



PLANT COMMUNITY DIVERSITY RELATIVE TO HUMAN
LAND USES IN AN AMAZON FOREST COLONY*

Sam Fujisaka & German Escobar**

ABSTRACT

Numbers of plant species and individuals were examined relative to land use in an agricultural settlement in the Brazilian Amazon. Land uses were forest, cropped after forest, fallows, cropped after fallow, and pasture. These uses corresponded roughly to farmers' land use changes over time. As expected, species diversity was high in forest. Diversity was also high, however, in fallows of 3-5 years--as a result of both survival/reestablishment of forest species and appearance of plants not found in forest. Lands cropped using slash-and-burn maintained moderate numbers of species--both forest and non-forest. Not considering pastures, lands cropped for a third year after forest and the first year after fallows had the highest plant density, reflecting weed invasions. Useful (e.g., for construction, food, and medicines) forest plants decreased with land conversion; although new species also appeared. The least number of useful plants and the greatest losses of the forest species were encountered in pastures. Conversion to pasture rather than slash-and-burn agriculture *per se* was the main contributor to biodiversity loss.

INTRODUCTION

More than 10% of the 4 million km² of Brasil's Amazon tropical forest was cleared by 1991, with 70% of the clearing by medium or large ranchers and 30% by small farmers (Fearnside 1993). These human uses of forest resources lead to changes in plant community composition, decreases in biodiversity, and changes in forest structure and function (Bormann and Likens 1979, Nepstad *et al* 1992, Nepstad *et al* 1991, Uhl *et al* 1988). Even less extensive forest uses such as extractive reserves result in substantial changes (Browder 1992).

To describe such losses in biodiversity and changes in plant communities, we took plant samples from plots representing different land uses in a government-organized colony, Pedro Peixoto, in the Brazilian Amazonian state of Acre. Plant communities were sampled in forest, cropped fields at different time periods after forest clearing and burning, fallows of different lengths, cropped fields after fallow, and pastures. These land uses approximated the series of consecutive human land uses after forest clearing in the colony; such that the plant communities described also represent an approximate progression over time.

* 1 June 1995, First draft for discussion, not for citation

** Agricultural anthropologist and biologist, Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT), A.A. 6713, Cali, Colombia

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
LIBRARY
28502

RESULTS

Mean number of plant species and individuals by land use transects. The mean numbers of species found per different land use transects (not including pastures) showed the expected highest diversity in forest (78 species) followed by fallows (62-69), and cropped fields after both forest and fallow (43-55). Mean numbers of individuals counted in the transects was lowest in the first cropping after forest (319) and highest in the third year of cropping after forest (990) and in the first year of cropping after fallow (883). Mean numbers of individuals per transect were similar in forest (523) and fallow (547-642).

Total numbers of plant species by land use. In terms of total numbers (by aggregating transects), 507 plant species were distinguished: 46% (233 species) were encountered in forest; 28% were in areas cropped both after forest and after fallow clearing; 28-43% were found in the fallows; and 16% were located in pastures (Table 1). Comparing uses, the number of families (67 or 74% of the total number encountered) was highest in the forest. The most abundant families (and number of respective species) were: *Arecaceae* (13 species), *Caesalpinaceae* (13), *Mimosaceae* (11), *Euphorbiaceae* (9), *Fabaceae* (9), *Rubiaceae* (8), and *Bignoniaceae* (8).

Divided by plant type relative to land use, numbers of tree species declined successively from a high of 142 (61% of forest species) in the forest with each cropping period, increased to near-forest levels in the fallows of 3-5 years (115 species), and declined to a low of 22 species in the pastures. Relative to forest (22 species), herbaceous plants increased over the cropping periods (to 48) and decreased over time in fallows (to 20), returning to forest levels; but then increased to remain high in the pastures (34 species). Numbers of shrubs were lowest in forest (7), but increased with successive cropping (15 species in the second year) and in early fallows (18). The diversity of palms--like trees--was highest in the forest (14) and lowest in pastures (1). The highest diversity of vines was found in medium-aged fallows (45), but remