur and molybdenum defi-  
20 ciencies were observed sporadically (Villachica, 1978; Sanchez, 1979).  
21 The Yurimaguas results indicate that most of the rapid changes occur  
22 during the first two years after clearing, after which soil dynamics  
23 tend to be stabilized.

#### 24 C. Land Preparation and Plant Establishment in Rainforests

25 In traditional slash-and-burn clearings, land preparation is  
26 usually limited to removal of some logs for firewood or charcoal. The  
27 first plantings consist of poking holes in the ground with a pointed

1  
2 stick called "espeque" or "tacarpo," followed by dropping seeds or  
3 simply by inserting cassava stakes or plantain rhizomes. This zero till-  
4 lage system protects the soil against erosion with its tangled mass of  
5 logs and branches, numerous tree stumps and a mulch of ash and unburned  
6 plant material. Since fertilizers are seldom needed for the first  
7 planting there is little need for tillage. Trials in Yurimaguas, Peru  
8 showed no significant difference in upland rice yields between the  
9 "tacarpo" no till plantings and rototilling followed by row seeding  
10 after clearing a rainforest by slash-and-burn (Sanchez and Nureña, 1972).  
11 Plant spacing however, had a marked effect on yields. Table 13 shows  
12 that decreasing spacing between the "tacarpo" holes from the conven-  
13 tional of 50 x 50 cm pattern to 25 x 25 cm, rice yields increased by  
14 33% and the incidence of weeds decreased dramatically.

15 Closer spacing plus a change from the traditional tall statured  
16 Carolino variety to the short-statured blast tolerant IR4-2 variety has  
17 resulted in a 76% yield increase on farmer field trials in the  
18 Yurimaguas region, from 0.95 to 1.67 tons/ha (Donovan, 1973). This  
19 simple low input technology has improved the traditional shifting cul-  
20 tivation system. To change from shifting to continuous cultivation in  
21 this region, however, fertilization is definitely needed (Sanchez,  
22 1977).

23 Oversowing pasture species on land cleared by slash-and-burn is a  
24 common practice in the Amazon. The high initial fertility favors rapid  
25 pasture establishment and ground cover development. Toledo and Morales  
26 (1979) reported successful pasture establishment in Ultisols of  
27 Pucallpa, Peru with Brachiaria decumbens and Panicum maximum. They also

1  
2 reported that grass-legume associations may be difficult to establish  
3 because the most aggressive species may tend to dominate. To avoid this  
4 difficulty it is recommended to plant each species in single or double  
5 rows.

6 For many of the pasture species adapted to acid soil conditions,  
7 better establishment is obtained when the seeds encounter a corrugated  
8 soil instead of a high pulverized one. Spain (1979) attributed this to  
9 the need of small pasture seeds to be sheltered and avoid desiccating  
10 during germination. Planting deeper than 1 or 2 cms is likely to retard  
11 establishment or prevent it altogether.

12 Because of the initial high fertility level of the topsoil after  
13 burning, the development of a plant canopy after slash-and-burn land  
14 clearing is seldom a problem in the humid tropics. The critical issue  
15 is the nature of such a cover. With good management it consists of  
16 vigorous crops or fast growing pastures; with poor management or adverse  
17 weather conditions weeds and jungle regrowth may constitute the prin-  
18 cipal components of the canopy. In either case, the soil is likely to  
19 be protected from erosion hazards.

20 With mechanized land clearing however, the situation is totally  
21 different. The absence of burning keeps the soil in its original acid  
22 infertile state (Figure 10) and some degree of compaction can be ex-  
23 pected. Tillage is usually necessary to correct compaction and to in-  
24 corporate moderate quantities of fertilizer and lime which the first  
25 crop or pasture may need. Although weed competition is likely to be  
26 less than with slash-and-burn clearing, jungle regrowth does take place  
27 in bulldozed areas.



1  
2 D. Land Clearing Methods in the Savannas

3 The absence of a closed tree canopy in savanna regions poses a wide  
4 variety of alternatives for transforming the native savanna into agri-  
5 cultural production systems. Unlike in rainforests, a significant pro-  
6 duction system exists in native savanna, extensive cattle grazing with  
7 essentially zero soil management. Native savanna vegetation, however,  
8 is far from uniform. Five physiognomic types are recognized in the  
9 Cerrado of Brazil:

- 10 1. "Campo limpo" (clean field): A continuous grass canopy without  
11 arboreal vegetation. A treeless savanna.
- 12 2. "Campo sujo" (dirty field): A continuous grass canopy with  
13 widely scattered small bushes.
- 14 3. "Campo cerrado": A continuous grass canopy overlain by a dis-  
15 continuous arboreal canopy scattered enough so it is possible  
16 to drive a jeep through it.
- 17 4. "Cerrado" (in the strict sense): A two canopy savanna where it  
18 is impossible to drive a jeep through.
- 19 5. "Cerradão": A dominant and almost closed canopy of trees of the  
20 same species as before but taller, underlain by a discontinuous  
21 grass canopy.

22 These physiognomic types are related to topsoil fertility para-  
23 meters in well drained areas (Lopes and Cox, 1977b). Treeless savannas  
24 also occur on shallow soils and on poorly drained areas, although with  
25 a different species composition in the latter case. Large areas of the  
26 Llanos Orientales of Colombia are of the campo limpo type.

27

1  
2 Land clearing and crop establishment techniques are related to the  
3 above physiognomic types. Duque et al., (1980) describe the different  
4 clearing techniques practiced in the Cerrado of Brazil, for areas in-  
5 tended for either crop production or improved pastures. For campo limpo  
6 and campo sujo areas the common technique is to burn the native savanna,  
7 remove whatever shrubs exist by hand, and plow. For campo cerrado,  
8 cerrado and cerradão the usual procedure is to fell the arboreal vegeta-  
9 tion with two bulldozers dragging a 25 m heavy chain. A third machine  
10 piles the woody residues in windrows along the contour, providing some  
11 protection against erosion. Part of this material is gradually removed  
12 for charcoal production. The areas between the windrows are burned to  
13 eliminate the grass canopy.

14 The effects of land clearing practices in savannas are not well  
15 documented, but appear to be less marked than those reported in rain-  
16 forests. The amount and composition of ash produced by annual burning  
17 of native Oxisol savannas has not been measured but the amount is esti-  
18 mated to be a fraction of that produced after burning rainforests.  
19 Consequently, the changes in chemical soil properties with clearing are  
20 probably minor. Topsoil displacement due to bulldozer clearing is also  
21 less pronounced because of the low density and generally small size of  
22 the arboreal vegetation. Unlike the rainforests where mineral cycling  
23 has concentrated nutrients in an organic-rich topsoil layer, organic  
24 matter and nutrient distribution is more uniform with depth in the  
25 savannas (Sanchez, 1976). Consequently, topsoil displacement will cause  
26 less damage in deep, uniform savanna Oxisols than in Ultisols and Oxi-  
27 sols under rainforest vegetation.

### E. Crop and Pasture Establishment in Savannas

In Cerrado Oxisols, the preferred tillage implement for crop establishment is the disk harrow. Duque et al., (1980) recommend to avoid the moldboard plow and deep disking as they cause compaction problems. Root fragments should be picked up after each disking operation during the first or second year after clearing. A second operation, either disking or rotovating, is normally conducted to incorporate lime and broadcast fertilizer applications. Planting upland rice, soybeans, corn or other crops is normally accomplished with grain drills equipped with fertilizer attachments for band placement.

Excessively deep and frequent tillage operations are common in Oxisols and Ultisols of the Llanos Orientales of Venezuela, where peanuts and sorghum are grown extensively. These practices result in a very pulverized seedbed which easily washes away during heavy rainstorms. Parts of this region are irrigated with center-pivot systems which are often poorly managed. These high input land preparation technologies appear to have a clearly negative effect on soil properties. In other savanna regions of tropical America where energy-related inputs are less readily available, land preparation for crop production is more agronomically sound.

Conventional pasture establishment methods in savanna regions commonly consist of one or two passes with a disk harrow, followed by seeding with a grain drill equipped with a fertilizer attachment. These are relatively easy to do during the rainy season but the cost is high, on the order of US\$133/ha in the Llanos of Colombia (Spain, 1979; CIAT, 1979).

1  
2       Regardless of the quality of land preparation, the soil is left  
3 exposed for a considerable amount of time until the crop or pasture  
4 canopy is established. This critical period is also when high intensity  
5 rainstorms occur at the beginning of the rainy season. Although Oxisols  
6 are among the least erodible soils of the world (El Swaify, 1977), sheet  
7 erosion is an important constraint in the savannas. Given the fairly  
8 uniform distribution of organic matter and nutrients in many of the  
9 savanna Oxisols, it has been argued that sheet erosion is unimportant.  
10 This argument loses its validity when phosphorus and lime are incor-  
11 porated into the topsoil. Also, some Oxisols have umbric epipedons with  
12 higher organic carbon contents than the underlying oxic horizon. This  
13 is usually the case with many savanna Ultisols as well. In such cases,  
14 erosion will significantly decrease the effective cation exchange capa-  
15 city of the soil due to organic matter, thus increasing potential leach-  
16 ing losses.

17       A series of low input land preparation techniques are being de-  
18 veloped in order to reduce costs and erosion hazards. Four techniques  
19 are described in this section: The introduction of improved pastures in  
20 native savanna, its gradual replacement, low density pasture establish-  
21 ment methods, and crop-pasture relay intercropping.

22       1. Improving the native savanna. Unlike in the rainforests where  
23 partial clearing is not promising, gradual improvement of the native  
24 savanna appears promising. Oversowing pasture species on undisturbed  
25 native savanna however, is usually unsuccessful (Spain, 1979). Some  
26 degree of soil disturbance is necessary for the small pasture seeds to  
27 have contact with sufficient moisture for germination. Light disking cr

1  
2 sodseeding in rows 50 cm apart have successfully established acid-  
3 tolerant legumes in campo limpo savannas of the Brazilian Cerrado, im-  
4 proving the nutritional quality of the sward considerably (CIAT, 1980).  
5 After one year of disking and sodseeding, improved legume species with  
6 14% protein content were well established in the native savanna which  
7 contained only 4% protein (CIAT, 1980).

8       2. Gradual displacement of the native savanna with improved pas-  
9 tures. A second low cost alternative is to plant improved pasture  
10 species in strips without disturbing the native savanna in between. A  
11 trial conducted by J. M. Spain and colleagues in Carimagua, Colombia is  
12 showing promising results (CIAT, 1980). Grass/legume pastures were  
13 established in 60 cm wide strips prepared with spring tines or with a  
14 field cultivator to a 12 cm depth, followed by phosphorus and potassium  
15 applications. The area between strips, about 2.5 wide, received four  
16 levels of native savanna vegetation control. Several grass and legume  
17 species were able to invade and gradually displace the native savanna  
18 strips. The most successful species are the legumes Desmodium ovali-  
19 folium and Pueraria phaseoloides, and the creeping grasses Brachiaria  
20 humidicola and B. decumbens. Table 14 summarizes the results. Spain's  
21 work shows that the native savanna can be gradually replaced by such  
22 strip plantings, at a much lower cost, while reducing the erosion hazard  
23 to a fraction of the land.

24       3. Low density seedings. In Oxisol savannas, weed growth after  
25 land preparation is normally slow due to the extremely low native soil  
26 fertility, as long as the soil is not limed or fertilized. Taking ad-  
27 vantage of this situation, Spain (1979) developed a low density planting

1  
2 system with considerable savings in seed costs and initial fertilizer  
3 applications. After the land is prepared with one or two passes with an  
4 offset disk harrowing, grass and/or legume seeds are planted in holes  
5 spaced at about 3 m giving a population of 1000 plants/ha during the  
6 rainy season. The plants receive a localized high rate of phosphorus  
7 and potassium, but on a per hectare basis the highest rates used were  
8 9 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha and 1.5 kg  $K_2O$ /ha. One man equipped with a shovel can  
9 plant and fertilize one hectare in one day (Spain, 1979).

10 These plants grow vigorously during the rainy season due to their  
11 high soil fertility status and the absence of competition from weeds or  
12 plants of their own species. Stoloniferous species cover the ground  
13 within eight months, at the beginning of the next rainy season (CIAT,  
14 1979). Tussock-type grasses such as Andropogon gayanus and Panicum  
15 maximum produce seed at the end of the rainy season. At Carimagua, the  
16 seeds aligned themselves in the furrows left by the disk harrow and  
17 germinated with the first rainy season showers, starting ahead of the  
18 weeds. The new seedlings had to be fertilized shortly after emergence  
19 or they would die because of acute phosphorus and potassium deficien-  
20 cies. With such a system, pastures in Carimagua were ready for grazing  
21 within nine months after planting, which is about three months later  
22 than with conventional land preparation. The details are explained  
23 more thoroughly in reports by Spain (1979) and CIAT (1978, 1979, 1980).  
24 Although this system does not reduce the fertilizer requirements rela-  
25 tive to conventional plantings, the seed costs are greatly reduced  
26 (from US\$34 to \$3/ha; CIAT, 1979). Since seed of improved pasture  
27

1 species is generally scarce, the use of vegetative propagation is an  
2 additional advantage.  
3

4 4. Using crops as precursors of pasture establishment. The pre-  
5 viously described low density planting system is not likely to be suc-  
6 cessful in savanna areas that have been previously fertilized or in  
7 recently cleared rainforest areas where vigorous weed and jungle re-  
8 growth takes place. In many of these areas a feasible alternative is  
9 to grow crops as precursors of pasture establishment, using the land  
10 preparation and fertilization practices required by the crops but inter-  
11 planting pasture species so when crops are harvested, the pasture is  
12 established (Kornelius et al., 1979; Toledo and Morales, 1979). In ef-  
13 fect, pasture establishment costs are largely paid for by the cash crop.

14 Results with an Orthoxic Palehumult in Quilichao, Colombia, shown  
15 in Table 15, describe some of the relationships involved. When cassava  
16 and Stylosanthes guianensis were planted simultaneously, cassava yields  
17 decreased somewhat and stylo production halved, but a stylo pasture was  
18 ready for grazing after the cassava harvest. When cassava was inter-  
19 planted with a mixture of Brachiaria decumbens and S. guianensis, crop  
20 yields were adversely affected by the vigorous grass growth. Although  
21 the sum of relative yields is identical to the previous case, this com-  
22 bination seriously decreased cassava yields, and is therefore not pro-  
23 mising.

24 When a crop with short growth duration is used, the results are  
25 different. Table 15 also shows the same two pasture species planted at  
26 the same time with Phaseolus vulgaris. Bean yields were not affected  
27 by the presence of either the legume alone or the grass-legume mixture,

1  
2 although pasture growth was retarded by the presence of the bean crop.  
3 Nevertheless, a pasture was already established after the bean harvest.

4 Intercropping between pastures and crops is extremely site specific  
5 and weather dependent. The actual systems to be used must be validated  
6 locally, particularly in terms of relative planting rates, row spacing,  
7 crop varieties and fertility levels. On the same locati<sup>o</sup><sub>^</sub>n in Colombia,  
8 the first upland rice-pasture experiment failed because rice growth was  
9 so vigorous that pastures could not compete with it. A second trial  
10 with different relative planting dates and spacings produced excellent  
11 association of short-statured upland rice with Brachiaria decumbens and  
12 Desmodium ovalifolium (CIAT, 1979).

13 It is likely that pastures established in such a manner will enjoy  
14 a higher initial and residual soil fertility level than pastures estab-  
15 lished in the conventional manner. If managed in conjunction with other  
16 conventionally established pastures, they could serve as a source of  
17 protein or energy for cattle herds.

#### 18 F. Maintenance of Established Pastures

19 After the pasture is established, management is aimed at maintain-  
20 ing its initial productivity and botanical composition by manipulating  
21 stocking rates, grazing pressure, fertilization and weed control. Un-  
22 fortunately, most of the existing information in Oxisol-Ultisol regions  
23 is limited to stocking rates and grazing pressure, with little experi-  
24 ence of maintenance fertilization rates and weed control. It is gen-  
25 erally believed that maintenance fertilization rates should be less than  
26 half of the established rates of all nutrients applied. Soil tests and  
27 plant analysis could quantify which are the most economical rates and



1  
2 what their frequency of application should be, either every year or  
3 every two years. Also, these techniques would identify nutritional de-  
4 ficiencies or imbalances that arise with time.

5 Pasture degradation in the Amazon has received considerable atten-  
6 tion. According to Hecht (1979) most of the Panicum maximum pastures in  
7 the Brazilian Amazon are in some stage of degradation. In the Para-  
8 gominas area of the State of Pará, Hecht reports that about 70% of the  
9 cattle ranches went out of business because of degraded pastures. The  
10 main causes of degradation are the use of a grass species with <sup>relatively</sup> high nu-  
11 tritional requirements, no fertilization, no legumes and often exces-  
12 sively high stocking rates. The costs of controlling jungle regrowth  
13 becomes too high when the Panicum maximum population decreases, and the  
14 fields are gradually transformed into a secondary forest.

15 Serrão and coworkers (1979) have found that phosphorus deficiency  
16 is the limiting factor that sets this process in motion. Phosphorus  
17 availability is high right after burning the forest, remains above the  
18 critical level up to four years, and then declines. The correction of  
19 this problem is remarkably simple and of low cost. Serrão et al.,  
20 (1979) recommend to cut the jungle regrowth with a machete and burn the  
21 field, then broadcast 50 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha half as simple superphosphate and  
22 half as phosphate rock. Under these conditions, the Panicum maximum  
23 population increased from about 25 to 90%. Broadcasting legume seeds  
24 is being incorporated into the system.

25 It is likely that potassium, sulfur and other nutrients may also  
26 become limiting with time. Frequent monitoring of soil properties is  
27 essential to identify these constraints and correct them quickly. The

1  
2 use of better adapted species which are more tolerant to aluminum tox-  
3 icity and low levels of available phosphorus could also improve this  
4 particular system. The grasses Brachiaria humidicola and Andropogon  
5 gayanus and the legume Desmodium ovalifolium appear more promising for  
6 these areas than Panicum maximum.

7 Hutton (1979) asserted that the main reasons why pastures degrade  
8 in Ultisol-Oxisol regions of Latin America is lack of soil fertility  
9 maintenance. This is a correct statement, and it underscores the need  
10 to establish critical levels of soil test or tissue analysis for the  
11 main species grown in this region, particularly for phosphorus, potas-  
12 sium, calcium, magnesium, sulfur, zinc, boron, copper and molybdenum.  
13 The present lack of such information is a major limiting factor prevent-  
14 ing the maintenance of productive pastures in the region.

15 G. Mulching, Green Manures and Managed Fallows

16 In crop production systems further soil cover protection can be  
17 obtained by the use of mulches and green manures. The possibility of  
18 using managed fallows as opposed to the typical secondary forest fallow  
19 may also improve soil protection.

20 1. Mulching. A major component of low input technology in the  
21 subhumid (ustic) forest region of West Africa is the use of crop resi-  
22 dues as mulches to maintain soil physical properties (Lal, 1975). Im-  
23 pressive results have been obtained by IITA in Nigeria showing the ad-  
24 vantages of mulching for sustained crop production. Most of this work,  
25 however, has been conducted on Plinthic or Oxic Haplustalfs character-  
26 ized by a sandy gravelly topsoil underlain by clayey gravelly subsoils  
27 often with soft plinthite. Unlike most Oxisols and Ultisols of tropical

1  
2 America, the dominant soils of West Africa's forest region have more  
3 acute physical constraints than chemical ones.

4 Limited research on mulching conducted in Oxisol-Ultisol regions of  
5 tropical America has provided less positive results than those reported  
6 in West Africa. The effect of a 10 cm thick Melinis minutiflora grass  
7 mulch on corn growth on Oxisols of the Cerrado of Brazil provided only  
8 slight yield increases (Bandy, 1976; NCSU, 1976). Table 16 shows the  
9 results during the rainy season which included a considerable period of  
10 water stress at about tasseling. Mulching decreased topsoil tempera-  
11 tures by 2 to 3°C, decreased evaporation losses by 4 to 7 mm daily dur-  
12 ing the water stress period, and reduced water stress in the plant as  
13 evidenced by a lower leaf water potential (Bandy, 1976). The resulting  
14 average yields, however, were only 6% higher with mulching than without.  
15 The experiment also continued during the dry season with an irrigation  
16 pattern that simulated the water stress periods encountered during the  
17 previous rainy season. A black plastic mulch treatment was also in-  
18 cluded. Corn yields were the same without mulch as with the Melinis  
19 minutiflora mulch, but a significantly higher yield was obtained with  
20 the black plastic mulch (Table 16). This was attributed to vigorous and  
21 deeper root development associated with higher soil temperatures caused  
22 by the black plastic mulch during the cool dry season in Brasilia  
23 (Bandy, 1976; NCSU, 1976). Consequently, the benefits of a grass mulch  
24 were not sufficiently attractive to recommend it as a practice. The  
25 black plastic mulch is probably too expensive to justify its use.

26 Mulching with Panicum maximum has been extensively evaluated on  
27 Typic Paleudults at Yurimaguas, Peru. The overall effect on crop

1  
2 yields, summarized in Table 17, is not clear. Valverde and Bandy (9/81)  
3 indicate that mulching is almost always detrimental to upland rice,  
4 since the plants remain greener into maturity and thus subject to more  
5 disease attacks. Mulching is especially advantageous to corn when  
6 severe drought stress occurs. Since corn is planted during the drier  
7 part of the year, it is more subject to drought stress than rice.  
8 Therefore, the differences encountered are also related to the amount of  
9 rainfall during the cropping season. There were no overall trends on  
10 the effect of mulching on the three grain legumes included in this  
11 study.

12 Most of the comparisons summarized in Table 17 as well as the  
13 Brasilia results shown in Table 16 were conducted at a generally high  
14 level of fertilizer inputs. A study conducted at lower input levels by  
15 Wade (1978) in Yurimaguas showed a definitely positive effect of mulch-  
16 ing on crop yields. Table 18 shows the relative yields of five consecu-  
17 tive crops which were either left bare or were covered with a Panicum  
18 maximum grass mulch or a Pueraria phaseoloides legume mulch. These  
19 three treatments did not receive fertilizers or lime. The results are  
20 compared with a bare plot which received sufficient fertilizer and lime  
21 applications to overcome <sup>most</sup> fertility constraints (120 kg N/ha and 70 kg  
22 K<sub>2</sub>O/ha per crop, 4 tons/ha of lime and 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha per year). The  
23 yields obtained with this treatment are considered maximum yield. Crops  
24 growing with Panicum maximum mulch produced an average of 54% of the  
25 maximum yields without chemical inputs. The beneficial effect of the  
26 Pueraria phaseoloides mulch was even greater, producing 80% of <sup>the</sup> maximum  
27 yields, again without inorganic inputs. The Panicum maximum mulch

1  
2 decreased maximum topsoil temperatures by an average 2°C on dry, hot  
3 afternoons. It also increased available soil moisture, prevented sur-  
4 face crusting and reduced weed growth. Both mulch materials had no ef-  
5 fect on soil-chemical properties but because of higher yields than in  
6 the bare unfertilized plots, they promoted greater nutrient uptake by  
7 the crops.

8 2. Green manuring. Table 18 also includes treatments in which  
9 Panicum maximum and Pueraria phaseoloides were incorporated as green  
10 manures after every crop harvest. The overall yields obtained average  
11 71 and 90% of the maximum, respectively. This suggests an almost  
12 equivalent substitution of legume green manure for inorganic fertiliza-  
13 tion and liming. The incorporation of these green manures also in-  
14 creased soil moisture retention, reduced bulk density and soil compac-  
15 tion. The kudzu green manure supplied significant quantities of ni-  
16 trogen, potassium, calcium and magnesium to the soil. The addition of  
17 bases decreased aluminum saturation and provided a more favorable en-  
18 vironment for plant growth. As a result, nitrogen, phosphorus, potas-  
19 sium, calcium and magnesium uptake of the four crops increased (Wade,  
20 1978).

21 It appears that kudzu green manure can be substituted for fertili-  
22 zers in Yurimaguas to obtain moderate yields of continuous crops. This  
23 is essentially a tradeoff between nutrients supplied by the fertilizer  
24 bags for green manuring. Taking account of the labor involved in incor-  
25 porating kudzu, the cost of adding 1 kg N/ha as urea is approximately  
26 equal the cost of adding the same amount of nitrogen as kudzu. The  
27 tradeoff of labor for purchased input appears attractive, but has the

1  
2 disadvantage of the hard work involved in incorporating the green manure.  
3 Farmers in this area seem more interested in obtaining credit to pur-  
4 chase fertilizers and obtain machinery than to carry and incorporate  
5 kudzu with a hand hoe. It should be pointed out that the above-  
6 mentioned green manure treatments were not grown in situ, but were col-  
7 lected from adjacent areas. If grown in situ, green manures, would  
8 compete with growing an additional crop at the same time. Experience  
9 from West Africa indicates that farmers would rather grow an additional  
10 crop and use fertilizers if available than grow a green manure crop.  
11 Intercropping green manures with cereal crops could be a better alter-  
12 native as no time is wasted.

13 3. Managed fallows. A further extension of the green manuring  
14 concept would be to substitute the conventional secondary forest fallow  
15 with one which could improve soil physical and chemical properties in a  
16 shorter period of time. Promising results have already been produced in  
17 Alfisols of Nigeria (Jaijebo and Moore, 1964; Juo and Uta, 1977) and  
18 potential of planted kudzu fallow is presently being studied at Yuri-  
19 maguas with promising results.

#### 20 H. Intercropping and Multiple Cropping Systems

21 Various forms of intercropping are widely used by farmers in the  
22 Oxisol-Ultisol regions of tropical America. They range from intercrop-  
23 ping annual food crops, to combination of annual crops with pastures,  
24 annual crops with permanent crops, and annual crops, pastures and per-  
25 manent crops. These patterns are generally more complex in the udic  
26 than in the ustic soil moisture regime. Intercropped systems other than  
27 the use of crops as precursors of pasture establishment are not

1  
2 widespread in the savannas. In udic rainforest areas intercropping is  
3 practiced both by shifting cultivors and by large scale plantations.  
4 Unlike other sections of this review, most of the technology described  
5 is based on farming rather than research experience.

6 1. Intercropping food crops. Traditional shifting cultivators  
7 almost invariably intercrop. In the Amazon a marketable cash crop is  
8 planted right after clearing, usually upland rice or corn. Shortly  
9 afterwards, cassava and plantains are interplanted either in rows or at  
10 random with an average spacing of about 2 x 2 m for cassava and 3 x 5 m  
11 for the plantains. When the grain crop is ready for harvest the cassava  
12 canopy takes over; with time it is gradually replaced by a plantain  
13 canopy which can last as much as two years depending on the rate of soil  
14 fertility depletion and the presence of nematode attacks. Finally the  
15 degrading plantain canopy is gradually replaced by a secondary forest  
16 fallow, from which occasional plantain bunches may be harvested.

17 There are many variations of the above theme, some of which have  
18 been described by Pinchinat et al., (1976) in a review of multiple crop-  
19 ping systems in tropical America. Variations include other annual food  
20 crops such as cowpeas, pigeon peas (Cajanus cajan), yams (Dioscorea sp.),  
21 malanga (Xanthosoma sp.), yautía (Colocasia esculenta) and a wide  
22 variety of vegetable crops.

23 The traditional intercropping pattern has the advantage of keeping  
24 a continuous crop canopy over the soil, imitating the regrowth of a  
25 forest fallow and eventually becoming one. Soil exposure to erosion  
26 and compaction hazards is limited, and the use of acid-tolerant species  
27 like rice, cassava and plantain permits a better utilization of the

1  
2 available soil nutrient supplies. The more nutrient demanding crops  
3 such as corn or the most valuable, such as rice, are normally grown  
4 first to capitalize on the fertilizer value of the ash.

5 Research has shown that intensifying intercropped systems can pro-  
6 duce higher annual yields than when individual crops are grown in mono-  
7 cultures. In Ultisols of Yurimaguas, Peru, Wade (1978) developed a row  
8 intercropped system that produced nine consecutive crops in 21 months.  
9 A virgin rainforest was cleared by slash-and-burn and the first upland  
10 rice crop was grown without fertilization. Following the rice harvest,  
11 corn was planted in 2 m rows and soybeans in three 50 cm rows between  
12 the corn rows. Forty-five days later, cassava cuttings were inserted in  
13 the corn rows as 1 m spacing. Soybeans were harvested at 91 days and  
14 corn at 105 days. Cassava grew vigorously in the former corn rows and  
15 cowpeas were planted where the soybeans were. The four crops were har-  
16 vested in 266 days. A second cycle started one month afterwards. Corn  
17 was planted the same way but upland rice replaced soybeans as the com-  
18 panion crop. Cassava was planted again in the corn rows, this time 67  
19 days after the corn seeding. Corn was harvested at 105 days and rice  
20 after 140 days. Five days after the rice harvest peanuts were planted  
21 where the rice was and it matured 96 days later. There was enough time  
22 to grow a crop of cowpeas where the peanuts were before the cassava  
23 canopy closed in. A total of nine crops were harvested from the same  
24 field in one year and nine months.

25 The crop yields shown in Table 19, include a comparison of mono-  
26 cultures grown in separate stands at the same time. Although the yields  
27 of individual crops were always lower under intercropping than as



1  
2 monocultures, the total market value of one hectare of intercropping was  
3 20 to 28% higher than if the same hectare was split among the four or  
4 five crops grown as monocultures. Intercropping also produced more pro-  
5 tein and energy per hectare than the monocultures. Also, intercropping  
6 increased nutrient uptake and the efficiency of nitrogen fertilizer used  
7 (Wade, 1978). The annual fertilizer application was moderate for the  
8 very acid soil conditions: 1 ton/ha of lime, 45 kg N/ha, 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha,  
9 45 kg K/ha, 10 kg S/ha, 0.5 kg B/ha and 0.5 kg Mo/ha.

10 Although this intensive intercropping system does not require high  
11 levels of purchased inputs, it requires intensive hand labor. Its  
12 value, therefore, may be limited to small areas near the farmhouse,  
13 while less labor demanding systems could be used at a larger scale.

14 Other intercropping systems can be even more efficient. Leihner  
15 (1979; CIAT, 1980) reported that when cassava was interplanted with  
16 cowpeas or peanuts in an Orthoxic Palehumult or Quilichao, Colombia, at  
17 their normal planting densities, neither crop suffered significant yield  
18 declines. This is apparently due to less interspecific competition be-  
19 tween the early maturing grain legumes and the later maturing cassava.  
20 Planting cassava in double rows spaced at 2 to 3 m with 50 cm between  
21 rows has increased yields significantly and has enhanced the advantages  
22 of intercropping throughout Brazil (Oliveira, 1979). These and other  
23 refinements may further increase the value of intercropping acid-  
24 tolerant annual crops in Oxisol-Ultisol regions.

25 2. Intercropping annual with perennial crops. Planting of acid-  
26 tolerant or perennial crops such as rubber, oil palm, guaraná, and  
27 timber species requires an alternative soil cover until the trees

1  
2 produce a closed canopy. Several variations of the "taungya" agro-  
3 forestry system are presently being practiced in the Amazon. Corn,  
4 cowpeas and sweet potatoes are grown between rows of rubber, oil palm  
5 and guaraná for two to five years until the oil palm canopy fully de-  
6 velops (UEPAE de Manaus, 1978; Andrade, 1979). Although no data on the  
7 relative yields of annual and perennial crops is available, there seem  
8 to be little interspecific competition for the first two to three years.  
9 In addition to producing food while a plantation is being established,  
10 the soil between the tree rows is protected from erosion during most of  
11 the year, except for intervals between harvesting of annual crops and  
12 the planting of the subsequent one.

13 3. Intercropping pastures with tree crops. When a legume or  
14 legume/grass pasture is grown under young tree crops, the soil is better  
15 protected than with annual crops. Many combinations exist in tropical  
16 America (Thomas, 1978). Pueraria phaseoloides is used as understory for  
17 rubber, Gmelina arborea or Dalbergia nigra plantations in Brazil. In  
18 some cases cattle grazes the Pueraria with apparent little detriment to  
19 rubber production under careful management. When the trees are planted  
20 at less than optimal density, certain grass/legume pastures persist and  
21 produce beef and milk. This is the case of a Brachiaria humidicola-  
22 Desmodium ovalifolium pastures under a planted stand of laurel (Cordia  
23 aleodora) a fast growing fuelwood species in non-acid alluvial soils of  
24 the Ecuadorian Amazon (Bishop, 1981).

25 The value of agroforestry as a low input soil management component  
26 is now widely acknowledged (Mongi and Huxley, 1979). Research data on  
27 agroforestry, however, is difficult to find. The lack of data to

1  
2 accompany these most interesting combinations underscores the need of  
3 systematic research aimed at understanding soil dynamics and improving  
4 soil management in agroforestry systems.

5 The potential of some annual crop-pasture-permanent crop succes-  
6 sions in acid soils of the humid tropics of tropical America is indeed  
7 tremendous. There is little doubt that the most stable production sys-  
8 tem in this environment is the one that produces essentially another  
9 tree canopy. It is also the one that requires least chemical inputs  
10 because a nutrient cycle between the soil and the trees is established.  
11 Acid-tolerant food crops like rice, cassava, soybeans, peanuts, cowpeas,  
12 plantains and others must be grown in order to provide food, but they  
13 can gradually be replaced by pastures or better by perennial crops. Oil  
14 palm, for example, can produce 5 tons/ha per year of ~~vegetative~~ <sup>oil</sup>  
15 without lime and with modest fertilizer <sup>applications</sup> ~~requirements~~ in Oxisols and  
16 Ultisols (Alvim, 1981). This is three to five times the oil production  
17 potential per hectare of other oil crops, including soybeans. Palm oil  
18 can be directly used as fuel in diesel engines with minor modifications.  
19 Mass production of totally renewable bio-energy could accompany in-  
20 creased food crop and livestock production in Oxisol-Ultisol regions.

## 21 I. Conclusions

22 The desirability of keeping the soil covered by a plant canopy  
23 during most of the year can be accomplished by various low input tech-  
24 nology components in Oxisol-Ultisol regions. Some, like low density  
25 pasture seedings, take advantage of acid soil infertility in surpressing  
26 weed growth. An understanding of changes in chemical and physical soil  
27

1  
2 properties with time is helpful for designing or improving continuous  
3 farming systems in acid infertile soil regions.

4     It would be ideal from the ecological point of view if this review  
5 could stop at this point, with the emphasis on minimal soil disturbance.  
6 Unfortunately, few of the above systems would remain productive unless  
7 fertilizers and lime would be added, to partially overcome critical acid  
8 soil constraints. The remaining sections of this review ~~will~~ address  
9 this issue.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

## V. MANAGEMENT OF SOIL ACIDITY

Soil acidity constraints are largely eliminated in the northern temperate regions of the world by liming to increase the soil pH to near neutrality. This strategy does not work in most Oxisol-Ultisol regions because of the different chemistry of low activity clay minerals which often results in yield reductions if such soils are limed to near neutrality (Kamprath, 1971). In addition, lime transportation costs are often very high in many savanna and rainforest areas. Nevertheless, the main soil acidity constraints (aluminum toxicity, calcium deficiency, magnesium deficiency and manganese toxicity) need to be alleviated in order to have successful agriculture in these regions. The importance of these constraints has been indicated in Table 2. Aluminum toxicity, calcium and magnesium deficiencies occur in about 70% of the acid infertile soil region of tropical America, and on approximately half the territorial extension of tropical America as a whole. Three main strategies are used to attenuate acid soil stresses without massive lime applications: (1) Lime to reduce aluminum saturation below toxic levels for specific farming systems, (2) lime to supply calcium and magnesium and to promote their movement into the subsoil, (3) use plant species and varieties tolerant to aluminum and manganese toxicities.

A. Lime to Decrease Aluminum Saturation

There are three major considerations: To determine how much, if any lime should be added, to consider the quality of lime used, and to promote the longest residual effect.

1  
2 1. Lime rate determination. The diagnosis of aluminum toxicity in  
3 acid soils of tropical America has been based on exchangeable aluminum  
4 extracted by 1N KCl since the mid 1960's (Mohr, 1960; Cate, 1965;  
5 Kamprath, 1970; Salinas, 1978). Liming recommendations are commonly  
6 derived from the following formulae, where the lime requirement is ex-  
7 pressed in either milliequivalents of calcium or tons/ha of CaCO<sub>3</sub>  
8 equivalent:

$$\text{meq Ca/100g soil} = 1.5 \times \text{meq Exch. Al/100g} \quad \text{(I)}$$

$$\text{tons CaCO}_3\text{-equivalent/ha} = 1.65 \times \text{meq Exch. Al/100g} \quad \text{(II)}$$

12 Lime applications based on these formulae usually neutralize most  
13 of the exchangeable aluminum and raise the soil pH in the neighborhood  
14 5.2 to 5.5. Figure 13 shows the relationship between pH and exchange-  
15 able aluminum levels in acid soils of Panama (Mendez, 1973).

16 The very low levels of exchangeable bases common of these soils  
17 have to be taken into consideration, in addition to the amounts of ex-  
18 changeable aluminum present (Olmos and Camargo, 1976; Freitas and  
19 Silveira, 1977). Percent aluminum saturation  $\left[ \frac{\text{Exch. Al}}{\text{Exch. Ca} + \text{Mg} + \text{K} + \text{Al}} \times 100 \right]$   
20 expresses these relationships well. Lopes and Cox (1977a) suggested  
21 that in most cases the percent aluminum saturation should be considered  
22 first, since soils having the same level of exchangeable aluminum but  
23 different degree of aluminum saturation would have different crop re-  
24 sponses to liming at the same lime rates. Moreover, Evans and Kamprath  
25 (1970), Kamprath (1971) and subsequent workers including Spain (1976)  
26 have indicated that for many crops the liming requirements based only on  
27

1  
2 the exchangeable aluminum may overestimate the lime rates because of  
3 varying degrees of plant tolerance to aluminum.

4 From the pioneer work of liming in an acid soil of tropical America  
5 by Menezes and Araújo in Brazil 30 years ago (Coimbra, 1963) until one  
6 of the recent experiments established 8 years ago also in Brazil  
7 (Gonzalez<sup>Z</sup> et al., 1979), the common approach has been to lime the soil  
8 for optimum crop response. This criterion can be interpreted as chang-  
9 ing the soil to satisfy the plant's demands. This approach is difficult  
10 to apply in many areas of tropical America due to agro-economic impli-  
11 cations. It may also be noted that Kamprath (1971) has reported that  
12 excessive liming may have a detrimental effect on plant growth, for  
13 example, lime induced zinc deficiency in cassava (Spain, 1976). There-  
14 fore, it is important to determine the most appropriate formula to con-  
15 vert exchangeable Al into the amount of lime for specific soil-crop sys-  
16 tems. Cochrane et al., (1980) developed a formula for determining the  
17 amount of lime needed to change the aluminum saturation level of the  
18 topsoil to the desired range:

$$19 \quad \text{Lime required (ton CaCO}_3\text{-equiv/ha)} = 1.8 \left[ \text{Al} - \frac{\text{RAS}(\text{Al} + \text{Ca} + \text{Mg})}{100} \right]$$

20 (III) ^

21 Where RAS is the critical percent aluminum saturation required by a  
22 particular crop, variety or farming system to overcome aluminum toxicity,  
23 and Al, Ca and Mg are the exchangeable levels of these cations expressed  
24 in meq/100 g. When compared with actual field data, the predictability  
25 of this equation is excellent (Cochrane et al., 1980). An additional  
26 advantage is that it requires no soil analyses beyond the 1N KCl extrac-  
27 tion of aluminum, calcium and magnesium as well as the information about

1  
2 crop tolerance to aluminum in terms of percent aluminum saturation. The  
3 adoption of such a formula could lead to the more effective use of lime  
4 and considerable savings in the quantities applied as well in costs.

5       2. Use quality liming materials. In addition to how the amounts  
6 of lime to apply are determined, the quality of the liming material de-  
7 serves consideration. Unfortunately little attention is usually given  
8 in Oxisol-Ultisol regions of tropical America to particle size and  
9 chemical composition of lime, other than whether the lime is calcitic or  
10 dolomitic (Lopes, 1975). Characterization studies of local lime de-  
11 posits such as that conducted by Guimarães and Santos (1968) for the  
12 State of Pará in the Brazilian Amazon should be encouraged. The ideal  
13 liming material should be in the carbonate form with most of it passing  
14 a 100 mesh sieve. Coarse  $\text{CaCO}_3$  sources seldom produce the desired yield  
15 responses for the first crop because they are slow to react. In order  
16 to compensate, farmers often apply higher than recommended rates, which  
17 may cause overliming problems for later crops (Camargo et al., 1962;  
18 Jones and Freitas, 1970).

19       In parts of the Amazon, most of the lime sources are exploited for  
20 construction purposes and therefore produce hydrated lime in the hydrox-  
21 ide form. These liming materials are extremely reactive and produce a  
22 short-lived residual effects (NCSU, 1975, 1976). The alternative for  
23 better utilization of  $\text{Ca(OH)}_2$  as a lime source is smaller and more fre-  
24 quent application rates (Wade, 1978). A better alternative is to re-  
25 quest the lime producers <sup>just</sup> ~~just~~ to grind the material to the appropriate  
26 size and thus keep it in the carbonate form.  
27



1  
2 Since magnesium is frequently limiting in Oxisols and Ultisols,  
3 dolomitic lime sources are preferred. A Ca:Mg ratio of 10:1 in the  
4 liming material is usually adequate.

5 3. Residual effects of lime. The beneficial effects of liming  
6 acid soils are usually expected to last for several years. However, the  
7 residual effects are considered <sup>ed to be</sup> shorter in tropical than in temperate  
8 regions because of higher rainfall and higher temperatures (Lathwell,  
9 1979). Whether this is true or not, the estimation of residual effects  
10 of liming in acid soils of tropical America becomes a main concern of  
11 soil management for humid tropical rainforests and ustic savanna regions.  
12 The length of the residual effect will also depend on the ecosystem.  
13 In general, acid soils in the tropical rainforests will have shorter  
14 residual effects of lime as compared with the savanna<sup>s</sup> region because of  
15 faster leaching of bases due to higher rainfall, faster release of alu-  
16 minium from organic matter complexes, and higher base removal by plants  
17 in year-round crop production systems (Villachica, 1978).

18 Figure 14 shows the changes in topsoil exchangeable aluminum, cal-  
19 cium and magnesium within four and a half years after liming applica-  
20 tions in an Oxisol from Carimagua, Colombia on which seven annual crops  
21 were grown consecutively. There is an increase in exchangeable aluminum  
22 with time at all but the high lime rate probably caused by leaching of  
23 bases, release of H<sup>+</sup> ions from organic matter, and residual acidity of  
24 nitrogen fertilization. The losses were on the order of 1 to 2 tons of  
25 lime per ha for the 4½ year period. Howeler (1975) considered an annual  
26 application of 200 to 500 kg/ha of lime per year to be sufficient to  
27

1  
2 maintain an adequate level of calcium and magnesium in this soil under  
3 continuous cropping and reverse the above increases in exchangeable  
4 aluminum.

5 Table 20 summarizes the residual effect of a Brazilian long-term  
6 liming experiment after seven consecutive crops (five of corn, one of  
7 sorghum and one of soybean). After 6½ years, soil pH decreased at all  
8 lime rates probably because of the residual acidity of nitrogen fer-  
9 tilizers. Exchangeable aluminum increased with time and exchangeable  
10 calcium and magnesium decreased. Aluminum saturation <sup>levels</sup> ~~rates~~ increased by  
11 about 20% for the 0, 1 and 2 ton/ha rate. The grain yields indicate an  
12 excellent residual effect, with the 1 ton/ha rate still providing over  
13 80% of the maximum yield in the seventh successive crop. This is prob-  
14 ably associated with the relatively high aluminum tolerance of the soy-  
15 bean variety used (UFV-1).

#### 16 B. Lime as Calcium and Magnesium Fertilizer

17 The traditional emphasis on NPK fertilization in tropical America  
18 (with the welcome addition of sulfur in recent years) has distracted  
19 attention from the widespread calcium and magnesium deficiencies found  
20 in Oxisol-Ultisol regions. In high input systems, traditional fer-  
21 tilizer sources such as ordinary superphosphate and <sup>dolomitic</sup> lime often satisfy  
22 the plant's nutritional requirements for the three secondary elements.  
23 In low input systems with plants tolerant to high levels of aluminum  
24 saturation and low available phosphorus, grown on low effective cation  
25 exchange capacity soils, the correction of calcium and magnesium defi-  
26 ciencies <sup>requires</sup> ~~needs~~ direct attention.  
27

1  
2 1. Availability of calcium and magnesium. The principal factors  
3 affecting the availability of calcium and magnesium in Oxisols and  
4 Ultisols are the level of these nutrients in exchangeable form, the  $e^{-\frac{E}{RT}}$   
5 ffective<sup>E</sup> CEC; exchangeable aluminum levels, te<sup>x</sup>xture and clay mineralogy  
6 (Kamprath and Foy, 1971).

7 Exchangeable calcium and magnesium levels in Oxisols and Ultisols  
8 are usually very low. The range encountered in savannas of Brazil,  
9 Colombia and Venezuela is on the order of 0.1 to 0.7 meq Ca/100 g and  
10 0.06 and 0.4 meq Mg/100 g for the topsoil (Lopes and Cox, 1977a; Salinas,  
11 1980; C. Sanchez, 1977). Calcium and magnesium levels in the subsoil  
12 are usually lower and sometimes reach undetectable values in Oxisol  
13 subsoils (Ritchey et al., 1980).

14 Exchangeable calcium and magnesium levels in rainforest Oxisols and  
15 Ultisols are somewhat higher, particularly in the topsoil. The examples  
16 previously shown in Table 12 indicate a range of 0.4 to 1.46 meq Ca/100 g  
17 in the topsoil prior to clearing and burning. Exchangeable Mg ranges  
18 from 0.07 to 0.33 meq/100 g in the same data set. Consequently, topsoil  
19 exchangeable calcium levels seem higher in the rainforest than in  
20 savanna regions, but exchangeable magnesium levels show no differences.  
21 Decreases with depth of these two elements is sharper in the rainforest  
22 than in the savannas but the levels remain within the detectable range.  
23 The dynamics of these two nutrients as a result of burning rainforests  
24 has been described in a previous<sup>g</sup> Section<sup>IV-B</sup><sub>A</sub> of this paper.

25 The low ECEC of most Oxisols and Ultisols pose some advantages and  
26 disadvantages to the supply of calcium and magnesium. The first disad-  
27 vantage is the rapid leaching during periods of intense rainfall.

1  
2 During such periods temporary anaerobic conditions may actually inhibit  
3 calcium and magnesium uptake by roots. During the dry season, water  
4 stress may accentuate calcium and magnesium deficiencies. The concentra-  
5 tion of these elements in tissue samples of Melinis minutiflora and  
6 native savanna species decreases significantly during the dry season at  
7 Carimagua (Lebdoekojo, 1977). Plants are therefore faced with a dif-  
8 ficult situation, probably adequate calcium and magnesium supplies dur-  
9 ing part of the rainy season, rapid leaching losses during periods of  
10 intense rainfall and low availability of both nutrients during the dry  
11 season because of water stress (Gualdrón and Spain, 1980). Nevertheless,  
12 both native and introduced plants in Oxisol savannas appear to do better  
13 in terms of calcium and magnesium than the low soil levels and the ad-  
14 verse moisture-dependent relationships would suggest. Rodríguez (1975)  
15 indicated that some species may have more efficient calcium and mag-  
16 nesium uptake mechanisms than those presently understood.

17 Aluminum competes with calcium in the soil solution for exchange  
18 sites. Aluminum toxicity therefore, can be decreased by calcium addi-  
19 tions (Millaway, 1979). In cocoa, the presence of aluminum decreases  
20 calcium uptake but not its translocation to the aerial plant parts  
21 (García, 1977). Reduction in root development under high aluminum con-  
22 centrations could be due to calcium deficiency which hinders the develop-  
23 ment of tap roots (Zandstra, 1971).

24 In general, soils dominated by 1:1 clays require a lower level of  
25 base saturation for calcium and magnesium to become available to plants  
26 from soils dominated by 2:1 clays (Kirkby, 1979). This is an advantage  
27 of Oxisols and Ultisols because of the dominance of 1:1 clays.

1  
2 2. Fertilizer requirements. Information of the rates of lime to  
3 satisfy calcium and magnesium fertilization requirements is scanty.  
4 Table 21 summarizes the experience in Oxisols of the Llanos Orientales  
5 of Colombia, with levels ranging on the order of less than 0.1 to 0.4  
6 meq/100 g of both elements.

7 In some cases the response to 0.5 ton/ha of dolomitic lime is due  
8 to magnesium. Spain (1979) reported this situation for the establish-  
9 ment phase of two pasture legumes, Desmodium ovalifolium and Pueraria  
10 phaseoloides in Carimagua, Colombia. A straightforward magnesium re-  
11 sponse also accounted for most of the lime response by the first crop of  
12 corn in a long-term experiment at Brasilia (NCSU, 1974). In rainforest  
13 Ultisols of Yurimaguas, Peru, where dolomitic lime is not easily avail-  
14 able Villachica (1978) recommends magnesium application rates on the  
15 order of 30 kg Mg/ha per crop to overcome magnesium deficiencies and  
16 prevent K/Mg imbalances.

17 Recent results show that tropical grasses also differ in their cal-  
18 cium requirements (CIAT, 1981). Figure 14 shows the field response of  
19 seven grass species grown in an Oxisol of Carimagua as a function of  
20 calcium concentration in plant tissue. The critical internal calcium  
21 requirements ranged from 0.32 to 0.60%. Figure 15 shows the correspond-  
22 ing levels of aluminum saturation, calcium saturation and lime require-  
23 ment, according to Cochrane et al.'s formula). This information sug-  
24 gests that these species should be classified not only according to  
25 their tolerance to aluminum but also according to their different cal-  
26 cium requirements.

1  
2 3. Downwards movement of calcium and magnesium. Regardless of  
3 whether liming is practiced to decrease aluminum saturation and/or to  
4 supply calcium and magnesium, its beneficial effects are felt mainly at  
5 the depth to which it is incorporated, because lime does not move appre-  
6 ciably in ~~the~~ soil<sup>^</sup>. The subsoil of most Oxisols and Ultisols is usually  
7 quite acid and often presents a chemical barrier to root development,  
8 either because of aluminum toxicity, ~~or~~ extreme calcium deficiency, or  
9 both. It is common to observe roots of annual crops almost exclusively  
10 confined<sup>^</sup> to the limed topsoil, with little penetration into the acid  
11 subsoil in savanna Oxisols (Gonzalez, 1976; Bandy, 1976) and rainforest  
12 Ultisols (Bandy, 1977; Valverde and Bandy, 1981). Such plants suffer  
13 from water stress when drought periods occur in spite of having ample  
14 soil moisture stored in the subsoil. Large yield losses occur when tem-  
15 porary droughts occur at critical growth stages during the rainy season  
16 in Oxisol regions (Wolf, 1977).

17 A major objective of low input technology is to promote root de-  
18 velopment into these acid subsoils as an alternative to the more expen-  
19 sive supplemental irrigation systems. These <sup>var</sup> strategies have been de-  
20 vised to overcome this problem: 1) Deep lime applications in Oxisols, 2) pro-  
21 moting the downwards movement of calcium and magnesium, and <sup>3)</sup> the use of  
22 aluminum-tolerant cultivars and species.

23 Although at first glance ~~not~~ a low input technology, incorporating  
24 the same rates of lime into the top 30 rather than the top 15 cms has  
25 increased corn yields through various seasons in an Oxisol near Brasília  
26 (NCSU, 1974; Salinas, 1978; Gonzalez et al., 1979). This practice is  
27 possible in well granulated Oxisols, where it is feasible to rototill

1  
2 down to 30 cm without major increased<sup>ed</sup> in tractor energy. In Ultisols  
3 with a marked textural change within the top 30 cm this practice is not  
4 recommendable because it may create severe soil physical problems  
5 (Sanchez, 1977). This suggests that not only chemical but also physical  
6 soil parameters should be considered to define the most appropriate al-  
7 ternative for liming practice.

8 Olmos (1971) presented experimental results which demonstrate sig-  
9 nificant differences in behavior among various kinds of acid soils be-  
10 cause of subsoil aluminum. Figure 16 shows as an example the changes in  
11 pH, calcium, magnesium, potassium and aluminum saturation throughout the  
12 profile of Colombian Oxisol. Aluminum toxicity at levels which inhibit  
13 root penetration is found in the first 80 cm below which aluminum satura-  
14 tion decreases to values lesser than 60% with no toxicity effects  
15 (Salinas and Delgadillo, 1980).

16 A major advantage of many acid infertile soils is that their chem-  
17 ical and physical properties permits the downwards movement of calcium  
18 and magnesium into subsoil layers, thereby decreasing acid soil stresses  
19 at depth and increasing root development. Downwards movement of cal-  
20 cium and magnesium applied as lime is of little or no practical signifi-  
21 cance in other soils dominated by high activity clays.

22 As mentioned before, lime does not move appreciably in soils, but  
23 exchangeable calcium and magnesium do so in low ECEC Oxisols and Ultisols  
24 accompanied by anions such as sulfates or nitrates (Pearson, 1975;  
25 Sanchez, 1976). The first evidence of this phenomenon in tropical Latin  
26 America was reported by Pearson et al., (1962) after applying about  
27 800 kg N/ha/yr as ammonium sulfate to intensively fertilized grass

1  
2 pastures in Puerto Rico. The probable presence of large concentrations  
3 of accompanying anions promoted rapid movement of basic cations into the  
4 subsoil.

5 Within the last three years similar observations have been <sup>reported</sup> pub-  
6 ~~lished~~ for Oxisols of the Brazilian and Colombian savannas and for  
7 Ultisols of the Peruvian Amazon, but at much lower levels of lime and  
8 fertilizer inputs (Salinas, 1978; NCSU, 1978; Villachica, 1978; Ritchey  
9 et al., 1980; Gualdrón and Spain, 1980). Figure 17 shows the changes in  
10 soil properties with depth 40 months after applying lime to the top  
11 15 cm of a Brazilian Oxisol and cropping it continuously for five years.  
12 Subsoil acidity was gradually ameliorated, particularly when high rates  
13 of lime are used. With 2 and 4 ton/ha rates, the critical level of 50%  
14 aluminum saturation is reached at about 30 cm depth. With 8 tons/ha of  
15 lime, this level is reached at about 80 cm depth. Crop rooting volume  
16 did increase as the aluminum toxicity barrier was gradually pushed down  
17 (Bandy, 1976).

18 Laboratory column experiments and field observations with the same  
19 soil have confirmed the previous results. Ritchey et al., (1980) showed  
20 significant calcium movement down to 180, 75 and 25 cm depth when  $\text{CaCl}_2$ ,  
21  $\text{CaSO}_4$ , and  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , respectively were mixed with the top 15 cm of an  
22 Oxisol column and the equivalent annual rainfall leached through  
23 (Figure 18). Under field conditions gypsum included in simple super-  
24 phosphate increased subsoil pH and calcium plus magnesium levels while  
25 aluminum saturation decreased at 75-90 cm depth 3 to 4 years after ap-  
26 plication (Figure 19). Corn roots growing in the improved subsoil  
27



1  
2 environment were able to take up water and withstand droughts (Ritchey  
3 et al., 1980).

4 It is interesting to observe that considerable increases in subsoil  
5 calcium and magnesium can be attained with moderate applications of lime  
6 (1 to 2 tons/ha) and simple superphosphate (160 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha).

### 7 C. Selection of Aluminum Tolerant Varieties

8 The main component of managing soil acidity is the selection of  
9 productive varieties that are tolerant to aluminum toxicity. Screening  
10 a large number of ecotypes either in culture selection, in the green-  
11 house, the field or a combination of the three is the preferred proced-  
12 ure. This requires close cooperation between soil scientists and plant  
13 breeders. Among the nutrient culture solution screening techniques the  
14 hematoxylin test proposed by Polle et al., (1978) appears very useful.  
15 Results of culture selection or greenhouse screening, however, must be  
16 validated in the field with a representative range of the cultivars  
17 screened. Examples of such correlations are given by Spain et al.,  
18 (1975); Howeler and Cadavid (1976); Salinas (1978), and Salinas and  
19 Delgadillo (1980). The latter two studies include the joint tolerance  
20 to aluminum and phosphorus stresses, because they tend to occur to-  
21 gether (Salinas, 1978). Cultivars can then be classified by their  
22 critical aluminum saturation level required for attaining 80% of the  
23 maximum yield. For a specific site, this parameter can be reported in  
24 terms of lime requirement using the formula of Cochrane et al., (1980),  
25 and incorporating the critical percent aluminum saturation as "RAS."

26 1. Screening annual crops. Figure 20 shows an example of 10  
27 wheat varieties screened in this fashion in an Oxisol from Brasília,

1  
2 Brazil. The results are presented in a Cate-Nelson diagram (Cate and  
3 Nelson, 1972) plotting percent maximum yield against aluminum saturation,  
4 with the critical aluminum saturation level indicated by a vertical  
5 arrow. Critical levels ranged from 22 to 60% aluminum saturation, which  
6 for that soil represents a lime requirement of 0.5 to 1.6 tons/ha of  
7  $\text{CaCO}_3$  equivalent. Figure 21 shows similarly obtained data on five up-  
8 land rice varieties. Critical aluminum saturation levels ranged from  
9 22 to over 70%, and lime requirements from 0.2 to 1.4 tons/ha of  $\text{CaCO}_3$   
10 equivalent. These two results confirm the existence of wide differen-  
11 tial tolerance to aluminum in both rice and wheat. The rice variety  
12 Pratao Precoce was not affected by aluminum within the range tested,  
13 while the sensitive varieties Flotante and Batatais showed a negative  
14 linear yield response to increasing aluminum saturation.

15 The general trend shows that wheat varieties bred in Brazil exhibit  
16 greater tolerance to both stress factors than varieties bred in Mexico,  
17 such as Sonora 63, INIA 66, and CIANO. Brazilian varieties were selec-  
18 ted under acid soil conditions while the Mexican ones were selected in  
19 calcareous soils. Among Brazilian varieties, the two developed closest  
20 to the Cerrado, IAC-5 in Campinas, and BH 1146 in Belo Horizonte were  
21 more tolerant to the aluminum and low phosphorus than those developed  
22 in Rio Grande do Sul (IAS-20 and IAS-55) where the soils, although acid,  
23 are generally more fertile than in the Cerrado. Some variability is  
24 also observed among the Mexican varieties. These results suggest good  
25 possibilities of combining the aluminum tolerance of the Brazilian  
26 varieties with the short-statured, lodging resistant plant type of the  
27 Mexican varieties.

1  
2 A third field study conducted in Oxisols in the State of Paraná,  
3 Brazil compared the differential aluminum tolerance of 10 soybean cul-  
4 tivars. Muzilli et al., (1978) defined the critical aluminum saturation  
5 level as that required to obtain 80% of the maximum yield. This proced-  
6 ure is quite similar to that reported by Salinas (1978) in Figures 20  
7 and 21 since the Cate-Nelson plot diagrams show that yields at critical  
8 aluminum saturation levels were in the order of 70 to 80% of the max-  
9 imum. Table 22 shows Muzilli's classification. None classified as  
10 tolerant, which was defined as a critical level of more than 25% alu-  
11 minum saturation.

12 These critical levels may vary with location and management, and  
13 particularly the availability of calcium, magnesium and phosphorus in  
14 the soil during the experiment. For example, one soybean variety,  
15 Improved Pelican, was tested in Yurimaguas, Peru (NCSU, 1976) using the  
16 same procedure as in the Brazilian experiment. Improved Palican showed  
17 a critical aluminum saturation level of 40%, a rating never approached  
18 in Paraná. Nevertheless, such studies clearly show which cultivars are  
19 more tolerant. The Paraná study suggests the cultivars Bossier, Viçoja  
20 and UFV-1 should be used instead of Andrews, Cobb or Florida, as far as  
21 aluminum tolerance is concerned.

22 2. Screening pasture species. A somewhat different approach has  
23 been followed by Salinas and Delgadillo (1980) in their systematic  
24 screening of grass and legume ecotypes for adaptation to aluminum and  
25 phosphorus stress. Both absolute and relative yields are considered  
26 since growth vigor during the establishment phase is an important con-  
27 sideration in the selection of superior ecotypes. Salinas and

1  
2 Delgadillo considered a 50% maximum yield level is an index of survival,  
3 50 to 79% maximum yield as moderate tolerance and 80% of the maximum  
4 yield or more as high tolerance under conditions of high aluminum and  
5 phosphorus stresses. The 50% limit is consistent with biologic toxic-  
6 cology (Matsumura, 1976; Leiner, 1969; Lal, 1980) while the 80% limit  
7 was set as the point beyond which the response curve is nearly flat.

8 Table 23, adapted from Salinas and Delgadillo (1980), summarizes  
9 the behavior of six grass and nine legume ecotypes at different levels  
10 of aluminum and phosphorus stress at Carimagua, Colombia. The unamended  
11 Oxisol topsoil had 93% aluminum saturation and 1.7 ppm available P ex-  
12 tracted by the Bray II method. Treatments included lime rates 0.5 ton/ha  
13 to supply calcium and magnesium and 5 tons/ha to neutralize most of the  
14 exchangeable aluminum. This latter rate decreased aluminum saturation  
15 to about 25%. Two phosphorus rates were included, 17 kg P/ha as minimal  
16 and 227 kg P/ha to attenuate and overcome most of the high phosphorus  
17 fixation capacity of the soil. The field design was a factorial of four  
18 lime rates x three phosphorus levels. Plant tolerance was classified as  
19 high (H) when the relative yield exceeded 80%, moderate (M) between 50  
20 and ~~70~~<sup>9</sup>%, surviving (S) between 1 and ~~40~~<sup>9</sup>%, and dead (X) for those that  
21 did not survive.

22 Table 23 shows a marked differential response among grass and le-  
23 gume ecotypes. The tolerance rating varied with different levels of  
24 aluminum and phosphorus stress. In the case of the grasses there was  
25 an overall positive growth response as the stresses were gradually elim-  
26 inated. Brachiaria humidicola and Andropogon gayanus showed the great-  
27 est overall tolerance, Pennisetum purpureum the least. The absolute

1  
2 yields show that Andropogon gayanus was the most productive overall.  
3 Also this species attained over 80% of the maximum yield with 86% alu-  
4 minum saturation and 2.3 ppm P, a result of adding 0.5 ton/ha of lime to  
5 supply calcium and magnesium and 17 kg P/ha. Panicum maximum showed  
6 less overall tolerance but relatively high absolute yield. Under  
7 Carimagua conditions this species requires high levels of lime and  
8 phosphorus to reach 80% of its maximum yield.

9 As a group the legumes listed in Table 23 are generally more toler-  
10 ant to acidity and low phosphorus than the grasses, except for Desmodium  
11 heterophyllum, Macroptilium sp. and Leucaena leucocephala. These eco-  
12 types died unless 0.5 ton/ha of lime and some phosphorus was added.  
13 Stylosanthes showed generally better performance than other genera.

14 Such ratings do not guarantee the success of a tolerant ecotype  
15 under grazing conditions. Persistence and productivity of the pasture  
16 also depends on many other plant attributes including regrowth capacity,  
17 tolerance to defoliation, trampling, drought, insect and disease stress.  
18 Nevertheless, the tolerance ratings give a clear estimate on the inputs  
19 needed to overcome acid soil constraints.

#### 20 D. Selection of Manganese Tolerant Varieties

21 Manganese toxicity is another toxic factor present in certain  
22 Oxisols and Ultisols. Although its geographical extent is not known  
23 (Table 2) it is believed to be less common than aluminum toxicity.  
24 Manganese toxicity occurs in soils high in easily reducible manganese,  
25 usually with fairly high organic matter contents that can cause tem-  
26 porary anaerobic conditions. Manganese is very soluble at pH values  
27 lower than 5.5, particularly under anaerobic conditions where  $Mn^{+4}$  is

1  
2 reduced to  $Mn^{+2}$ . Temporary anaerobic conditions may occur in well  
3 drained Oxisols and Ultisols due to rapid decomposition of organic mat-  
4 ter and/or temporary waterlogging during periods of heavy rainfall.  
5 Examples of such soils is Coto clay, a Tropeptic Eutrorthox from Puerto  
6 Rico (Pearson, 1975) and some Orthoxic Palehumult soils at CIAT's  
7 Quilichao station in Colombia. Unlike aluminum toxicity, manganese  
8 toxicity can occur at pH levels as high as 6.0 (Simar et al., 1974).  
9 The lime levels commonly needed to raise the pH of manganese toxic  
10 Oxisols and Ultisols to about 6 is usually very high. For example, to  
11 raise the pH from 4.6 to 6.0 in the Ultisol of CIAT-Quilichao it is  
12 necessary to apply 20 tons/ha of pure  $CaCO_3$  (CIAT, 1978). Consequently,  
13 the main strategy is to select tolerant varieties.

14 Unlike aluminum toxicity, symptoms of manganese toxicity occur in  
15 the leaves, because this element tends to accumulate in the <sup>a</sup>areal parts,  
16 while excess aluminum accumulates in the roots (Foy, 1976b). Manganese  
17 toxicity symptoms include marginal chlorosis, distortion of young leaves  
18 and localized spots where manganese accumulates (Vlamis and Williams,  
19 1973; Foy, 1976b). In general it seems that legumes are more suscep-  
20 tible to manganese toxicity than grasses (Lohnis, 1951; Hewitt, 1963).  
21 Australian scientists have <sup>found</sup> ~~characterised~~ important differences in toler-  
22 ance to manganese excess among the main pasture legume species. Table  
23 24 shows Andrew and Hegarty's ranking of manganese tolerance of major  
24 Australian tropical legumes. Souto and Döbereiner (1969) also found  
25 similar differences in manganese-toxic Oxisols of the State of Rio de  
26 Janeiro, Brazil. Their results shown in Table 25 suggest that Centro-  
27 sema pubescens is relatively tolerant while Pueraria phaseoloides is

1  
2 sensitive. Ongoing work by the junior author (Salinas, unpublished)  
3 shows the opposite result, according to visual observation in Ultisols  
4 of Quilichao, Colombia. Australian scientists are breeding specifically  
5 to incorporate manganese tolerance into Macroptilium atropurpureum be-  
6 cause the widespread variety Siratro is quite sensitive to manganese  
7 toxicity (Hutton et al., (1978).

8 Very little has been accomplished in establishing critical external  
9 (soil) or internal (foliar) critical levels of manganese toxicity.

10 Andrew and Hegarty (1969) have developed internal critical levels shown  
11 in Table 24 but they do not follow their tolerance rankings. Based on  
12 preliminary work at CIAT, more than 100 ppm 1N KCl-extractable Mn within  
13 the top 50 cms of the soil could be considered as a tentative indication  
14 of manganese toxicity (Sanchez and Cochrane, 1980). This figure needs  
15 local validation before it can be considered as a external critical  
16 level for manganese toxicity.

#### 17 E. Conclusions

18 Although about 70% of the land area of the Oxisol-Ultisol regions  
19 of tropical America possess severe acid soil constraints, it is not  
20 necessary to lime these areas to neutrality or even to pH 5.5 in order  
21 to obtain sustained crop and pasture production. Estimates of long-term  
22 world food production should not include heavy rates of lime applica-  
23 tions for the 750 million hectares of tropical America with serious  
24 aluminum toxicity, calcium and magnesium deficiency constraints. At  
25 the same time, statements that sustained agricultural production is pos-  
26 sible without liming in most Oxisols and Ultisols are wrong. The exis-  
27 tence of very aluminum tolerant varieties of forage species and crops

1  
2 may eliminate the need to decrease the aluminum saturation level of the  
3 soil by liming, but in most cases the plants require fertilization with  
4 calcium and magnesium. This can be accomplished by small lime applica-  
5 tions or by fertilizers containing sufficient amounts of these two es-  
6 sential nutrients.

7 A very positive attribute of many Oxisols and Ultisols of tropical  
8 America is the relative ease of calcium and magnesium movement into the  
9 subsoil. It is possible to take advantage of what is normally consid-  
10 ered a soil constraint, low effective CEC. Together with a favorable  
11 soil structure and plenty of rainfall, low ECEC favors the gradual  
12 amelioration of the chemical properties of the subsoil. They, in turn,  
13 favor deeper root development and thus increased tolerance to drought  
14 stress.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27



## VI. PHOSPHORUS MANAGEMENT

Phosphorus deficiency is one of the most widespread soil constraints in tropical America. Approximately 82% of the land area of the American tropics is deficient in phosphorus in its natural state (Table 2). In the Oxisol-Ultisol savannas and rainforests the estimate increases to 96% of the area (Sanchez and Cochrane, 1980). Phosphorus deficiency problems are compounded by widespread high phosphorus fixation capacity. Soils with high phosphorus fixation capacity can be defined as those that require additions of at least 200 kg P/ha in order to provide an equilibrium concentration of 0.2 ppm P in the soil solution (Sanchez and Uehara, 1980). Acid soils that fix such amounts of phosphorus can be identified as those with loamy or clayey topsoil textures with a sesquioxide/clay ratio of 0.2 or above, or by the dominance of allophane in the clay fraction of the topsoil (Buol et al., 1975). About 53% of tropical America's land surface is dominated by soils with such high capacity to fix phosphorus. In the Oxisol-Ultisol regions this figure increases to 72%, but high fixing soils are less extensive in the Amazon ~~forests~~ <sup>jungle</sup> than in the savannas (Cochrane and Sanchez, 1981).

Figure 22 shows some examples of phosphorus sorption isotherms according to the Fox and Kamprath (1970) procedure. Among Oxisols and Ultisols phosphorus fixation generally increases with clay content because of its direct relationship with surface area, where the iron and aluminum oxides and hydroxides largely responsible for phosphorus fixation are located (Pope, 1976; Lopes and Cox, 1979; Sanchez and Uehara, 1980). High phosphorus fixation is considered one major reason why vast

1  
2 areas or arable lands in tropical American savannas are largely under-  
3 utilized (León and Fenster, 1980).

4 The relatively high unit cost of phosphorus fertilizers, coupled  
5 with the widespread deficiency and fixation constraints require the  
6 development of low input technologies that can make most efficient use  
7 of applied phosphorus in these soils. Salinas and Sanchez (1976),  
8 Fenster and León (1979) and Sanchez and Uehara (1980) have suggested  
9 similar strategies in order to develop sound phosphorus management for  
10 crops and pastures on the acid, infertile soils of tropical America.  
11 The strategy now consists of six major components, five of which are  
12 relatively well established. They are: 1) Determine the most appro-  
13 priate combination of rates and placement methods to enhance initial and  
14 residual effects; 2) improve soil fertility evaluation procedures for  
15 making phosphorus recommendations; 3) use less costly sources of phos-  
16 phorus such as phosphate rocks, either alone or combined with superphos-  
17 phate; 4) use moderate amounts of lime to increase the availability of  
18 phosphorus; 5) select species and varieties that can grow well at lower  
19 levels of available soil phosphorus; and 6) explore the practical pos-  
20 sibilities of mycorrhizal associations to increase phosphorus uptake by  
21 plants. These four strategies are discussed in the following sections.

#### 22 A. Rates and Placement Methods of Phosphorus Applications

23 Extensive research has been conducted in tropical America to deter-  
24 mine the optimum crop responses to phosphorus fertilization in Oxisols  
25 and Ultisols (Kamprath, 1973). Most of it however, is limited to broad-  
26 cast applications of superphosphates and their incorporation into the  
27 topsoil. Although this application method produces very strong yield

1  
2 responses, such as the one shown in Figure 2 (Section ~~2~~) the high  
3 rates required and placement method are not necessarily the most effi-  
4 cient way to apply phosphorus.

5 1. Annual crops. A long-term experiment conducted on a high fix-  
6 ing Typic Haplustox of the Brazilian Cerrado provides a comparison of  
7 banded vs. broadcast superphosphate applications for a sufficient period  
8 of time to adequately evaluate the residual effects. Figure 23 (drawn  
9 from data by NCSU, 1974, 1975, 1976, 1978; CPAC, 1978, 1979, 1980; Yost  
10 et al., 1979; and Miranda et al., 1980) shows the results of different  
11 rate and placement of triple superphosphate on nine consecutive corn  
12 harvests during a seven year period. Contrary to conventional opinion,  
13 banding was inferior to broadcast applications for the first crop. This  
14 soil was so deficient in phosphorus that root development was restricted  
15 to topsoil areas which had received phosphorus fertilization. With sub-  
16 sequent crops this effect disappeared as the banded applications were  
17 mixed with the rest of the topsoil by ~~subsequent~~ tillage operations.

18 Considering the long-term effects, the highest average grain yield  
19 of 6.3 tons/ha was obtained by broadcasting the massive application of  
20 1280 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha and incorporating it into the topsoil prior to the  
21 first planting. The residual effect has been sufficient to keep the  
22 available soil phosphorus level above the critical level of 8 ppm P  
23 (by the North Carolina extraction) for seven years. Economic calcula-  
24 tions by Miranda et al., (1980) also indicate that this high input  
25 strategy is the most profitable among the ones studied in this experi-  
26 ment, assuming an annual interest rate of 25% on credit to buy the  
27

1  
2 fertilizer, and an average price:cost ratio where 6.7 kg of corn are  
3 needed to pay for 1 kg of  $P_2O_5$  as triple superphosphate.

4 The high capital investment and the implications on world fertil-  
5 izer supplies suggest that other alternatives be pursued. Splitting the  
6 1280 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha rate into four 320 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha banded applications to the  
7 first four crops, produced 97% of the maximum yield; therefore, the  
8 efficiency of fertilizer use was not affected. This alternative,  
9 however, has the disadvantage of initially low yields, but has the  
10 advantage of spreading the purchase of phosphorus over four years. A  
11 similar gradual build-up by banded applications for four years to reach  
12 a total of 640 and 320 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha produced 74 and 51% of the maximum  
13 yield, respectively. These treatments performed similarly to initial  
14 broadcast applications of 640 and 320 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha (Figure 23, middle).  
15 The tradeoffs are higher initial crop yields with broadcast applications  
16 instead of a gradual yields increase and a more effective residual  
17 effect with the banded applications.

18 Combinations of broadcast and banded applications, shown at the  
19 bottom of Figure 23 show more promise. An initial broadcast application  
20 of 320 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha followed by four banded applications of 80 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha  
21 produced 79% of the maximum yield as an average of the nine crops.  
22 Miranda et al., (1980) reported that the economic return to this  
23 strategy was similar to broadcasting 1280 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha once, but the total  
24 amount of phosphorus added reduced to one-half. Another possibility is  
25 to broadcast a minimum amount of 80 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha and apply the same  
26 quantity in bands to every crop, including the first one. This strategy  
27

1 produced 75% of the maximum yields but the total investment in phosphorus  
2 during the nine crops increased to 800 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha.  
3

4 Both broadcast and banded combinations have the additional advan-  
5 tage of higher yield stability than either all broadcast or all banded  
6 applications. In retrospect a more effective treatment may have been an  
7 initial broadcast application of 160 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha followed by banding  
8 80 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha to all crops. This would have reduced the total invest-  
9 ment to 640 kg P/ha for the nine crops, could have produced between 75  
10 and 80% of the maximum yield and avoided large initial capital invest-  
11 ments. Considering the high phosphorus fixation capacity of this soil  
12 (780 ppm P or 3545 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha to reach 0.2 <sup>ppm</sup> in soil solution), shown in  
13 Figure 22 as the Oxisol from Brazil), the broadcast plus banded strate-  
14 gies are examples of how to decrease fertilizer phosphorus inputs by a  
15 more judicious combination of rates and placement methods, with suffi-  
16 cient time to evaluate the residual effects.

17 2. Pastures. Phosphorus fertilizer rates and placement considera-  
18 tions are fundamentally different in the case of pastures in these high  
19 fixing soils. The main reasons are the lower phosphorus rates required  
20 by acid-tolerant pastures, the lack of subsequent tillage operations  
21 that mix applied phosphorus within the topsoil ~~prior to planting subse-~~  
22 ~~quent crops,~~ <sup>and a</sup> nutrient recycling <sup>mechanism</sup> via animal excreta under grazing.  
23 Figure 24 shows a completely different response pattern of adapted pas-  
24 ture species to broadcast superphosphate applications in an Ultisol from  
25 Quilichao, Colombia with a similar phosphorus fixation capacity as the  
26 Oxisol from Brasilia mentioned in the previous example. Figure 22 indi-  
27 cates that the amount of phosphorus added to maintain 0.2 ppm <sup>P</sup> phosphorus

1  
2 in the soil solution is similar in both soils (650 ppm P for the  
3 Quilichao Ultisol and 760 ppm P for the Brasília Oxisol). Annual crops  
4 grown on the Quilichao Ultisol require about 400 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha to approach  
5 maximum yields. Pasture species like Panicum maximum, Andropogon  
6 gayanus and Centrosema pubescens require about 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha as one  
7 broadcast incorporated application to approach maximum dry matter pro-  
8 duction for the first two years. In the Carimagua Oxisol with consid-  
9 erably lower phosphorus fixation capacity (400 ppm P to reach 0.2 ppm P  
10 in solution as shown in Figure 22), adapted pasture species such as  
11 Brachiaria decumbens require only 50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha as triple superphosphate  
12 to achieve maximum production (Figure 25). At such low levels of appli-  
13 cation, banding is definitely superior to broadcast incorporated appli-  
14 cation for pasture establishment, particularly if seeding is also done  
15 in bands (CIAT, 1978; Fenster and León, 1979). Pasture species have  
16 their maximum phosphorus requirements a few weeks after germination,  
17 before a deep root system develops (Salinas, 1980). Consequently it is  
18 important to assure that the seedlings have a nearby supply of phos-  
19 phorus. Band placement also decreases weed growth between rows in  
20 these Oxisols (Spain, 1979).

21 After a pasture is well established, maintenance phosphorus appli-  
22 cations can be broadcast on the soil surface without incorporation  
23 (NCSU, 1976). This permits the use of lower rates as the contact with  
24 the high phosphorus fixing soil is minimized. Although how pasture  
25 species utilize surface-placed phosphorus is not well understood, appar-  
26 ently the superficial roots are able to absorb and utilize it effi-  
27 ciently.

## B. The Need to Improve Soil Fertility Evaluation Procedures

Another way to increase the efficiency of phosphorus fertilization is to use better methods for determining fertilizer recommendations. The purpose is to identify the initial phosphorus requirement for a particular species or variety either in terms of available soil phosphorus (external critical level) or foliar phosphorus content (internal critical level). These critical levels are those necessary to provide an adequate level of dry matter defined in this review as 80% of the maximum. The use of the Cate-Nelson (1972) diagrams and the linear response and plateau model, describes in Section IB<sup>2</sup>, are quite useful for phosphorus, while the use of quadratic response models tend to exaggerate the optimum rates of fertilizer application (Anderson and Nelson, 1975).

Given the phosphorus fixation constraints in these soils, it is tempting to use estimates of phosphorus fixation as guides for the rates of phosphorus to apply. The most common approach is to extrapolate from the phosphorus sorption isotherms the amount of phosphorus that needs to be added in order to achieve a desired level in the soil solution (Fox et al., 1971, 1974). The soil solution level extrapolated to the field that produces 95% of the maximum yield was defined by Fox and coworkers as the "external critical phosphorus requirement." The range in such critical level among species is from 0.04 to 0.6 ppm P (Fox et al., 1974). Table 26 shows the amounts of broadcast superphosphate required to maintain specific soil solution levels in the field and their equivalence in terms of three common soil test methods. The soil on which the data on Table 26 were obtained is a <sup>clayey</sup> Tropeptic Eutrorthox with

1  
2 ~~with~~ ~~clay~~ and a high capacity to fix phosphorus (350 ppm P applied to  
3 reach 0.2 ppm in solution).

4 When applied to Oxisols and Ultisols of tropical America this ap-  
5 proach has been found to exaggerate the phosphorus rate recommendations  
6 by a significant amount (Novais and Kamprath, 1979; Smyth and Sanchez,  
7 1980b; Sanchez and Uehara, 1980; Fenster and León, 1979). The main rea-  
8 son is found in Table 26. The critical soil test levels for grain crops  
9 in Latin America, based on the Gate-Nelson approach is on the order of  
10 8 to 15 ppm P by the three extractions shown in this table (Cano, 1973;  
11 Marín, 1977; Miranda et al., 1980). Soil solution levels as low as  
12 0.025 ppm<sub>P</sub> produce soil test values way above the critical soil test  
13 levels which have been developed ~~after~~ <sup>with</sup> proper calibration.

14 In addition, it is extremely difficult to establish critical levels  
15 of a few parts per billion that often corresponds to the agronomically-  
16 relevant range in such soils. The Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms  
17 are difficult to extrapolate at this range. Also, the low concentra-  
18 tions approach the levels below detection of conventional spectrophoto-  
19 meters.

20 When considering the low levels of phosphorus fertilizer additions  
21 (50 to 150 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha), the sorption isotherms are of little value  
22 (Fenster and León, 1979). For example, Figure 22 shows that the Cari-  
23 magua Oxisol fixes high amounts of applied phosphorus (400 ppm P or 1818  
24 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha to reach 0.2 ppm P in solution). After four years continuous  
25 cropping with Brachiaria decumbens, however, an initial application of  
26 50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> as triple superphosphate produced 79% of the maximum yield  
27 obtained with the 400 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha rate (Table 27). At such low rates,



1  
2 the conventional soil test extraction procedures often do not reflect  
3 the amount of fertilizer phosphorus added. Table 28 shows the very  
4 small increases in Bray II-available phosphorus when an Oxisol <sup>was</sup> re-  
5 ceived from 0 to 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha in 20 kg increments. This causes diffi-  
6 culties in making fertilizer phosphorus recommendations based only on  
7 soil tests. Some studies have been started to improve the sensitivity  
8 of the existing soil tests (CIAT, 1980). Figure 26 shows that increas-  
9 ing the NH<sub>4</sub>F concentrations in the Bray extractant which increases the  
10 available phosphorus values reflecting the sorbed phosphorus that is  
11 available to the plant (CIAT, 1981). Since NH<sub>4</sub>F is able to extract some  
12 of the aluminum-bonded phosphorus and iron-bonded phosphorus these  
13 fractions might play an important role in releasing phosphorus to the  
14 plants, perhaps through root excretions or microorganism activity.

15 Table 28 shows the phosphorus fractions of the Carimagua Oxisol as  
16 a function of phosphorus rates. Increases in calcium-bonded and  
17 aluminum-bonded phosphorus contributed increased available phosphorus,  
18 but part of the large quantities of iron-bonded phosphorus may be having  
19 some influence on the availability of phosphorus. Therefore, <sup>the</sup> plants  
20 <sup>at</sup> under low rates of applied phosphorus appear to extract phosphorus from  
21 these fractions in a way that conventional soil tests are not able to  
22 detect.

23 When phosphorus applications are banded, the interpretation of soil  
24 tests becomes even more difficult. One possibility is to shift to  
25 tissue analysis as the plant is the ultimate evaluator of soil fertility.  
26 Where internal critical levels are available and properly standardized  
27 in terms of plant <sup>part</sup> and age, tissue analysis <sup>can</sup> ~~should~~ be used.

1  
2 Another approach might be to interpret soil test data of samples  
3 between the bands in the form outlined in Figure 27, where relative soy-  
4 bean yields are plotted as a function of soil test values in experiments  
5 that involve different broadcast and banding combinations.

6 Where field response data is available, making fertilizer recommen-  
7 dations based on soil tests have the benefit of calibration with field  
8 data. Table 29 shows the initial broadcast and annual banding recommen-  
9 dations for clayey Oxisols near Brasilia based on the data shown in  
10 Figure 23. This table shows decreasing rate of broadcast applications  
11 with increasing soil test level.

### 12 C. Use Less Soluble Phosphorus Sources

13 A third component of the low input phosphorus management strategy  
14 is to utilize the abundant rock phosphate deposits present in tropical  
15 South America, shown in Figure 28. All these deposits, except ~~two~~<sup>two</sup>, are  
16 classified as low reactivity materials which are considered unsuitable  
17 for direct application (Lehr and McClellan, 1972). The Bayovar rock is  
18 classified as high reactivity and the Huila rock as medium reactivity.

19 1. Comparisons among sources. Table 30 shows the agronomic effec-  
20 tiveness of different phosphate rocks as related to triple superphos-  
21 phate, ~~growing~~<sup>using</sup> Panicum maximum as the test crop on an Oxisol from the  
22 Llanos Orientales of Colombia. High reactivity phosphate rocks such as  
23 North Carolina, Bayovar and Gafsa performed nearly as well as triple  
24 superphosphate. Medium reactivity phosphate rocks such as Huila and  
25 Florida, and even the array of low reactivity materials from Brazil,  
26 Colombia and Venezuela look promising for direct application in acid  
27 soils.

OK  
no  
chop

1  
2 The effectiveness of rock phosphates in these soils depend on their  
3 solubility fineness, time of reaction and soil pH (Sanchez and Uehara,  
4 1980). On these highly acid soils even the low reactivity phosphate  
5 rocks are effective with time. This is shown in a field experiment con-  
6 ducted on a Carimagua Oxisol with Brachiaria decumbens where six phos-  
7 phate rocks with varying agronomic effectiveness ratings were compared  
8 to triple superphosphate (Table 27). This study includes application  
9 rates ranging from 0 to 400 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha, all broadcast and incorporated.  
10 After nearly four years, the yields of forage from the phosphate rock  
11 treatments compare favorably with those for triple superphosphate. In  
12 many instances the yields with phosphate rocks are considerably higher.  
13 For the period of time this experiment has been conducted a 50 kg  
14  $P_2O_5$ /ha application rate appears to be adequate under field conditions.

15 Similar results have been recorded from a field experiment con-  
16 ducted in Peru on Ultisols from Pucallpa and Yurimaguas (León and  
17 Fenster, 1980; NCSU, 1974; Cano et al., 1978), and on an Oxisol of  
18 Brasília, Brazil (NCSU, 1975, 1976; Miranda et al., 1980). In the  
19 latter case, the higher phosphorus fixation capacity increased the re-  
20 quired rate to about 200 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha. The use of the very low reactivity  
21 Araxá rock phosphate in Brasília, had little effect on Brachiaria  
22 decumbens growth during the first year of application.

23 2. Particle size of the rock phosphate materials. The effective-  
24 ness of all rock phosphates increases with increasing fineness, in con-  
25 trast to the opposite effect in water-soluble sources (Terman and  
26 Englestad, 1972). From the practical standpoint finely ground phosphate  
27 rocks present serious problems of handling and spreading which would

1  
2 prevent the farmer or the fertilizer dealer to make widespread use of  
3 phosphate rocks. To solve the problem Fenster and León (1979) initiated  
4 a study to determine whether finely ground phosphate rocks could be  
5 granulated and still retain their agronomic effectiveness. Preliminary  
6 greenhouse experiments were carried out using different rate and granule  
7 size of phosphate rocks and the results are shown in Figure 29. The  
8 minigranules (-48 + 150 mesh) proved to be as agronomically effective as  
9 the finely ground phosphate rock. Apparently when these "minigranules"  
10 came in contact with the soil, the KCl binder dissolves. Their effec-  
11 tive surface area therefore, is not markedly different than when finely  
12 ground materials are applied. Although the larger sized granules (-6 +  
13 16 mesh) were not as effective initially they did release increasing  
14 amounts of phosphorus with time (CIAT, 1980, 1981).

15 3. Applications before liming for acid-sensitive crops. Rock  
16 phosphates require an acid soil environment in order to release phos-  
17 phorus into the soil solution. In some acid soils of tropical America  
18 the effectiveness of high reactivity rock phosphates decreased<sup>s</sup> if the  
19 soil pH increases above 5.0 (Lathwell, 1979). This usually does not  
20 pose a problem with most aluminum-tolerant pastures, but may inhibit the  
21 growth of aluminum sensitive crop varieties. In terms of crop produc-  
22 tion an alternative is to apply the rock phosphate several months ahead  
23 of liming in order for it to react at low pH. This procedure is spe-  
24 cially advantageous if the first crop to be planted is relatively toler-  
25 ant to aluminum such as upland rice. Lime can then be added prior to  
26 planting a crop more sensitive to aluminum like soybeans. The time  
27

1  
2 required for lime to react in acid soils is less than that needed for  
3 the high solubility rock phosphate sources to react (Sanchez and Uehara,  
4 1980).

5 4. Combining with more soluble sources. An additional alternative  
6 is to broadcast the rock phosphate and apply more soluble phosphorus  
7 sources in bands in order to provide phosphorus while the rock phosphate  
8 slowly dissolves. Figure 30, adapted from Smyth and Sanchez (1981) and  
9 CPAC (1980) shows the broadcasting of 200 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha of low reactivity  
10 Patos de Minas rock phosphate rock plus annual banded applications of  
11 simple superphosphate produce similar soybean yields as the same rate  
12 entirely with simple superphosphate.

13 Table 31 shows that when varying ratios of phosphate rock to single  
14 or triple superphosphate, the initial growth response of corn in a  
15 Colombian Oxisol was proportionate to the amount of soluble phosphorus  
16 in the fertilizer mixture. Comparisons between co-minigranulated phos-  
17 phate rock and triple superphosphate or simple superphosphate with these  
18 soluble phosphorus sources alone, show that the granulated materials are  
19 superior in every instance. In evaluating these results it would indi-  
20 cate that the acid produced from the soluble phosphorus in the granule  
21 is perhaps reacting with the phosphate rock which is releasing addi-  
22 tional phosphorus for the plants.

23 5. Partial acidulation. From the aspects discussed previously,  
24 it is apparent that many phosphate rocks, although they perform well  
25 with time, are initially inferior to the more soluble phosphorus sources  
26 at least for crop production, and for certain pastures as well. The  
27 work of McLean and Wheeler (1964) indicates that partially acidulating

1  
2 phosphate rocks to levels of 10 or 20% could overcome this problem. The  
3 partially acidulated phosphate rocks would provide soluble source of  
4 phosphorus initially while still maintaining the desirable character-  
5 istics of low cost and residual value of the phosphate rock. Howeler  
6 (CIAT, 1979) has shown very encouraging results with beans. Studies on  
7 a Carimagua Oxisol has shown that partial acidulation with  $H_2SO_4$ ,  
8 however, did improve yields when compared with the Florida and North  
9 Carolina phosphate rocks. Research conducted at the International Fer-  
10 tilizer Development Center showed that partial acidulation with  $H_2SO_4$   
11 and subsequent drying of the granules produced a material that was al-  
12 most completely covered by a thin layer of insoluble anhydrous or hemi-  
13 hydrate  $CaSO_4$  that either occludes the release of phosphorus or physi-  
14 cally prevents contact of the phosphate rocks with the soil. This may  
15 explain the lack of response by the plant to applications of these pro-  
16 ducts (León and Fenster, 1980).

#### 17 D. Decrease Phosphorus Fixation with Liming

18 The third component of this low input strategy is to decrease the  
19 phosphorus fixation capacity of these acid soils by applying amendments  
20 such as lime and silicates. Considerable controversy exists whether  
21 liming decreases phosphorus fixation or not (Amarasiri and Olsen, 1973;  
22 Pearson, 1975). Part of this problem is attributed to reactions of the  
23 added phosphorus with freshly precipitated iron and aluminum hydroxides.  
24 Therefore, the effects of lime on phosphorus availability may depend on  
25 the extent to which phosphorus is fixed by the adsorbing surfaces or by  
26 reactions with exchangeable aluminum (Smyth and Sanchez, 1980a). Sev-  
27 eral studies with acid soils in Tropical America show that when

1  
2 exchangeable aluminum was neutralized by liming phosphorus fixation de-  
3 creased (Mendez and Kamprath, 1978; Leal and Velloso, 1973ab;  
4 Vasconcellos et al., 1975).

5 Table 32 shows the results of Smyth and Sanchez (1980) on Oxisols  
6 from Brazil where lime, silicate and both lime and silicate mixtures were  
7 applied at agronomically relevant rates in an attempt to decrease phos-  
8 phorus fixation. All amendment treatments decreased phosphorus fixation  
9 by about 20 to 30% in the treatments that did not receive phosphorus ap-  
10 plications. These results imply that in future experiments or recommen-  
11 dations to farmers level, the determinations of the amounts of phosphorus  
12 required to obtain a given solution concentration should be performed  
13 after lime or silicate applications and sufficient time has been allowed  
14 for them to react. Otherwise, the phosphorus requirements may be over-  
15 estimated (Smyth and Sanchez, 1980a). In the case of using soil tests  
16 as a key to fertilizer recommendations, improvements could be made if  
17 samples would be taken after lime has reacted.

18 Liming has little or no effect in decreasing phosphorus fixation in  
19 soils with higher pH value, although still acid, but with lower aluminum  
20 saturation (Leal and Velloso, 1973b). Furthermore, liming to pH values  
21 near or above neutrality may increase, rather than decrease phosphorus  
22 fixation because of the formation of relatively insoluble calcium phos-  
23 phates (Sanchez and Uehara, 1980). Consequently, the effect of lime on  
24 phosphorus fixation depends on pH levels.

25

26

27

1  
2 E. Selection of Varieties Tolerant to Low Levels of Available Soil

3 Phosphorus

4 A ~~fourth~~<sup>fifth</sup> component of the low input phosphorus management strategy  
5 is to select plant species or varieties that grow well and produce about  
6 80% of the maximum yields at low levels of available soil phosphorus.  
7 Although screening and selection of germplasm for "phosphorus efficiency"  
8 or "tolerance to low phosphorus" is less advanced than that for aluminum  
9 toxicity, research in that direction is also being conducted in tropical  
10 America.

11 1. Annual crops. Salinas (1978) screened a number of commercial  
12 varieties of upland rice, corn and beans for tolerance to low phosphorus  
13 availability in the Cerrado of Brazil. Figure 31 shows the results with  
14 rice expressed as yields relative to a high rate of broadcast superphos-  
15 phate applications of 1363 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha. This rate provided available soil  
16 phosphorus level of 26 ppm P (North Carolina extraction). Most of the  
17 rice varieties produced maximum yields at the high soil phosphorus rate,  
18 but at different aluminum saturation levels. When the aluminum satura-  
19 tion was 63%, by adding half ton lime/ha which supplied mainly calcium  
20 and magnesium as nutrients, the first three rice varieties (Batatais,  
21 Flotante and IAC-1246) did not approach 80% maximum yield as did IAC-47 and  
22 Pratao Precoce. The latter variety had the lowest external phosphorus  
23 requirement (10 ppm P) under aluminum stress.

24 These results clearly show differential varietal response to avail-  
25 able soil phosphorus under aluminum stress. When aluminum saturation  
26 was reduced to 38% by adding 1.5 ton lime/ha, the rice varieties Flotante  
27 and IAC-1246 produced 80% of the maximum yield, but with a significant



1  
2 difference in the external phosphorus requirement. The Flotante variety  
3 required almost four times more available phosphorus than IAC-1246. On  
4 the other hand, IAC-47 and Pratão Precoce decreased their external re-  
5 quirements which indicates a better utilization of phosphorus at low  
6 rates when aluminum toxicity was reduced. The economic implications of  
7 these results suggest a tradeoff between lime and phosphorus. Using  
8 1.5 tons/ha of lime could decrease phosphorus requirements. Lime is  
9 likely to be always cheaper than phosphorus fertilizers.

10 Under no aluminum stress all the rice varieties approached 80% of  
11 maximum yield but at different available phosphorus levels. The Flotante  
12 rice variety always required more available phosphorus to produce well  
13 while Pratão Precoce was able to produce over 80% of the maximum yield  
14 at one-sixth the phosphorus rate.

15 Figure 32 shows a similar trend with corn varieties, but in all  
16 cases with a higher external phosphorus requirement than the rice varie-  
17 ties. These results also confirm the general observation that the recom-  
18 mended rates of phosphorus for upland rice are much lower than those for  
19 corn in Latin America (Kamprath, 1973). Under aluminum stress (63%  
20 aluminum saturation) the corn varieties Yellow Carimagua and Agroceres-  
21 152 approached 80% of maximum yield. When aluminum saturation was de-  
22 creased to 38% by adding 1.5 tons lime/ha, the five corn varieties  
23 showed lower external phosphorus requirements to approach 80% of maximum  
24 yield. This observation underscores the important role that the lime  
25 plays in efficiency of phosphorus fertilization. Also, it appears that  
26 liming this Oxisol with 1.5 tons/ha enabled the corn plants to more  
27

1  
2 efficiently utilize both native and fertilizer phosphorus since less  
3 phosphorus was complexed with soil aluminum compounds (Salinas, 1978).

4       Figures 33 and 34 also show the differential responses of bean and  
5 wheat varieties. With the exception of the variety Rico Pardo, the rest  
6 of the bean varieties reduced their external phosphorus requirements as  
7 aluminum was neutralized by liming. In addition, varieties differed in  
8 their phosphorus requirements under the same level of aluminum stress.

9 In the case of wheat varieties (Figure 33) the Mexican varieties Sonora  
10 and Jupateco which were developed in calcareous soils produced signifi-  
11 cant yields only under no aluminum stress and required higher phosphorus  
12 requirements than the Brazilian wheat varieties BH-1146 and IAC-5. The  
13 wheat variety IAC-5, although having a high external phosphorus require-  
14 ment, was the only one that produced 80% of its maximum yield under alu-  
15 minum stress. As aluminum stress decreased the external phosphorus re-  
16 quirements of this variety also decreased.

17       2. Pastures. Similar results are being obtained with tropical  
18 grasses and legumes (CIAT, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980). Tables 33 and 34  
19 show external and internal phosphorus requirements for several tropical  
20 grasses and legumes. The data indicate substantial differences among  
21 ecotypes in internal and external phosphorus requirements. Excellent  
22 pasture establishment with low phosphorus fertilizer inputs and using  
23 adapted grasses and legumes to the acid infertile soil conditions is  
24 taking place in different ecosystems of tropical America (CIAT, 1980).

25 F. Potential Utilization of More Effective Mycorrhizal Associations

26       It is well established that several genera and species of vesicular  
27 arbuscular mycorrhiza form symbiotic association with roots of certain

1  
2 plants and as a result increase the uptake of phosphorus from soils low  
3 in <sup>this element</sup> ~~available phosphorus~~ (Sanders et al., 1975). Many of the plant spe-  
4 cies considered in this review as tolerant to acid soil constraints are  
5 heavily mycorrhizal in Oxisols and Ultisols: Cowpea, cassava, soybeans,  
6 citrus, guava, Brachiaria decumbens, Centrosema pubescens, Pueraria  
7 phaseoloides, Stylosanthes guianensis and others (CPAC, 1979, 1980;  
8 Waidyanatha et al., 1979; Yost and Fox, 1979). It seems reasonable to  
9 speculate that the ability to enter into mycorrhizal associations may be  
10 an important characteristic of plant species and varieties adaptable to  
11 low input systems.

12 The advantage of mycorrhizal association lies in the use of its  
13 hyphae as extension of the plant root system which results in a larger  
14 surface area for nutrient uptake and the tapping of nutrients that move  
15 primarily by diffusion (phosphorus, zinc and others) from a larger soil  
16 volume. There is no evidence that mycorrhizal associations are capable  
17 of utilizing forms of soil phosphorus that would be otherwise unavail-  
18 able (Mosse et al., 1973). Nevertheless, the increase in phosphorus up-  
19 take can result not only in increased growth and phosphorus concentration  
20 but increased nodulation and nitrogen fixation in legumes. Table 35  
21 shows the results of inoculation with and without high reactivity rock  
22 phosphate additions on Pueraria phaseoloides growth in an "acid lateritic  
23 soil" of Sri Lanka with pH of 4.5 and 4 ppm Bray II available phos-  
24 phorus. Mycorrhizal infections indeed produced all these favorable  
25 effects, and in addition increase the efficiency of an application of  
26 12 ppm P Jordanian phosphate rock to that of 60 ppm P without mycor-  
27 rhizae.

1  
2 In an Oxisol from Hawaii, Yost and Fox (1979) compared the field  
3 response of various crops to phosphorus by fumigating part of the plots  
4 and leaving the rest in its natural state. Since fumigation killed most  
5 of the mycorrhizal population, the importance of their presence was  
6 evaluated in terms of phosphorus response. They found that mycorrhizae  
7 did make a difference in terms of phosphorus uptake not only at low  
8 available phosphorus levels, but up to levels on the order of 0.1 ppm P  
9 in solution for soybeans, 0.2 for cowpeas and 1.6 or above for Stylo-  
10 sanchez hamata, Leucaena leucocephala and cassava. At low available  
11 phosphorus levels (0.003 ppm P in solution or 3 ppm P Bray I) phosphorus  
12 uptake was on the average 25 times greater in mycorrhizal than in non-  
13 mycorrhizal plants.

14 Estimates of internal or external critical phosphorus levels in the  
15 absence of mycorrhizal associations, such as those based on sand culture,  
16 nutrient solution or fumigated soil, may <sup>be</sup> grossly exaggerate <sup>d</sup> ~~these levels~~.  
17 Yost and Fox (1979) estimate that the phosphorus requirement of cassava  
18 can be exaggerated by a factor of 100 times if estimated in the absence  
19 of mycorrhizae.

20 The problem with such data is that they only document what is hap-  
21 pening in Oxisols and Ultisols under natural conditions, where native  
22 mycorrhizae strains are already operating. This information, although  
23 highly enlightening does not produce a new management practice. What is  
24 needed is to determine whether inoculation with more effective strains  
25 of mycorrhizae can enhance phosphorus uptake. Two obstacles need to be  
26 removed in order to answer this question: 1) How to inoculate  
27

1  
2 mycorrhizae on a practical basis, and 2) whether there are more effective  
3 strains that can compete with the native ones and persist in the soil.

4 Unlike rhizobia inoculation, mycorrhizae has to be inoculated as  
5 fresh hyphae and cannot be mixed with peat and dried. At the experi-  
6 mental level field inoculation can be carried out by adding soil from  
7 mycorrhizal areas, but the tonnage required impedes its practical appli-  
8 cation. Some advances are being made at answering the second question.  
9 Researchers at the Cerrado Center near Brasília (CPAC, 1980) were able  
10 to produce good <sup>f</sup>infections of the mycorrhiza species Anaulospora laevis  
11 to the acid-tolerant soybean cultivar UFV-1 in an Oxisol. More work in  
12 this direction is needed before mycorrhiza can be a component of low in-  
13 put soil management technology.

#### 14 G. Conclusions

15 Phosphorus is frequently the most expensive purchased input in  
16 Oxisols and Ultisols of tropical America. Except for lands recently  
17 cleared of rainforests, phosphorus fertilization is almost always essen-  
18 tial for sustained crop or pasture production systems. The widespread  
19 high phosphorus fixation capacity of loamy and clayey Oxisols and Ulti-  
20 sols has raised fears of huge quantities of phosphorus needed for these  
21 vast areas. Five of the major components of low input soil management  
22 technology, either individually or preferably together have markedly  
23 reduced phosphorus requirements and thus increase the efficiency of  
24 utilization of this basic resource.

25  
26  
27

## VII. MANAGEMENT OF LOW NATIVE SOIL FERTILITY

In addition to aluminum and manganese toxicity, calcium and magnesium deficiency, phosphorus deficiency and high fixation, most Oxisols and Ultisols of tropical America are also very deficient in other essential nutrients, particularly nitrogen, potassium, sulfur, and zinc, copper, boron and molybdenum (Sanchez, 1976; Spain, 1976; Lopes, 1980). This low fertility syndrome has sometimes caused the less fertile Oxisols to be considered as "fertility deserts" (Spain, 1975). In somewhat less infertile Ultisols of the Peruvian Amazon Villachica (1978) and Sanchez (1979) recorded deficiencies of all essential plant nutrients except for iron, manganese and chlorine in continuous crop production systems.

Table 2 shows that 93% of the Oxisol-Ultisol regions suffer from nitrogen deficiency, 77% have low potassium reserves indicative of potassium deficiency, 71% have sulfur deficiency, 62% zinc deficiency and 30% copper deficiency. The areal extent of other micronutrient deficiencies cannot be ascertained with the data available. Although these percentage figures give an indication of the extent of the individual constraint, they are also fairly rough estimates (Sanchez and Cochrane, 1980).

The main low input technologies <sup>to</sup> manage low native soil fertility center on 1) maximum use of nitrogen fixation by legumes in acid soils, 2) increase<sup>ing</sup> the efficiency of nitrogen and potassium fertilization, 3) identification and correction of sulfur and micronutrient deficiency, *and* 4) promotion of nutrient recycling.

1  
2 A. Maximum Use of Biological Nitrogen Fixation

3 The best known low input soil management technology is the use of  
4 legume-rhizobium symbiosis to meet the plants' nitrogen demand without  
5 having to purchase nitrogen fertilizers. Biological nitrogen fixation is  
6 limited to legume-rhizobium symbiosis in these soils in terms of prac-  
7 tical management. Associative symbiosis between nitrogen-fixing bacteria  
8 such as Spirillum lipoferum in the rhizosphere of tropical grasses has  
9 created widespread expectation about the possibility of nitrogen-fixing  
10 grasses, many of which are acid-tolerant (National Academy of Sciences,  
11 1977a; Neyra and Döbereiner, 1977). Unfortunately evidence to date in-  
12 dicates that the practical exploitation of such symbiosis appears to be  
13 minimal at this time (Hubbell, 1979). This is an example of a low input  
14 component that has not worked. Additional basic research, however, may  
15 show some practical implications in the future, and such research should  
16 continue.

17 We are fortunate that many of the plant species of economic impor-  
18 tance that are adapted to acid soil conditions are legumes. Among the  
19 annual food crops, there are four important acid-tolerant legumes: ~~1,~~  
20 Cowpea, peanut, soybeans, pigeon peas, and several less widespread ones  
21 such as lima beans, mung beans and winged beans. There is also a wealth  
22 of very acid-tolerant forage legumes of the genera Stylosanthes, Des-  
23 modium, Zornia, Pueraria, Centrosema and many others. Spontaneous le-  
24 gumes also abound in areas cleared of rainforests. Hecht (1979) <sup>69</sup> recorded ~~legume~~ *tree,*  
25 *shrub and* <sup>creeping legume</sup> species found in pastures of the Eastern Amazon of Brazil.

26 In order for these legumes to fix sufficient nitrogen, it is essen-  
27 tial that the nutritional requirements and degree of acid soil tolerance

1  
2 of the associated rhizobium match those of the plant (Munns, 1978). If  
3 not, plant growth will be severely hampered because of nitrogen defi-  
4 ciency. Rhizobium strains differ in their tolerance to the various acid  
5 soil stresses just as plants do (Munns, 1978; Date and Halliday, 1979;  
6 Munns et al., 1979; Halliday, 1979; Keyser et al., 1979). Consequently,  
7 soil management practices require the matching of nutritional require-  
8 ments and tolerances of both legume and rhizobia.

9       Until recently it has been assumed that most tropical pasture le-  
10 gumes growing on acid soils develop effective symbiosis with native  
11 "cowpea-type" strains of rhizobium, and therefore, the selection of  
12 specific strains for individual legume species or cultivars is the ex-  
13 ception rather than the rule (Norris, 1972). Recent work by Halliday  
14 (1979) and collaborators clearly shows that this is no longer the case.  
15 A five-stage screening and matching procedure involving laboratory,  
16 greenhouse and field stages has shown a high degree of strain specificity  
17 for obtaining effective symbiosis in the most promising <sup>forage</sup> legume ecotypes.  
18 Recent recommendations including inoculation technology are available  
19 (CIAT, 19~~79~~<sup>80</sup>).

20       Long-term field experiments however, show that the response to  
21 inoculation with selected rhizobium strains generally decreases with  
22 time. Protecting the inoculant strain with lime or rock phosphate pel-  
23 eting <sup>option</sup> permits an effective infection in an acid soil. The critical  
24 point however, is reached two to three months afterwards when the pri-  
25 mary nodule population decomposes. Then the rhizobia must fend for  
26 themselves in an acid soil environment in order to reinfect the plant  
27 roots (CIAT, 1979). The selection of effective acid-tolerant strains is



1  
2 therefore, highly desirable. Date and Halliday (1979) developed a simple  
3 laboratory technique to screen for acid tolerance at the early stages of  
4 strain selection, using an agar medium buffered at pH 4.2. Rhizobium  
5 strains tolerant to acidity grow in such ~~a~~ media while those susceptible  
6 die.

7 With this approach specific strains have been identified and recom-  
8 mended for low input pasture production systems on acid soils for several  
9 accessions of Stylosanthes capitata, Desmodium ovalifolium, Desmodium  
10 heterophyllum, Zornia spp., Pueraria phaseoloides, Aeschynomene  
11 brasiliensis and A. histrix (CIAT, 1980).

12 Differences in acid tolerance among rhizobium strains have also been  
13 identified for cowpea (Kemper et al., 1979) and mung beans (Munns et al.,  
14 1979). In both <sup>h</sup>species the host plant tends to be more tolerant to  
15 acidity than many of the rhizobial strains. The opposite is apparently  
16 the case with soybeans, where the current commercial strains of rhizobia  
17 appear to be more tolerant than the host's (Munns, 1980).

18 In terms of nutritional needs rhizobia require greater amounts of  
19 cobalt and molybdenum for symbiotic nitrogen fixation than the host le-  
20 gume for growth (Robson, 1978). The relative requirements of other nu-  
21 trients and the interactions between legume nutrition and rhizobium  
22 nutrition <sup>merits</sup> requires additional research <sup>inputs</sup>.

23 Nevertheless, it seems clear that the nutritional requirements and  
24 acid soil tolerance of legume species should not be determined in the  
25 absence of nodulation. This is almost invariably the case with culture  
26 solution studies. Screening for acid soil tolerance of legumes should  
27 be done with soil and with inoculation. In addition to joint work by

1  
2 soil fertility specialists and plant breeders, the microbiologists must  
3 also be involved.

4 B. Increase the Efficiency of Nitrogen and Potassium Fertilization

5 1. Nitrogen. It appears that no fertilizer nitrogen is likely to  
6 be needed for acid-tolerant, legume-based pastures for the acid infer-  
7 tile soil regions of tropical America. Fertilizer nitrogen applications,  
8 however, are essential for cereal or root crop production systems in  
9 these regions. Rotating or intercropping grain legumes with cereals may  
10 decrease the overall amounts of nitrogen needed not because of a signifi-  
11 cant transfer of fixed nitrogen to the cereals, but because the legumes  
12 occupy space in the fields. Most of the nitrogen fixed by grain legumes  
13 is removed from the field during harvest (Henzell and Vallis, 1977).  
14 Consequently, increasing the efficiency of fertilizer nitrogen utiliza-  
15 tion appears to be the main avenue for decreasing nitrogen fertilizer  
16 inputs in Oxisols and Ultisols.

17 Exceptions to the above statements are few. Nitrogen responses in  
18 these soils are almost universal except during the first crop after  
19 clearing rainforests or on Oxisols and Ultisols that have been inten-  
20 sively fertilized with nitrogen for many years. Fox et al., (1974) ob-  
21 served no nitrogen responses by corn for six consecutive and relatively  
22 high yielding crops in Ultisols of Puerto Rico, because of a long-term  
23 history of intensive fertilization.

24 Extensive nitrogen fertilization research conducted with corn, up-  
25 land rice, sorghum, cassava and sweet potatoes in Ultisols and Oxisols of  
26 tropical America. A review by Grove (1979) shows that these soils  
27 typically supply from 60 to 80 kg N/ha to most of these crops and that

1 applications on the order of 80 to 120 kg N/ha produced about 95% of the  
2 maximum yield which in the case of corn was on the order of 5 tons/ha.

3 When the most efficient rates, sources and placement methods (urea incor-  
4 porated right before the period of most rapid plant uptake) apparent  
5 nitrogen recovery was about 56% (Grove, 1979). With upland rice, re-  
6 covery is on the order of 30% (Sanchez, 1972). Sulfur-coated urea has  
7 failed to produce significant advantages over regular urea or ammonium  
8 sulfate on cereal or root crops in Oxisols and Ultisols of tropical  
9 America.

10  
11 Higher nitrogen rates than those reported by Grove (1979) are often  
12 necessary in high rainfall environments due to leaching. Splitting  
13 nitrogen applications in two usually increases nitrogen recovery.

14 The problem with the above summary is that most of the data was  
15 collected in experiments where other fertility <sup>constraints</sup> ~~limiting factors~~ were  
16 ~~corrected~~ <sup>eliminated</sup>. It is not known whether fertilizer nitrogen efficiency would  
17 be different when acid-tolerant cereal or root crops are grown under low  
18 phosphorus and lime inputs. Although corn varieties are known to differ  
19 in their ability to utilize fertilizer nitrogen efficiently (Gerloff,  
20 1978) this has not been tested under low input technology situations.  
21 Well known plant characteristics that increase yield responses to nitro-  
22 gen such as short stature and high tillering in upland rice in high fer-  
23 tility soil should have a similar effect in acid infertile soils.

24 Soil testing is of little value for nitrogen fertilization, because  
25 the mobility of nitrate in well drained Oxisols and Ultisols and other  
26 factors (Sanchez, 1976). Consequently fertilizer recommendations are  
27 based on field experience. Nitrogen fertilization for cereal and root

1  
2 crops, therefore, is one of the weakest components in low input strategy  
3 for these soils.

4 2. Potassium. The situation with potassium is <sup>similar</sup> ~~only slightly better~~.

5 As mentioned before, most of the Oxisols and Ultisols have low potassium  
6 reserves in their clay minerals. As cultivation proceeds, potassium  
7 deficiencies increase with time (Ritchey, 1979). Unlike nitrogen the  
8 identification of potassium deficiency via soil test is straightforward.

9 The established critical levels range between 0.15 and 0.20 meq K/100 g.

10 Unfortunately there are no direct shortcuts for potassium fertilization.

11 There are no major differences among or within species in terms of

12 "tolerance to low available soil potassium." Potassium fertilizer re-

13 quirements can reach levels of 100 to 150 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha per crop. Although

14 not as costly <sup>per unit</sup> as nitrogen or phosphorus fertilizers, such outlays repre-  
15 sent a significant cost to the farmers. The main avenues for increasing

16 the efficiency of potassium fertilization are split applications just

17 like nitrogen and avoid removing crop residues, particularly stover, and

18 in order to ~~recycle this element~~ <sup>attain some degree of recycling</sup>.

19 C. Identify and Correct Deficiencies of Sulfur and Micronutrients

20 Oxisols and Ultisols are often deficient in sulfur and several

21 micronutrients particularly zinc, copper, boron and molybdenum (Kamprath

22 1973; Cox, 1973; Lopes, 1980). Unfortunately, very little is known about

23 the geographical occurrence of these deficiencies in terms of critical

24 levels in the soil, and the requirements of acid-tolerant species and

25 varieties. There are no known ways to overcome these deficiencies except

26 by fertilization.

1  
2 Hutton (1979) attributed most of the lack of legume persistence in  
3 mixed pastures of Latin America to uncorrected nutrient deficiencies.  
4 Many ranchers in tropical America feel that applying triple superphos-  
5 phate is sufficient <sup>fertilization</sup> for grass/legume pastures. This fertilizer source  
6 provides only phosphorus and some calcium. In tropical Australia molyb-  
7 denized simple superphosphate is widely used as the only fertilizer in  
8 Alfisols very deficient in nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur and molybdenum.  
9 This source corrects phosphorus, sulfur and molybdenum deficiencies,  
10 allowing the legume to provide nitrogen to the mixture. Given the fun-  
11 damental differences in soil acidity between soils of tropical Australia  
12 where improved pastures are grown (mainly Alfisols) and the Oxisol-  
13 Ultisol region of tropical America, it is not possible to extrapolate  
14 the Australian fertilization practices (Sanchez and Isbell, 1979). This  
15 situation is not much better for crop production because most of the fer-  
16 tilizers available are straight NPK formulations. With the use of higher  
17 analysis sources such as urea, triple superphosphate and KCl, the sulfur  
18 content of such mixtures has decreased and sulfur deficiency has become  
19 more widespread.

20 Surveys of the nutritional status of Oxisol-Ultisol regions such as  
21 the one Lopes and Cox (1977) <sup>z</sup> did in the Cerrado of Brazil, plus on-site  
22 field experiments on the nutrients such as those conducted in Carimagua,  
23 Colombia (CIAT, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1980; Spain, 1979) and in Yuri-  
24 maguas, Peru (Villachica, 1978) contribute significantly to identifying  
25 which nutrients are deficient and which are best practices to correct  
26 them, including possible nutrient imbalances which may be induced by  
27 fertilization. Therefore, site-specific identification is necessary.

1  
2 These efforts must be related to the nutritional requirements of the  
3 main ~~grass and legume~~ <sup>species and varieties</sup> cultivars, of which ~~very~~ <sup>Relatively</sup> little is known about the *acid soil*  
4 species mentioned in this paper. Table 36 shows tentative external and  
5 internal critical sulfur levels for important grasses and legume species  
6 under Oxisol conditions.

7 When one of these constraints is identified the results can be ex-  
8 tremely positive. Wang et al., (1976) identified sulfur deficiency in  
9 rice growing areas in the lower Amazon of Brazil. By switching from  
10 urea to ammonia sulfate applications and therefore applying sulfur, rice  
11 production improved dramatically. Similar experience with micronutrient  
12 identification and correction have been recorded <sup>elsewhere</sup> (Cox, 1973; Lopes,  
13 1980).

14 Insufficient knowledge of nutrient deficiencies is probably the  
15 weakest component <sup>of low input technology</sup> ~~in this strategy~~. This gap can be corrected by sys-  
16 tematic determinations <sup>of</sup> critical nutrient levels in the soil and in  
17 the plants. Fortunately, the application costs are low and ~~there is~~  
18 zinc and copper fertilization *produce long residual effects*.

#### 19 D. Promote Nutrient Recycling

20 Soil management practices in low fertility soils should encourage  
21 nutrient recycling as much as possible. Nutrient recycling is the main  
22 reason why acid, infertile Oxisols and Ultisols are able to support ex-  
23 uberant tropical rainforest vegetation in udic environments. The mag-  
24 nitude of this natural recycling is of interest. Two detailed studies  
25 conducted on an Ultisol from Manaus, Brazil (Fittkau and Klinge, 1973)  
26 and an Oxisol from Carare-Opōn, Colombia (Salas, 1978) show that the  
27 annual nutrient additions via litter layer ranged as follows (in kg/ha):

106-141 N, 4-8 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 15-20 K<sub>2</sub>O, 18-90 Ca and 13-20 Mg. Nutrient additions through rainwash, wood decomposition and root decomposition may double the above estimates.

In crop production systems a significant portion of nutrients are removed from the soil at harvest. In <sup>Oxisols and Ultisols</sup> ~~these soils~~, natural reserves are low, consequently nutrients' export must be compensated by fertilizer additions. Because of several mechanisms that slow the availability of nutrients, simple "maintenance" fertilizer applications aimed at replacing what harvests took away are not sufficient for sustained crop yields (NCSU, 1974, 1975). Nutrient recycling offers <sup>therefore</sup> very limited possibilities in crop production systems. The only possible application may be leaving crop residues as mulches, particularly in the case of corn and rice, <sup>straw</sup> in order to recycle potassium back into the soil. There is <sup>stover</sup> very little data on the effect of these or other mulching <sup>practices</sup> on nutrient recycling.

In pasture production systems, there is a natural recycling mechanism where about 80% of the nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium consumed by cattle are returned to the soil via excreta (Mott, 1974). <sup>is percentage</sup> ~~These~~ figures <sup>are</sup> very rough estimates and it depends considerably on stocking rate, grazing management and other factors. The limited data available in Oxisol-Ultisol regions shows that this is an important mechanism. Figure 36 shows the changes in the top 20 cm of an <sup>Orthoxic Palehumult</sup> ~~Ultisol~~ from Quilichao, Colombia caused by dung deposition in a Brachiaria decumbens pasture <sup>under</sup> ~~grazed continuously~~ <sup>rotational grazing every 15 days</sup> at a stocking rate of 2 animal units/ha. This figure shows a doubling of the topsoil inorganic nitrogen content within 15 days <sup>along</sup> ~~within~~ a 1 m radius <sup>from</sup> ~~of~~ the excreta, and a decline to previous

1  
2 levels. Available phosphorus, potassium, calcium and sulfur also showed  
3 a similar increase, followed by a more gradual decrease with time than  
4 nitrogen. The effects of urine, (not shown) indicate a sharper increase  
5 in potassium and sulfur ~~with urine~~ than with feces, but a smaller in-  
6 crease in the availability of nitrogen, phosphorus and calcium (CIAT,  
7 1981). The overall effects of these additions were favorably reflected  
8 in increases of all five elements in plant tissue concentration within  
9 the first 30 days after excreta deposition.

10 Indirect evidence of nutrient recycling in poorly grazed pastures  
11 is shown in Figure 36 in Oxisols of the eastern Amazon of Brazil, where  
12 the forest was cut by slash and burn and Panicum maximum was planted.  
13 Serrão et al., (1979) sampled soils in unfertilized Panicum maximum pas-  
14 tures of known ages in two areas of Brazil. Soil pH increased from  
15 about 4.5 to between 6 and 7 right after burning, and remained constant  
16 up to 13 years. Aluminum toxicity was completely eliminated and calcium  
17 and magnesium levels were maintained at fairly high levels, as well as  
18 organic matter and nitrogen. Potassium values remained close to the  
19 critical level while available phosphorus decreased below the critical  
20 level rather quickly. These results are from samples of different  
21 fields of known age after clearing taken at the same time; therefore,  
22 they confound time and space variability. Nevertheless, it seems clear  
23 that many of the chemical properties of these Oxisols were definitely  
24 improved when cleared and grazed.

25 These soil dynamics are in sharp contrast with the rapid fertility  
26 decline observed after clearing rainforests and growing annual crops in  
27 udic areas of Peru (shown in Figure 10 in Section IVB). The reasons for



1  
2 these differences are not clearly understood and deserve more thorough  
3 study. Some factors favoring a less marked decline in eastern Amazonia  
4 may be an ustic soil moisture regime which allows for a more thorough  
5 burn and more ash and possibly upwards movement of cations and anions  
6 during the dry season. Also, the periodic burning every few years prac-  
7 ticed in these areas and some degree of nutrient recycling by the grazing  
8 animal may contribute to the effects shown in Figure 3. Whatever the  
9 reasons are, the improvement in the chemical properties of acid infertile  
10 Oxisols is remarkable, and shows promise for better managed grass-legume  
11 pastures in the Amazon region.

12 Farming systems that include trees are expected to produce real  
13 nutrient recycling. Trees of economic importance such as cocoa, oil  
14 palm are expected to have a similar nutrient recycle mechanism as the  
15 rainforest (Alvim, 1981). Actual data to support this hypothesis is  
16 however, <sup>to very</sup> ~~extremely~~ limited. Table 35 shows evidence of incipient nu-  
17 trient recycling of several permanent crops in an Oxic Paleudult of  
18 Barrolândia, Bahia, Brazil. Silva (1978) observed an increase in the  
19 exchangeable base content of the top 5 cm of the soil 34 months after  
20 burning. The increase is most marked in the young oil palm plantation  
21 with a Pueraria phaseoloides <sup>ground</sup> ~~cover crop~~, followed by the pasture and to  
22 a lesser degree in the cassava-banana intercropping that precedes cocoa  
23 planting. Similar observations have been made with some planted forestry  
24 species also with a kudzu understory in an Oxisol of Manaus, Brazil  
25 (P. T. Alvim, <sup>personal communication</sup> ~~unpublished data~~). More data, covering a longer time span  
26 is needed in order to fully ascertain the importance of nutrient recycl-  
27 ing in cropping systems of Oxisol-Ultisol regions in tropical America.

2 E. Conclusions

3 The low native fertility of Oxisol-Ultisols cannot be eliminated as  
4 a major constraint without significant fertilizer inputs. Several  
5 avenues are available for lowering the overall fertilizer requirements.  
6 The need for nitrogen fertilization, however, can be essentially elim-  
7 inated in legume-based pasture systems with the use of acid-tolerant  
8 rhizobium strains in association with acid-tolerant legume species. The  
9 same is possible for the acid-tolerant grain legumes, but definitely not  
10 for cereal and root crop species. The carryover effect of nitrogen fixed  
11 by a legume to a non-legume crop either intercropped or in rotation ap-  
12 pears to be minimal as most of the nitrogen is harvested away. Increas-  
13 ing the efficiency of nitrogen fertilization for non-legumes can be  
14 accomplished through improved timing and placement of fertilizers.  
15 Little is known about fertilizer nitrogen efficiency of acid-tolerant  
16 cereal crops under low input systems.

17 Potassium and sulfur deficiencies are widespread and in the case of  
18 the latter, becoming more widespread with the use of higher analysis  
19 fertilizers. The identification of deficiencies of these nutrients and  
20 the micronutrients is a major gap in tropical America. This can be over-  
21 come by effective soil fertility evaluation services, including the es-  
22 tablishment of critical levels and fertilizer recommendation. The cor-  
23 rection of these deficiencies, except for potassium, are relatively  
24 cheap considering the value of the response.

25 Nutrient recycling should be promoted, but in crop production sys-  
26 tems the possibilities seem largely limited to avoiding crop residue  
27

1  
2 removal and mulching. The magnitude of nutrient recycling in pastures  
3 and tree systems needs additional quantification.  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

## VIII. DISCUSSION

The previous sections have described the various components for low input soil management technology that can be used in the acid, infertile soils of the American tropics. Obviously each component is not applicable to all situations or farming systems in the vast target area; some components are mutually exclusive. Also, several components are reasonably well developed and ready for local validation while others are barely more than preliminary observations. As a whole, however, they represent a philosophy of soil management for marginal lands of the tropics. The same philosophy can also be applied to other aspects of agriculture, particularly plant protection. This section of the review examines some of the implications of the use of such technology.

A. Low vs. High Input Approaches

There is considerable ambiguity in the term "low input technology." How low is low, and relative to what? The terms "zero input" and "minimum input" have also been used. The first one is not appropriate because in most systems zero input results in zero output. Low input as opposed to medium or high input deserves some quantification. In this review, we would like to consider low input technology for acid soils of the tropics as that targeted at obtaining about 80% of the maximum yields with the most efficient use of soils, acid-tolerant germplasm, fertilizers and lime. This review shows that it is biologically feasible to reach these yield levels with new technology and germplasm at a substantially lower level of input than by using traditional technology and germplasm.

1  
2 What is wrong with the traditional high input technology that has  
3 been the base of much of our present world food production? There is  
4 nothing wrong with it from an agronomic point of view. If we were far-  
5 mers in an Oxisol region and the government gave us a choice between  
6 overcoming the main soil constraints by financing massive phosphorus  
7 applications, sufficient lime <sup>and</sup> supplemental irrigation systems, and <sup>or</sup>  
8 putting into practice the components described in this review, we would  
9 be crazy not to follow the first alternative. As farmers, we would see  
10 the value of our land increasing as it is transformed from marginal to  
11 excellent land by the application of inputs. The senior author, in  
12 fact, saw his father do exactly that in a 50 hectare Oxisol farm, where  
13 he grew three crops a year with irrigation and profited handsomely from  
14 it. It is difficult to find better soil to manage than an Oxisol once  
15 its chemical constraints are eliminated by inputs.

16 Such opportunities, however, are the exception rather than the  
17 rule in the acid infertile soil regions of tropical America. The magni-  
18 tude of investment capital needed to apply high input technology to  
19 these soils is commonly beyond the resources of <sup>most</sup> governments <sup>and</sup> private  
20 organizations. Political priorities also dictate that farm intensifica-  
21 tion <sup>through</sup> ~~and~~ high input use be located where the large concentrations of  
22 farmers are, usually in <sup>the</sup> high base status soil regions.

23 The increasing costs of petroleum-related inputs and the worldwide  
24 emphasis on conserving the Earth's natural resources pose additional re-  
25 straints to the "maximum input " approach. The development policy goals  
26 of many tropical countries require that both producers and consumers  
27 with limited resources be the major beneficiaries of improved

1  
2 agricultural technology. Nickel (1979) <sup>observed</sup> states that ~~if~~ low income level  
3 consumers are to benefit, food production increases must be achieved at  
4 lower unit costs. These low unit costs can be achieved through biolog-  
5 ically-based technology which is often scale neutral. To assure that  
6 producers with limited resources have access to the benefits of this  
7 technology, it should not depend on large amounts of purchased inputs.  
8 Consequently, the main justification of low input soil management tech-  
9 nology in Oxisol-Ultisol regions of tropical America is socioeconomic  
10 and not agronomic in nature.

11 In the past, farmers adjust to their lack of purchasing power by  
12 applying low amounts of inputs to a farming system designed to operate  
13 best at high input levels. Examples of this abound in Latin America,  
14 where ~~clear~~ nutrient deficiency symptoms are obvious in many fields.  
15 Many farmers know that their crops could yield more if more fertilizer  
16 was applied to high yielding varieties, but they either cannot afford to  
17 purchase more or do not dare to because of the high risk involved.  
18 Another example is the large scale attempt of beef production in Oxisols  
19 and Ultisols of the Amazon<sup>2</sup> of Brazil by planting Panicum maximum without  
20 phosphorus fertilization. This clearly a case of ignoring very obvious  
21 soil constraints. As Paulo Alvim has repeatedly mentioned in meetings  
22 about the Amazon, agriculture is different from mining. You must add  
23 inputs in order to have sustained production, even in the best soils of  
24 the temperate region.

25 Low input soil management technology for these acid soils is dif-  
26 ferent from the partial adoption of high input technology. Low input  
27 technology is not less of the same but a different way of managing the

undone

1  
2 soil. The fundamental breakthrough has been the identification of impor-  
3 tant plant species and varieties that can tolerate significant <sup>degrees</sup> levels of  
4 ~~the~~ acid soil constraints. Then it is a matter of determining how much  
5 fertilizer and lime these tolerant species require to produce about 80%  
6 of their maximum yield on a sustained basis.

7 Finally, a better understanding of the favorable attributes of acid  
8 infertile soils converts certain soil constraints into management assets. *Four*  
9 *examples* ~~are~~ *follow:*

10 1. By keeping the soil in its acid state, low reactivity phosphate  
11 rocks, abundant in tropical America, can be used directly at a fraction  
12 of cost of superphosphates. In effect the chemistry of soil acidity re-  
13 places <sup>the</sup> superphosphate factory at considerable energy savings, provided  
14 that aluminum-tolerant plants are grown.

15 2. Extreme acid soil infertility can <sup>prevent</sup> ~~decrease~~ weed infestations  
16 while localized fertilizer applications promote vigorous growth of the  
17 desired crop or pasture.

18 3. Low effective cation exchange capacity can be considered an  
19 asset in many of these soils. <sup>clayey</sup> Soils with low <sup>CEC</sup> activity ~~clays~~ generally  
20 have better structure and are less erodible than soils <sup>with</sup> of high activity  
21 clays <sup>and</sup> of similar clay content.

22 4. Low effective cation exchange capacity permits the gradual in-  
23 crease in the base status of the subsoil through the downwards movement  
24 of calcium and magnesium. Instead of deterioration, the fertility of  
25 these soils actually <sup>improves</sup> ~~increases~~, permitting deeper root development which,  
26 in turn, permits the utilization of hitherto unavailable soil moisture.  
27 This is an attractive alternative to the more expensive supplemental  
irrigation systems.

2 B. Productivity of Low Input Systems

3 Agronomically-sound high input soil management systems almost in-  
 4 variably produce higher yields than the low input systems defined here.  
 5 There are several reasons that account for this observation. When soil  
 6 constraints are eliminated by fertilization, liming and irrigation, it is  
 7 possible to use plant species and varieties that have a higher absolute  
 8 yield potential than the acid-tolerant varieties presently available.

9 The reason for this difference is very simple. Plant breeders have tra-  
 10 ditionally concentrated on increasing the yield potential in the absence  
 11 of soil constraints. Breeding to combine the various high yielding at-  
 12 tributes with acid soil tolerance is in its infancy. There are no <sup>aluminum</sup> ~~acid~~  
 13 tolerant rice varieties with the yield potential of IR8, yet. Andropogon  
 14 gayanus does not have the production potential or the nutritional quality  
 15 to match intensively fertilized Pennisetum purpureum. Stylosanthes  
 16 guianensis cannot outproduce alfalfa <sup>under</sup> ~~under~~ optimal conditions.

17 This limitation is probably a matter of time, because some toler-  
 18 ances to acid soil stresses are controlled by one or two genes, which  
 19 are often dominant (Rhue, 1979). Consequently combining acid tolerance  
 20 with high yield potential appears feasible from the breeding point of  
 21 view. Breeding for acid soil tolerance, however, is just beginning.

22 Most of the screening work is based on selecting already existing <sup>germplasm</sup> ~~eco~~  
 23 types and not <sup>to</sup> ~~on~~ segregating populations <sup>produced by</sup> ~~from~~ a breeding program with a <sup>for acid toler</sup> ~~a~~  
 24 ~~clear objective~~. Joint work of breeders and soil scientists should be  
 25 intensified, and its payoff could be as important as the successful ef-  
 26 forts of plant breeders with pathologists and entomologists in breeding  
 27 for disease or insect resistance. In fact, the payoff may be even



1  
2 be greater because the acid-tolerant varieties may have a longer useful  
3 time span than insect or disease-tolerant varieties. The aluminum ion  
4 does not mutate into a more virulent race as many fungi or bacteria do.

5 C. Soil Mining or Soil Improvement?

6 Concerns have been expressed that plant species tolerant to acid  
7 soil constraints, but particularly those tolerant to lower levels of  
8 available phosphorus, may completely deplete the low supply of nutrients  
9 that these soils have and render them totally useless. Low input tech-  
10 nology is <sup>sometimes</sup> ~~then~~ viewed as a last ditch effort to extract the last bit of  
11 fertility out of the <sup>se</sup> ~~soils~~.

12 This argument must be viewed in terms of the total reserves of the  
13 soil, the amounts of fertilizers to be added, and total nutrient extrac-  
14 tion.

15 With continuous plant growth the supply of available nutrients in  
16 the soil eventually <sup>decreases</sup> ~~reaches~~ below the critical level. In Oxisols and  
17 Ultisols, this happens rather quickly with nitrogen and potassium, ele-  
18 ments that are very mobile in their available form. Nitrogen depletion  
19 is very unlikely because of the large reservoir in the organic fraction  
20 and its replenishment by root decomposition, nitrogen fixation and other  
21 factors in a farming system, <sup>Organic matter contents of these soils are no different</sup> ~~after it has reached a new equilibrium level~~  
22 <sup>from that range of soil in the main soils of the temperate region (Sandier, 1936)</sup> ~~as all forms of land use do.~~ The situation with sulfur is ~~very~~ similar.

23 <sup>H<sub>2</sub>O</sup> The rate of potassium depletion depends on the soil's reserve in non-  
24 exchangeable form, mainly in clay minerals. The potassium reserves of  
25 these soils are low and can be depleted rapidly enough to provide less  
26 than the critical level of 0.15 meq/100 g. An equilibrium ~~will be estab-~~  
27 ~~lished~~ between available (exchangeable) potassium and non-exchangeable

*Organic matter contents of these soils are no different from that range of soil in the main soils of the temperate region (Sandier, 1936)*

1 ~~is~~ from established. This level <sup>126</sup>  
2 ~~forms~~, which will not support rapid plant growth but will not decrease  
3 the soil's potassium reserves to zero. Since crop residues <sup>or of mature pastures</sup> are usually  
4 high in this element, some degree of recycling <sup>normally</sup> takes place.

5 The potential "mining" of calcium, magnesium, zinc, iron, copper,  
6 boron, manganese, and molybdenum appears less likely because the amounts  
7 removed by plant harvest are very small in consideration with total soil  
8 reserves, <sup>in Oxisols and Ultisols. Also</sup> ~~and~~ the available forms of these elements are less mobile in  
9 soils and thus less subject to loss.

10 This leaves phosphorus, the element around which most of the "soil  
11 mining" arguments revolve. <sup>^</sup> Total phosphorus contents in the topsoil of  
12 Oxisols and Ultisols range on the order of 100-200 ppm P, as compared  
13 with about 3000 ppm P in high base status, high activity clay soils of  
14 Midwestern United States and similar temperate regions (Sanchez, 1976).  
15 Some Oxisols however, have very high total phosphorus contents such as  
16 Eutruxox of the Cerrado of Brazil (Moura et al., 1972), but the  
17 limited data base shows that <sup>most Oxisols and Ultisols</sup> ~~they~~ are generally low in total phosphorus.

18 Table 37 shows the total phosphorus content of an Oxisol profile  
19 from Carimagua, Colombia, representing the least fertile range of the  
20 Oxisol-Ultisol regions of tropical America. The total phosphorus re-  
21 serves of the top 150 cm average 106 ppm P which is equivalent to  
22 4830 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha of total phosphorus. Roots of acid-tolerant plants,  
23 however, may penetrate deeper than 150 cm.

24 Table 38 shows the total uptake of phosphorus of two acid-tolerant  
25 grass pasture under grazing at Carimagua. Total phosphorus uptake by  
26 the forage on offer ranged from 3 to 12 kg P/ha per year (7.5 to 28 kg  
27 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha). Assuming all <sup>the phosphorus</sup> of it is exported away from the sward, and thus

1  
2 ignoring recycling, it is obvious that the amounts added as fertilizer  
3 (50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha per year) more than compensates for the removal. Therefore,  
4 there is no soil mining but actually a <sup>slow</sup> small buildup of phosphorus.  
5 Table 28 confirms that there is a gradual buildup of total phosphorus in  
6 these soils of about 15 to 20 ppm P per year on the topsoil with appli-  
7 cation rates of 50 to 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha per year.

8 In the case of crop production, phosphorus removal rates are higher.  
9 Wade (1978) reports that four consecutive harvest of cowpeas, corn,  
10 peanuts, and rice in which the residues were left in place produced a  
11 total removal of up to <sup>60</sup> kg P/ha per year. The total amount added was

12 <sup>50</sup> kg P/ha, suggesting a very close balance. <sup>annual</sup> An application rate of ~~100~~  
13 <sup>25</sup> 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha per year would probably produce a gradual increase in <sup>slow</sup>

14 It is well known that plants remove less phosphorus than applied as  
15 fertilizers. Since low input technologies described in this review do  
16 involve fertilization, the soil mining argument is ~~not~~ <sup>invalid</sup>. <sup>appears to</sup>

17 <sup>be of very limited validity</sup>

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Table 1. Generalized areal distribution of soils in the tropics. Based on tabular data from FAO-UNESCO (1971-1979) with indicated modifications.

Soil Associations dominated by	Tropical America <sup>1/</sup>	Tropical Africa <sup>2/</sup>	Tropical Asia <sup>3/</sup>	Tropical Australia <sup>4/</sup>	Total	% of Tropics
----- million has -----						
Oxisols	502	316	15	-	833	23
Ultisols	320	135	286	8	749	20
Entisols	124	282	75	93	574	16
Alfisols	183	198	123	55	559	15
Inceptisols	204	156	169	3	532	14
Vertisols	20	46	66	31	163	5
Aridisols	30	1	23	33	87	2
Mollisols	65	-	9	0	74	2
Andisols	31	1	11	0	43	1
Histosols	4	5	27	-	36	1
Spodosols	10	3	6	1	20	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>1493</b>	<b>1143</b>	<b>810</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>3670</b>	<b>100</b>

<sup>1/</sup> From 23°N - 23°S, updated by senior author.

<sup>2/</sup> Areas with more than 150 days of growing season. From Duda (1980).

<sup>3/</sup> Includes temperate portions of India, Bangladesh and Indochina plus Papua New Guinea.

<sup>4/</sup> North of the tropic of Capricorn. From Sanchez and Isbell (1979).

1 Table 2. Geographical extent of major soil constraints in tropical  
 2 America (23° North-23° South) and in the regions dominated  
 3 by acid, infertile soils.

Soil Constraint	Tropical America (1493 mill. ha)		Acid Infertile Soil Region (1043 mill. ha)	
	Million Hectares	% of Total Area	Million Hectares	% of Total Area
6 N deficiency	1332	89	969	93
7 P deficiency	1217	82	1002	96
8 K deficiency	799	54	799	77
High P fixation	788	53	672	64
9 Al toxicity	756	51	756	72
10 S deficiency	756	51	745	71
Zn deficiency	741	50	645	62
11 Ca deficiency	732	49	732	70
12 Mg deficiency	731	49	739	70
13 H <sub>2</sub> O stress > 3 months	634	42	299	29
14 Low H <sub>2</sub> O holding capacity	626	42	583	56
Low ECEC	620	41	577	55
15 High erosion hazard	543	36	304	29
16 Cu deficiency	310	21	310	30
17 Waterlogging	306	20	123	12
Compaction hazard	169	11	169	16
18 Laterite hazard	126	8	81	8
19 Fe deficiency	96	6	?	?
20 Acid sulfate soils	2	0	2	0
Mn toxicity	?	?	?	?
21 B deficiency	?	?	?	?
22 Mo deficiency	?	?	?	?

23 Source: Adapted from Sanchez and Cochrane, 1980.  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Table 3. Decreases in recommended fertilizer and lime application rates when only 80% of the maximum yield is desired. Examples from Oxisol-Ultisol regions. Residual effects indicated with "R".

Location	Crop	Input	Maximum Yield ton/ha/crop	Rate to Reach		Reduction of fertilizer rate 80/100 MY % ----	Source
				Max. Yield kg/ha	80% Max.		
Brasilia, Br.	Corn (6)	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (R)	7.0	563	282	50	NCSU (1978)
Brasilia, Br.	Corn (5)	Lime (R)	5.6	8000	2000	75	NCSU (1978)
Brasilia, Br.	Corn (1)	K	4.9	249	60	76	NCSU (1978)
Brasilia, Br.	Soybeans (1)	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	3.2	1200	300	75	CPAC (1976)
Brasilia, Br.	Wheat (1)	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	2.4	800	200	75	CPAC (1976)
Orocovis, P.R.	Elephant grass	N	53.0	1792	746	58	Vicente-Chandler et al (1964)
Carimagua, Col.	Cassava (42)	Lime	8.0	6000	1700	72	CIAT (1978)
Carimagua, Col.	Corn (20)	Lime	3.2	6000	2200	63	CIAT (1978)
Carimagua, Col.	Rice (96)	Lime	2.8	6000	3500	42	CIAT (1978)
Carimagua, Col.	Sorghum (240)	Lime	3.1	6000	1800	70	CIAT (1978)
Carimagua, Col.	Beans (49)	Lime	1.0	6000	4000	33	CIAT (1978)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Table 4. Some important food crops considered generally tolerant of acid soil conditions in the tropics.

Generally tolerant species:

- Cassava (Manihot esculenta)
- Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata)
- Peanut (Arachis hypogaea)
- Pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan)
- Plantain (Musa paradisiaca)
- Rice (Oryza sativa)
- Soybean (Glycine max)

Generally susceptible species with acid-tolerant cultivars:

- Common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris)
- Corn (Zea mays)
- Potato (Solanum tuberosum)
- Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor)
- Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas)
- Wheat (Triticum aestivum)

1 Table 5. The effect of soil fertility level on leaf area index and  
 2 leaf nutrient concentration of the cassava variety M Mex 59  
 3 six months after planting.

4 Fertility 5 level	Leaf area index	Nutrient concentration			Nutrient content per unit of leaf area		
		N	P	K	N	P	K
		----- % -----			----- mg/dm <sup>2</sup> -----		
7 High	5.39	3.69	0.25	2.00	18.9	1.28	10.3
8 Medium	3.54	3.68	0.19	1.40	20.2	1.04	7.7
9 Low	1.65	3.52	0.18	0.73	21.7	1.11	4.5

10 Source: Cock (1981).  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Table 6. Some important fruit crops considered generally tolerant to acid soil conditions in the tropics.

Name	Species	Source*
Banana	<u>Musa sapiensis</u>	2
Carambola	<u>Averrhoa carambola</u>	1
Cashew	<u>Anacardium occidentale</u>	1
Coconut	<u>Cocos nucifera</u>	1
Granadilla	<u>Passiflora edulis</u>	1
Grapefruit	<u>Citrus paradisi</u>	1
Guava	<u>Psidium guajava</u>	2
Jackfruit	<u>Artocarpus heterophyllus</u>	1
Lime	<u>Citrus aurantiifolia</u>	1
Mango	<u>Manguifera indica</u>	1
Orange	<u>Citrus sinensis</u>	1
Pineapple	<u>Ananas comosus</u>	1
Pomegranate	<u>Punica granatum</u>	1

\*1:Duke, 1978; 2:authors.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Table 7. Some important perennial and forest crops considered tolerant to acid soil conditions in the tropics.

Name	Species	Source
Brazil nut	<u>Bertholletia excelsa</u>	1
Coffee	<u>Coffea arabica</u>	1
Eucalyptus	<u>Eucalyptus grandiflora</u>	2
Gmelina	<u>Gmelina arborea</u>	2
Guaranā	<u>Paullinia cupana</u>	2
Jacarandā	<u>Dalbergia nigra</u>	2
Oil palm	<u>Elaeis guineensis</u>	1
Peach palm	<u>Guilielma gasipaes*</u>	2
Pepper, black	<u>Piper nigrum</u>	1
Pine	<u>Pinus caribea</u>	2
Rubber	<u>Hevea brasiliensis</u>	1
Sugarcane	<u>Saccharum officinarum</u>	1

1-Duke (1978).

2-Alvim (1981).

\*Known as "pegibaye," "chontaduro," "pijuayo," "pupunha."

1 Table 8. Some important pasture species adapted to Oxisols and Ultisols  
 2 of the tropics.

3 Species	Observations
4 GRASSES:	
5 <u>Andropogon gayanus</u>	Well adapted. New release in tropical America.
6 <u>Brachiaria decumbens</u>	Well adapted. Spittlebug susceptible.
7 <u>Brachiaria humidicola</u>	Very Al-tolerant, low palatability.
8 <u>Digitaria decumbens</u>	Adapted, but requires high fertility.
9 <u>Hyparrhenia rufa</u>	Adapted, high K requirement, low productivity.
10 <u>Melinis minutiflora</u>	Adapted but low productivity.
11 <u>Panicum maximum</u>	Adapted, somewhat higher nutritional requirement.
12 <u>Pennisetum purpureum</u>	Adapted for cut forage, high nutrient requirement.
13 <u>Paspalum notatum</u>	Low productivity.
14 <u>Paspalum plicatulum</u>	Disease susceptibility in some areas.
15 LEGUMES:	
16 <u>Desmodium heterophyllum</u>	Prefers udic soil moisture regime.
17 <u>Desmodium gyroides</u>	Shrub for browse.
18 <u>Desmodium ovalifolium</u>	High tannin in ustic climates.
19 <u>Calopogonium mucunoides</u>	Persistent but low palatability
20 <u>Centrosema pubescens</u>	Insect attack problems.
21 <u>Galactia striata</u>	Productive in certain systems only.
22 <u>Pueraria phaseoloides</u>	Not for long dry season.
23 <u>Stylosanthes capitata</u>	Savannas only.
24 <u>Stylosanthes guianensis</u>	Only few cultivars have anthracnose tolerance.
25 <u>Stylosanthes scabra</u>	Promising for isothermic savannas.
26 <u>Stylosanthes viscosa</u>	Promising for isothermic savannas.
27 <u>Zornia latifolia</u>	Promising for isohyperthermic savannas.

Source: CIAT (1978, 1979, 1980) and author observations.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Table 9. Nutrient contribution of ash and partially burned material to an Ultisol of Yurimaguas, Peru after burning a 17-year-old forest.

Element	Composition	Total additions
		kg/ha
N	1.72%	67
P	0.14%	6
K	0.97%	38
Ca	1.92%	75
Mg	0.41%	16
Fe	0.19%	7.6
Mn	0.19%	7.3
Zn	132 ppm	0.3
Cu	79 ppm	0.3

Source: Seubert et al. (1977).

Table 10. Effects of land-clearing methods on crop yields at Yurimaguas.  
(Yield is the average of the number of harvests indicated in parenthesis).

Crops	Fertility level*	Slash and burn	Bulldozed	Bulldozed Burned
		-----t/ha**	-----	%
Upland rice (3)	0	1.3	0.7	53
	NPK	3.0	1.5	49
	NPKL	2.9	2.3	80
Corn (1)	0	0.1	0.0	0
	NPK	0.4	0.04	10
	NPKL	3.1	2.4	76
Soybeans (2)	0	0.7	0.2	24
	NPK	1.0	0.3	34
	NPKL	2.7	1.8	67
Cassava (2)	0	15.4	6.4	42
	NPK	18.9	14.9	78
	NPKL	25.6	24.9	97
<u>Panicum maximum</u> (6 cuts/year)	0	12.3	8.3	68
	NPK	25.2	17.2	68
	NPKL	32.2	24.2	75
Mean relative yields	0			37
	NPK			47
	NPKL			48

\* 50 kg N/ha, 172 kg P/ha, 40 kg K/ha, 4 t/ha of lime.

\*\* Grain yields of upland rice, corn and soybean; fresh root yields of cassava, annual dry matter production of Panicum maximum.

Source: Seubert et al. (1977).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Table 11. Effects of bulldozer clearing in decreasing infiltration rates in Ultisols from Yurimaguas, Peru, Manaus and Barrolandia (Bahia), Brazil.

Clearing Method	Yurimaguas Peru	Manaus, AM Brazil	Barrolandia, BA Brazil
	----- cm/hr -----		
Undisturbed forest	26	15	24
Slash and burned (1 year)	10	-	20
Bulldozed (1 year)	0.5	-	3
Slash and burn and 5 year pastures	-	0.4	-

Sources: NCSU (1972); Seubert et al. (1977); Schubart (1977) and Silva (1978).

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Table 12. Summary of changes in topsoil chemical properties before and shortly after burning tropical forests in Ultisols and Oxisols of the Amazon.

Soil Property	Timing	Yurimaguas <sup>1/</sup> (2 sites)		Manaus <sup>2/</sup> ( $\bar{x}$ 7 sites)	Manaus <sup>3/</sup> (1 site)	Belem <sup>4/</sup> ( $\bar{x}$ 60 sites)	Barrolandia <sup>5/</sup> Bahia (1 site)
		I	II				
Months after burning:		1	3	0.5	4	12	1
pH (in H <sub>2</sub> O)	Before:	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.1	4.8	4.6
	After:	4.5	4.8	4.5	5.5	4.9	5.2
Exch. Ca+Mg (meq/100 g)	Before:	0.41	1.46	0.35	0.92	1.03	1.40
	After:	0.88	4.08	1.25	5.44	1.97	4.40
	$\Delta$	0.47	2.62	0.90	4.52	0.94	3.00
Exch. K (meq/100 g)	Before:	0.10	0.33	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.07
	After:	0.32	0.24	0.22	0.23	0.12	0.16
	$\Delta$	0.22	(0.07)	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.09
Exch. Al (meq/100 g)	Before:	2.27	2.15	1.73	1.81	1.62	0.75
	After:	1.70	0.65	0.70	0.10	0.90	0.28
	$\Delta$	(0.59)	(1.50)	(1.03)	(1.71)	(0.72)	(0.45)
Al satn. (%)	Before:	81	52	80	64	58	34
	After:	59	12	32	2	30	5
	$\Delta$	(22)	(40)	(48)	(62)	(28)	(29)
Avail. P(ppm) (Olsen in Peru, NC in Brazil)	Before:	5	15	-	2	6.3	1.5
	After:	16	23	-	5	7.5	8.5
	$\Delta$	11	8	-	3	1.2	7.0

Calculated from data by: 1/ Seubert et al. (1977) and Villachica and Sanchez (unpublished data)  
 2/ Brinkmann and Nascimento (1973)  
 3/ UEPAE de Manaus (1979)  
 4/ Hecht (unpublished data)  
 5/ Silva (1978)

1 Table 13. Effect of planting method, spacing and seed density on IR8  
 2 upland rice yields on Aeric Tropaqualf in Yurimaguas, Peru.  
 3 Source: Sanchez and Nurena (1972).

4 Planting method and spacing	5 Seed density (kg/ha)	6 Grain yields (ton/ha)
7 Rototilled, 2 row 8 seeding (25 cm rows)	9 50	10 5.93
11 No till, "tacarpo" holes 12 25 x 25 cm	13 35	14 5.68
15 No till, "tacarpo" holes 16 50 x 50 cm	17 18	18 4.25
19 LSD.05		20 0.31

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Table 14. The ability of different forage species to invade and displace fertilized native savanna with different degrees of control and tillage in Oxisols of Carimagua, Colombia.

Treatment of native savanna	Species capable of:	
	Invading	Displacing
Burn only	<u>D. ovalifolium</u> <u>P. phaseoloides</u> <u>B. radicans</u>	<u>D. ovalifolium</u> <u>P. phaseoloides</u>
Chemical control	<u>D. ovalifolium</u> <u>P. phaseoloides</u> <u>B. humidicola</u> <u>B. radicans</u>	<u>D. ovalifolium</u> <u>P. phaseoloides</u> <u>B. humidicola</u>
Tine tillage to 12 cm	<u>D. ovalifolium</u> <u>P. phaseoloides</u> <u>B. humidicola</u> <u>B. decumbens</u> <u>A. gayanus</u> <u>B. radicans</u>	<u>D. ovalifolium</u> <u>P. phaseoloides</u> <u>B. humidicola</u> <u>B. decumbens</u> <u>A. gayanus</u>
Complete seedbed preparation	<u>D. ovalifolium</u> <u>P. phaseoloides</u> <u>B. humidicola</u> <u>B. decumbens</u> <u>A. gayanus</u> <u>B. radicans</u>	<u>D. ovalifolium</u> <u>P. phaseoloides</u> <u>B. humidicola</u> <u>B. decumbens</u> <u>A. gayanus</u> <u>B. radicans</u>

Source: CIAT (1980)

Table 15. Crop and pasture production in row intercropped systems planted simultaneously on an Ultisol from Quilichao, Colombia fertilized with 0.5 ton/ha of dolomitic lime and 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha of triple superphosphate.

Crop	Species. Pasture* (# cuts)	Crop Yields			Pasture (Dry Matter)			Sum of RY
		Mono- culture	Inter- cropped	RY**	Mono- culture	Inter- cropped	RY	
		---- ton/ha ----	---- %		----- ton/ha -----	----- %	%	
Cassava (roots)	S.g. (3)	45.6	38.2	84	2.1	1.0	48	132
"	B.d. + S.g. (3)	42.4	17.0	40	7.0	6.4	92	130
Beans (grain)	S.g. (1)	1.08	1.08	100	0.80	0.37	40	146
"	B.d. + S.g. (1)	1.22	1.24	102	1.70	0.93	55	157

Adapted from CIAT (1979).

\*S.g = Stylosanthes guianensis 136; B.d. = Brachiaria decumbens.

\*\* RY = Relative Yields =  $\frac{\text{Intercropped}}{\text{Monoculture}} \times 100$

Table 16. Effects of mulching on corn yields on a Typic Haplustox near Brasilia, Brazil (Means of varieties and other management treatments per season).

Treatment	Rainy season	Dry season (irrigated)
----- Grain yields (tons/ha) -----		
No mulching	6.16	5.93
<u>Melinis minutiflora</u> mulch	6.54	5.99
Black plastic mulch	-	6.75

Sources: Bandy (1976), NCSU (1976)

27  
26  
25  
24  
23  
22  
21  
20  
19  
18  
17  
16  
15  
14  
13  
12  
11  
10  
9  
8  
7  
6  
5  
4  
3  
2  
1

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Table 17. Overall effect of mulching with Panicum maximum on crop grain yields in Typic Paleudults of Yurimaguas, Peru.

Crop	Number of Harvests	With mulching	Without mulching
		----- ton/ha -----	
Upland rice	7	2.10	2.71
Corn	4	3.94	3.56
Soybeans	6	2.34	2.29
Peanut	4	2.96	2.88
Cowpea	1	0.64	0.74
Mean yields	20	2.56	2.49

Source: Valverde and Bandy (1981).

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Table 18. Overall effect of mulching and green manure incorporations in unfertilized treatments relative to the yields attained in the bare, fertilized treatments in five consecutive crops. Numbers in parenthesis are the actual yields in tons/ha which were equalled to 100. Yurimaguas, 1974-1975.

Treatments (all unfertilized)	1st crop Soybeans (1.10)	2nd crop Cowpeas (0.74)	3rd crop Corn (4.17)	4th crop Peanuts (2.88)	5th crop Rice (2.74)	Mean effect
	----- % of yields in bare, high NPKL treatments -----					
Bare soil	9	59	33	55	64	44
Grass mulch	14	103	57	52	94	64
Grass incorporated	33	90	70	69	94	71
Kudzu mulch	-	97	72	63	90	80
Kudzu incorporated	109	77	88	79	99	90

Sources: North Carolina State University (1976), Wade (1978).

1 Table 19. Intensive intercropping systems producing 4 to 5 crops a  
 2 year as compared with growing the same crops under mono-  
 3 culture in a Typic Paleudult at Yurimaguas, Peru. Tall  
 4 crops spaced in 2 m rows.

5 Year 1:	Corn	Soybeans	Cassava	Cowpeas	Total market value	% over mono-culture	
6 Grain or tuber yields (tons/ha)					US\$/ha		
7 Intercropped	1.54	0.83	11.7	0.54	1055	20	
8 Mono-cultures	3.35	1.15	16.8	1.05	879	-	
10 Year 2:	Rice	Soybens	Cassava	Peanuts	Cow-peas	Total market value	% over mono-culture
11 Intercropped	2.01	0.52	8.0	2.62	0.24	1996	28
12 Mono-cultures	2.38	1.19	22.9	3.05	0.47	1558	-

16 Source: Adapted from North Carolina State University (1975, 1976)  
 17 Wade (1978).  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Table 20. Residual effects of lime applications to an Oxisol of Brasilia in terms of changes in topsoil properties and relative grain yields at 6 and 66 months after application.

Lime applied in 1972	pH		Exch. Al		Exch. Ca+Mg		Al. sat.		Relative grain yields	
	6*	66*	6*	66*	6*	66*	6*	66*	6*	66*
tons/ha	1:1 H <sub>2</sub> O		----- meg/100 g -----				----- % -----			
0	4.7	3.9	1.1	1.5	0.6	0.3	63	80	53	50
1	5.0	4.2	0.9	1.1	1.1	0.6	45	61	85	93
2	5.1	4.3	0.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	25	46	88	88
4	5.6	4.8	0.2	0.4	3.1	2.1	6	15	100	89
8	6.3	5.2	0.0	0.1	4.4	4.0	2	2	93	100

Compiled from: NCSU, 1974; Gonzalez, 1976; Gonzalez et al., 1979; CPAC, 1979; Miranda et al., 1980.

\* Months after lime incorporation. Yields refer to the first crop (corn) and the seventh consecutive crop (soybeans). Maximum yields were 4.0 and 2.1 tons/ha, respectively.

1 Table 21. Estimated lime requirements for main crops and pasture  
 2 species in the well drained savanna Oxisols of the Colombian  
 3 Llanos Orientales.

Species	Lime Rate	Source
ton/ha		
CROPS:		
Rice (tall statured)	0.25 - 0.5	2
Cassava	0.25 - 0.5	5
Mango	0.25 - 0.5	5
Cashew	0.25 - 0.5	5
Citrus	0.25 - 0.5	5
Pineapple	0.25 - 0.5	5
Cowpea	0.5 - 1.0	5
Plantain	0.5 - 1.0	5
Corn	1.0 - 2.0	5
Black beans	1.0 - 2.0	5
Tobacco	1.5 - 2.0	5
Peanuts	1.5 - 2.0	1
Rice (short statured)	2.0	1
PASTURES:		
<u>Andropogon gayanus</u>	0.4	3
<u>Panicum maximum</u>	1.5	3
<u>Brachiaria decumbens</u>	1.1	3
<u>Stylosanthes capitata</u>	0.5	3
<u>Zornia latifolia</u>	0.5	4
<u>Desmodium ovalifolium</u>	0.5	4
<u>Pueraria phaseoloides</u>	1.0	3
<u>Pennisetum purpureum</u>	2.6	3

18 Sources: (1) Alvarado, undated; (2) Calvo et al., 1977; <sup>(3)</sup> Salinas and  
 19 Delgadillo, 1980; (4) Spain, 1979; <sup>(5)</sup> Spain et al., 1975.



1 Table 22. Classification of soybean cultivars according to critical  
2 aluminum saturation levels (required for 80% maximum yields)  
3 in Oxisols of Paraná, Brazil.

4 Category	5 Cultivar	6 Critical 7 % Al saturation 8 level
9 Very susceptible:	10 Andrews	11 9
	12 Cobb	13 10
14 Mod. susceptible:	15 Florida	16 13
	17 Bragg	18 15
	19 Sant'ana	20 17
	21 Hutton	22 18
	23 Santa Rosa	24 18
	25 UFV-1	26 21
	27 Vicoja	28 22
	29 Bossler	30 22

31 Source: Muzilli et al., (1978)

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Table 23. Differential tolerance rating of pasture grass and legume species under field conditions in an Oxisol of the Colombian Llanos Orientales.

Species and CIAT No.	No Lime			0.5 tons lime/ha		5 tons lime/ha		Maximum dry matter yield
	0 kg P/ha	17 kg P/ha	227 kg P/ha	17 kg P/ha	227 kg P/ha	17 kg P/ha	227 kg P/ha	
Grasses/soil test levels:	92% A1 1.7 P	90% A1 2.1 P	89% A1 11.7 P	86% A1 2.3P	81% A1 14.8 P	26% A1 1.5 P	22% A1 18.3 P	
----- Tolerance categories* -----								--tons/ha--
<i>Brachiaria humidicola</i> 692	M	H	H	H	M	M	S	3.33
<i>Anaropogn gayanus</i> 621	M	M	M	M	H	M	M	7.35
<i>Melinis minutiflora</i> 608	S	M	H	H	H	M	M	3.09
<i>Brachiaria decumbens</i> 606	S	S	S	S	S	M	M	3.58
<i>Panicum maximum</i> 604	S	S	S	S	M	M	H	5.86
<i>Penisetum purpureum</i>	S	S	S	S	M	M	H	6.98
Legumes/soil test levels:	92% A1 1.6 P	92% A1 2.6 P	92% A1 24.1 P	86% A1 2.6 P	86% A1 24.1 P	27% A1 1.6 P	27% A1 24.1 P	
<i>Stylosanthes capitata</i> 1078	M	M	H	M	M	M	H	4.04
<i>Stylosanthes guianensis</i> 184	S	M	M	H	H	M	H	2.66
<i>Centroserna hybrid</i> 438	S	M	H	M	H	S	M	2.04
<i>Stylosanthes capitata</i> 1405	S	M	H	M	H	M	M	2.88
<i>Stylosanthes capitata</i> 1019	S	M	M	M	M	M	M	2.67
<i>Desmodium ovalifolium</i> 350	S	S	M	M	H	M	M	3.68
<i>Desmodium heterophyllum</i> 349	X	X	S	S	S	M	H	2.41
<i>Macroptilium</i> sp 506	X	X	M	S	M	S	M	2.96
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> 734	X	X	S	S	S	H	M	1.56

\* X = dead; S = surviving (<50% max. yield); M = moderate (50-80% max. yield); H = highly (>80% max. yield)

Adapted from: Salinas and Delgado (1980); CIAT (1980).

1 Table 24. Differential response of nine forage legumes to manganese  
2 toxicity in Australia.

Species	Regression Coefficient*	Tolerance rating	Internal Critical level
5 <u>Centrosema pubescens</u>	-0.0023	1 Tolerant	ppm Mn 1600
6 <u>Stylosanthes humilis</u>	-0.0038	2	1140
7 <u>Lotononis bainesii</u>	-0.0039	3	1320
8 <u>Macroptilium lathyroides</u>	-0.0066	4	840
9 <u>Leucaena leucocephala</u>	-0.0077	5	550
10 <u>Desmodium uncinatum</u>	-0.0080	6	1160
11 <u>Medicago sativa</u>	-0.0102	7	380
12 <u>Glycine wightii</u>	-0.0128	8	560
13 <u>Macroptilium atropurpureum</u>	-0.0159	9 Susceptible.	810

15 \* Indicates magnitude of dry matter production decreases with  
16 increasing manganese levels.

17 Source: Andrew and Hegarty (1969).

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Table 25. Differential response of five tropical forage legumes to manganese toxicity in Rio de Janeiro state, Brazil.

Species	Regression Coefficient	Tolerance rating
<u>Stylosanthes guianensis</u>	-0.014	1 Tolerant
<u>Glycine wightii</u>	-0.091	2
<u>Centrosema pubescens</u>	-0.162	3
<u>Macroptilium atropurpureum</u>	-0.197	4
<u>Pueraria phaseoloides</u>	-0.210	5 Sensitive

Source: Souto and Döbereiner (1969)

1 Table 26. Solution phosphorus levels in sorption isotherms equivalent  
 2 soil test levels and amounts of broadcast triple superphosphate  
 3 added after 7 years and 13 continuous crops to a Tropeptic  
 Eutrorthox from Hawaii.

P maintained in soil solution	Soil test P values			P added to soil		
	Bray I	N.C.	Olsen	Initial	Maintenance in 7 years	Total
	ppm P			kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha		
0.003	3	6	12	80	114	194
0.006	5	9	15	200	204	404
0.012	14	20	30	432	714	1146
0.025	28	35	44	682	1445	2127
0.05	55	57	72	1000	2050	3050
0.1	72	86	93	1363	2614	3977
0.2	144	158	164	1591	3691	5282
0.4	156	209	160	1591	4634	6225
1.6	339	337	295	3273	7566	10,839

15 Adapted from Yost and Fox (1979).

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Table 27. Relative agronomic effectiveness of several phosphate rocks as determined by yield of Brachiaria decumbens grown in the field at Carimagua (sum of 13 cuts taken over a 44 month period).

Phosphorus Source	P applied (kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha)			
	25	50	100	400
	----- % relative yield <sup>1/</sup> -----			
TSP Annual	(32.2) <sup>2/</sup>	(34.5)	(35.9)	(43.6)
TSP Residual <sup>1/</sup>	100 (21.1) <sup>2/</sup>	100 (29.4)	100 (31.2)	100 (36.8)
Florida (U.S.)	122	93	101	104
Bayovar (Peru)	120	80	103	109
Gafsa (Tunisia)	108	104	104	104
Huila (Colombia)	95	113	98	110
Pesca (Colombia)	110	82	111	116
Tennessee (U.S.)	104	76	96	108
Check: 13.6%				

1/ Assumed at 100% for each level of application.

2/ Dry matter yields in ton/ha.

Source: Leon and Fenster (1980).

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Table 28. Phosphorus fractions in the Carimagua Oxisol as a function of applied phosphorus rates.

Applied Phosphorus P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	P	Avail. P Bray II	Ca-P	Al-P	Fe-P	Inorganic P	Organic P	Total P
----- kg/ha -----		----- ppm -----						
0	0	1.8	0.9	0.5	26	29.2	101	130.2
10	4.4	1.8	0.8	0.6	29	32.2	97	129.2
20	8.7	1.9	1.0	0.6	32	35.5	97	132.5
40	17.5	2.1	1.1	0.6	35	38.8	108	146.8
80	34.9	2.2	1.7	0.9	40	44.8	102	146.8
100	43.7	3.5	1.7	1.0	42	48.2	92	140.2
150	65.5	5.5	1.9	1.3	43	51.7	101	152.7
200	87.3	6.6	2.2	1.5	45	55.3	101	156.3

Source: CIAT (1981).

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Table 29. Phosphorus rate recommendations for clayey Typic Huplustox near Bra ilia, Brazil for continuous corn production according to soil test interpretations.

Available P (N.C. method)	Soil test interpretation	Relative corn yields	Basal broadcast application	Banded application per crop	Total for Total for 9 crops
---- ppm ----		- % max. -	----- kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /ha -----		
0 < - 2.0	Extremely low	0- 25	320	80	1040
2.1- 6.0	Very low	26- 50	200	80	920
6.1-10.0	Low	51- 75	80	80	800
10.1-16.0	Medium	76- 90	0	70	630
>16.0	High	91-100	0	60	540

Adapted from Miranda et al., (1980).



1 Table 30. Agronomic effectiveness of phosphate rocks as determined by  
 2 yield of Panicum maximum grown on a Las Gaviotas Oxisol in  
 3 the Llanos of Colombia under greenhouse conditions (sum of  
 3 cuttings).

4 Phosphate rock	Reactivity rating**	Phosphorus rate (mg/pot)			
		50	100	200	400
5 ----- % relative yield * -----					
6 BRAZIL:					
7 Abaete	Low	11	33	52	55
8 Araxa	Low	30	33	56	58
9 Catalao	Low	5	6	22	38
10 Jacupiranga	Low	12	13	19	51
11 Maranhao	Low	60	69	86	91
12 Patos de Minas	Low	27	42	66	72
13 Tapira	Low	4	7	10	23
14 COLOMBIA:					
15 Huila	Medium	58	59	84	84
16 Pesca	Low	56	61	80	83
17 Sardinata	Low	29	44	68	74
18 PERU:					
19 Bayovar	High	99	79	104	91
20 VENEZUELA:					
21 Lobatera	Low	56	56	65	76
22 TUNISIA:					
23 Gafsa	High	63	72	114	105
24 UNITED STATES:					
25 Florida	Medium	59	71	86	91
26 North Carolina	High	70	78	107	108

24 \* Dry matter yields obtained is with triple superphosphate considered as  
 25 100% for each phosphorus rate. Absolute yields: 0.6, 13.3, 19.0,  
 26 22.2 and 22.2 g/pot with 0, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg P/pot as triple  
 27 superphosphate, respectively.

\*\* From Lehr and McClellan (1972) and unpublished sources.

Source: Leon and Fenster (1979).

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Table 31. Effect of ratio of phosphate rock to simple and triple superphosphate on yield of corn grown in the greenhouse on a Carimagua Oxisol (sum of two harvests).

Phosphorus Source	P R : S S P / T S P				
	1 : 0	3 : 1	1 : 1	1 : 3	0 : 1
	----- % Relative Yield <sup>1/</sup> -----				
Simple superphosphate	-	-	-	-	100 <sup>2/</sup> (18.9) <sup>3/</sup>
Triple superphosphate	-	-	-	-	91
Florida simple superphosphate	71	70	91	99	-
Florida triple superphosphate	71	72	92	98	-
Pesca simple superphosphate	27	53	75	99	-
Pesca triple superphosphate	27	64	70	89	-
Check - 16%					

1/ All phosphorus rates were averaged. Granule size used: Minigranule (-48 + 150 mesh)

2/ SSP assumed at 100%

3/ Tissue yield in g/pot.

Source: Fenster and Leon (1980).

1 Table 32. Effects of amendment and P applications on the amount of  
 2 sorbed P needed to provide 0.1 ppm P in solution in a  
 3 Brazilian Oxisol.

4 Level*	5 Amendment	6 Applied P (ppm)			
		0	380	460	540
		----- % decrease in P -----			
0	Control	0	44	54	65
1	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	18	59	68	77
	CaSiO <sub>3</sub>	24	65	77	84
	Combined	18	65	71	82
2	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	16	62	77	85
	CaSiO <sub>3</sub>	28	75	82	91
	Combined	32	74	77	85

14 \* Amendment level is relative to neutralization of exchangeable Al  
 15 by the factor of 1 and 2, respectively. Initial exchangeable Al  
 16 1.45 meq/100g.

17 Source: Smyth and Sanchez (1980a).

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

1 Table 33. External critical phosphorus levels of various tropical  
 2 pasture species.

Species and accession number	Critical level of Bray II available P
	ppm P
Legumes:	
<u>Stylosanthes capitata</u> CIAT 1978	2.5
<u>Stylosanthes guianensis</u> CIAT 1200	2.5
<u>Zornia latifolia</u> CIAT 728	2.8
<u>Desmodium ovalifolium</u> CIAT 350	3.0
<u>Stylosanthes capitata</u> CIAT 1315	3.2
<u>Stylosanthes capitata</u> CIAT 1097	3.3
<u>Zornia</u> sp. CIAT 883	3.4
<u>Pueraria phaseoloides</u> CIAT 9900	3.5
<u>Stylosanthes capitata</u> CIAT 1019	3.5
<u>Stylosanthes capitata</u> CIAT 1338	3.6
<u>Stylosanthes guianensis</u> CIAT 1153	5.5
<u>Desmodium scorpiurus</u> CIAT 3022	8.0
<u>Macroptilium</u> sp. CIAT 536	9.5
<u>Desmodium gyroides</u> CIAT 3001	11.4
Grasses:	
<u>Andropogon gayanus</u> CIAT 621	5.0
<u>Brachiaria decumbens</u> CIAT 606	7.0
<u>Panicum maximum</u> CIAT 604	10.0

22 \* Soil test level associated with 60-80% of maximum yield.

23 Sources: CIAT (1978, 1979, 1980).

24  
 25  
 26  
 27

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Table 34. Internal critical levels of phosphorus associated with maximum yields of tropical pastures species.

Species	% P in tissue	Source
Legumes:		
<u>Stylosanthes humilis</u>	0.17	1
<u>Centrosema pubescens</u>	0.16	1
<u>Desmodium intortum</u>	0.22	1
<u>Glycine wightii</u>	0.23	1
<u>Medicago sativa</u>	0.25	1
Grasses:		
<u>Andropogon gayanus</u>	0.11	2
<u>Brachiaria decumbens</u>	0.12	2
<u>Melinis minutiflora</u>	0.18	1
<u>Panicum maximum</u>	0.19	1
<u>Pennisetum clandestinum</u>	0.22	1
<u>Chloris gayana</u>	0.23	1
<u>Paspalum dilatatum</u>	0.25	1

Sources: 1. Andrew and Robins (1969, 1971)  
2. CIAT (1978)

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Table 35. Effects of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza inoculum in sterilized acid laterite soil of Sri Lanka on growth, phosphorus uptake and nitrogen fixation by Pueraria phaseoloides under pot conditions.

Treatment	Dry matter production	Mycorrhizal infection	Plant P	Nodules per pot	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> reduction
	g/pot	%	%	No.	μmol/pot/hr.
Unsterilized check	2.4	0	0.18	1	0.1
Mycorrhiza only	28.8	76	0.27	230	55.0
Mycorrhiza + 12 ppm P as PR*	31.0	67	0.28	241	69.1
Mycorrhiza + 60 ppm P as PR*	37.8	74	0.31	354	123.4
12 ppm P as PR*	3.9	11	0.25	11	1.6
60 ppm P as PR*	24.6	0	0.25	96	24.8

Adapted from Woidyanatha et al., (1979).

\* Jordan phosphate rock

1 Table 36. Tentative external and internal critical sulfur levels of  
 2 acid tolerant forage grasses and legumes grown in a  
 3 Carimagua Oxisol in the greenhouse (estimated from Cate-  
 Nelson diagrams).

Species	Critical soil test level*	Critical tissue concentration
	ppm S	% S
GRASSES:		
<u>Brachiaria humidicola</u> 679	11	0.14
<u>Andropogon gayanus</u> 621	12	0.15
<u>Brachiaria decumbens</u> 606	13	0.16
<u>Panicum maximum</u> 604	14	0.15
LEGUMES:		
<u>Stylosanthes capitata</u> 1315	12	0.15
<u>Desmodium ovalifolium</u> 350	13	0.12
<u>Zornia latifolia</u> 728	14	0.14
<u>Stylosanthes capitata</u> 1019	15	0.17

16 Source: CIAT (1981)

17 \* Calcium phosphate extraction

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Table 37. Soil phosphorus fractions in the profile of an Oxisol of Carimagua, Llanos Orientales, Colombia.

Horizon (cm)	pH	Organic C (%)	Base Saturation (%)	Total P (ppm)	Percent of total P					
					Organic P	Ca-P	Al-P	Fe-P	Reductant- Sol Fe-P	Occluded Al-P
0-6	4.5	2.26	7	185	77	0.9	0.8	10	9	1
6-15	4.6	1.84	7	151	75	0.6	0.9	11	11	1
15-40	4.6	1.13	13	126	73	0.7	1.2	6	17	1
40-70	4.9	0.53	15	114	55	0.8	1.3	7	34	1
70-100	5.1	0.43	29	90	47	0.6	1.0	9	41	1
100-150	5.1	0.24	21	84	35	0.7	1.2	4	53	4

Source: Benavides (1963).



1 Table 38. Phosphorus content of Andropogon gayanus and Bracharia  
 2 decumbens available by swards under a stocking rate of  
 3 1.7 animal units per hectare in a Tropeptic Haplustox of  
 4 Carimagua, Colombia fertilized with 50 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha as triple  
 5 superphosphate and plus small quantities of calcium,  
 6 magnesium, potassium and sulfur.

7 Species	8 Season	9 Dry matter on offer	10 % P content	11 Phosphorus uptake	12 Annual Liveweight gains
		ton/ha	%	kg P/ha	kg/wt
13 <u>A. gayanus</u> (1 year mean)	Rainy	4.7	0.16	7.5	288
	Dry	5.5	0.09	4.9	-23
	Annual	10.2	0.12	12.4	265 <sup>1/</sup>
14 <u>B. decumbens</u> (4 year mean)	Rainy	0.8	0.15	1.2	125
	Dry	1.6	0.13	2.1	4
	Annual	2.4	0.14	3.3	129

15 Adapted from O. Paladenes and P. Hoyos. 1979. Unpublished data,  
 16 CIAT, 1980.

17 <sup>1/</sup> Stocking rate of 2.4 au/ha.

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27




Fig. 1. Dry matter production of *Pennisetum purpureum* cv. Napier cut for forage in Ultisols of the volcanic mountains of Puerto Rico under intensive management. Source: Vicente-Chamblin et al. (1977).




Fig 2. Corn grain yield response to phosphorus applications on an Oxisol (Typic Haplustol) of the Cerrado of Brazil. Cumulative yield of six consecutive crops. Adapted from North Carolina State University (1978):




Fig. 3. Cumulative response of *Phaseolus vulgaris* grain yields to phosphorus applications and its residual effect to two consecutive crops on a Typic

Cum - please make change in the original. Thanks





Fig. 224. Varietal and species differences in tolerance to acid soil conditions in an Oxisol from Carimagua, Colombia. Numbers in parenthesis refer to the number of grain legume varieties tested. Adapted from Spain et al. (1975).



79 42 24 12 2  
% of Saturation





Fig. 9-10 Determination of nitrogen recommendations for potatoes in a set of field experiments from Bolivia according to the linear plateau response and the conventional curvilinear models. Each dot is the mean of several field experiments in a given crop-soil category. Source: Adapted from Waugh et al. (1973).



7  
 Figure 7. Differential tolerance to aluminum in culture solution by four tropical grasses. Source: Spain (1979).






Figure 8/ Field response to lime applications by several grass and legume forage species in an Oxisol of Carimagua, Colombia. Mean of 4-5 cuts for the grasses and first cut for legumes. Adapted from Spain (1979).




Figure 3. Differential response to phosphorus fertilization of three grass species during the establishment year in an Oxisol of Carimagua, Colombia. Sum of 3 wet season cuts. All treatments received 400 kg N/ha. Source: Jones (CIAT 1979).




Figure 10: Effects of two land clearing methods on changes in topsoil (0-10 cm) properties in a Typic Paleudult of Yurimaquas, Peru. Source: Seubert et al. (1979)






Figure 11 Effects of degrees of burning intensity on microbial activity as measured by cellulose decomposition rates as a function of time after burning a rainforest on an Ultisol of southern Bahia, Brazil. Adapted from Silva (1978).

~~YURIMAGUAS~~ **YURIMAGUAS ULTISOL (0-10 cm.)**





**MONTHS AFTER CLEARING**



Figure 5: Changes in chemical properties of an Ultisol, continuously cropped to upland rice (8 crops), without fertilization at Yurimaguas (1972-76). Compiled from data by Seubert *et al.* (1977) and Villachica and Sánchez (in press).  
 12  
 unpublished  
 Corn and Soybean



13  
 Figure 7.2 Exchangeable Al at different pH values for ~~Alajó, La Yasa~~  
~~and Pacora soils~~ in nine Oxisols and Andepts from  
 Panama. Source: Mendez (1973).




Months after lime applications




Efecto residual del encalamiento (Ca/Mg = 10:1) en suelos de Carimagua ( Enero 1972-Agosto 1976).

Fuente: Gualdron and Spain (1980).

Residual effects of lime (Ca/Mg = 10:1) in an Oxisol of Carimagua, Colombia; from January 1972 to August 1976. Source: Gualdron and Spain (1980)



Critical Ca Sat. (%)	24	20	29	12
Critical Al. Sat. (%)	72	74	60	86
Lime required* as a Ca source	1.5	1.4	2.6	0.4



Critical Ca Sat. (%)	13	9	17	8
Critical Al. Sat. (%)	85	89	77	80
Lime required* as a Ca source	0.4	0	1.1	0

Figure 4. Critical calcium concentrations in the tissue of 8 tropical grasses grown on a Carimagua Oxisol under field conditions. <sup>Spain</sup> Lime requirement calculated from formula by Cochrane et al (1980).

\* Lime required =  $2.25 \left[ \frac{Al-RAS}{100} \right]$  From Cochrane, T. L., J. G. SATTIN and P. A. SANCHEZ, 1980. An equation for liming acid mineral soils to compensate crop aluminum tolerance. Trop. Agric. 57:133-140.

Source: CIAT, Annual Report 1984.






Figura 16 Perfiles de acidez (pH y Sat. Al) y de bases cambiables del Oxisol de Carimagua, Colombia.

Source: Salinas and Delgado (1980).

Figure 16. Acidity profile of an Oxisol of Carimagua, Colombia.

D-look for this in our graph file — AR 75 —





Fig. 8. Residual effects of lime incorporation on changes in soil properties with depths 40 months after lime applications to the top 15 cm and five crops, in an Oxisol from Brazil. Numbers on top of curves are lime rates in tons/ha.

17

Source: Satins (1976).  
NCSU



18 Results of the second column experiment showing the effects of various anions on the distribution of Ca after leaching with the equivalent of 1,200 mm rainfall in a reconstructed virgin Dark Red Latosol profile 0 to 135 cm. Calcium as carbonate, sulfate, or chloride was added to the 0 to 15-cm layer and incubated 3 weeks before leaching began.

Source: Ritchey et al. (1980).

Oxide





Fig. 19 Effect of varying rates of P (kg/ha) as SSP on Ca + Mg in the soil profile as sampled August, 1976. Standard error of the mean is based on 12 df.

Source: Ritchey et al. (1980).




20  
 Figure 14. Critical Al Saturation levels of 10 wheat varieties grown on a Brazilian Oxisol. Lime required refers to Cockburn et al (1960) paper.  
 Source: Adapted from Salinas (1970).  
 et al (1980)

RELATIVE YIELD (%)

FLORANTE

BATATAIS

INC-1246




LIME REQUIRED (t/ha)\* 1.2

1.4

0.9

INC-47

PRATÃO PRECOCE



LIME REQUIRED (t/ha)\*

0.5

0.2

ALUMINUM SATURATION (%)

2)  
 Figure 18.  
 critical Al saturation levels  
 of <sup>five</sup> rice varieties grown  
 on a Brazilian Oxisol.  
 Source: adopted from  
 Salinas (1978).

\* Lime required (t/ha) = 18[Al-  
~~PAS (t/ha) / 100]~~ from  
 Colvaere et al (1980).

42 THE 30 SHEETS 3 SQUARE  
 42 THE 30 SHEETS 3 SQUARE  
 42 THE 30 SHEETS 3 SQUARE

Fix to include YMS maybe Manaus




Figure 22. Phosphorus isotherms of CPAC-Brasilia (Brazil), La Selva, CIAT-Quilichao, CHIA-Carimagua, Colombia, IMA-Yumango (Peru). Adapted from CIAT Annual Report (1977).

Figure 22. Examples of phosphorus sorption isotherms of Oxisols and Ultisols of some research centers in tropical America. Source: Sanchez (1976), CIAT (1978), Sanchez and Isbell (1977)

1510

10 X 40 TO THE CENTIMETER 10 X 25 CM. KEUFFEL & ESSER CO. MADE IN U.S.A.




Figure 23. Residual effects of different rates and placement







Figure 24. Phosphorus response by *Panicum maximum* + *Centrosema* hybrid and *Andropogon gayanus* + *Centrosema* hybrid mixture on an Ultisol from Guatemala during the establishment year. Source: CIAT (1979)





Figure 8. Phosphorus response of Brachiaria decumbens grown on a Carimagua Oxisol (sum of eight harvests). In the annual treatment P was reapplied one year after planting.

Source: CIAT, Annual Report (1977).



NH <sub>4</sub> F + HCl	
●	0.03N 0.1N
○	0.05N 0.1N
△	0.10N 0.1N
▲	0.20N 0.1N

26  
 Figure 10. Dry matter production of *Bracharia decumbens* grown in a calcium oxide as a function of different available soil P levels obtained by different extractant solutions.






Fig 27



28 A 29

Figure 1. Rock phosphate deposits in tropical South America, 1977.  
 Source: Fenster and León (1979).



29  
 Figure B. Effect of rate and granule size of Huila Phosphate Rock on yield of Panicum maximun grown on a Carimagua Oxisol in the greenhouse ( 2 cuttings). Source: CIAT (1980), 1981)




Figure 30. Effects of ~~banding~~ combining simple superphosphate <sup>on</sup> and low reactivity Pato de Minas phosphate rock broadcast application with annual superphosphate band applications on the cumulative <sup>relative</sup> yield of three consecutive crops of soy beans (Pisum and UFV-1 varieties) on a Ferric Acrisole (Latosol Vermelho Amarelo) at CPAC Brasília, Brazil.


1/4 fl Sat.

63

38

10

RELATIVE YIELD (%)



AVAILABLE P - NC Extractora (ppm)

90/10/27

Figure 11. Relative yields of rice varieties (percent of maximum yield of each variety) as a function of soil available P under 3 levels at At stress in a Brazilian Oxisol.




411 577

63

38

5

RELATIVE YIELD (%)



50

Figure 12. Relative yields of corn varieties (percent of maximum yield of each variety) as a function of soil available P under 3 levels of AI stress in a Brazilian savanna Oxisol.

MINI ETALOO 2-8




Fig. 13 - Rendimento relativo do feijão (percentagem do rendimento máximo de cada variedade) em Latossolo Vermelho Escuro, em relação aos teores de P, em três níveis de saturação de alumínio no solo. C.P.A.C., período seco 1976.  
Nível médio de saturação de alumínio.

Source: Miranda and Lobato (1978).

Figure 13 Relative <sup>maximum</sup> bean yield as affected by available soil P and aluminum saturation levels of a Typic Hapludox from Brazil, Brazil. Source: Miranda and Lobato (1978)




Fig. 14 - Rendimento relativo do trigo (porcentagem do rendimento máximo de cada variedade) em Latossolo Vermelho Escuro, em relação aos teores de P, em três níveis de saturação de alumínio no solo. C.P.A.C., período seco 1970.

Nível médio de saturação de alumínio.

Source: Miranda and Lobato (1978)

Figure 33. Relative maximum wheat yields as affected by available soil phosphorus and aluminum saturation levels of a Typic Haplustox from Brazil, Brazil. Source: Miranda and Lobato (1978).




Fig. 35. No nutrient recycling in the top 20 cm of an Orthoxic Palehumult from Quilichao, Colombia as a result of dung deposition by cattle grazing *Brachiaria decumbens* pasture. Adopted from CIAT (1981)





Figure 31. Changes in topsoil properties of *Panicum maximum* pastures of known age in eastern Amazonia (sampled at the same time). Adapted from: Serrão et al., (1979).



31  
 Figure 6. Changes in topsoil properties of *Panicum maximum* pastures of known age in eastern Amazonia (sampled at the same time). Adapted from: Serrão et al., (1979).

FECHA DE DEVOLUCION

<u>FECHA DE DEVOLUCION</u>		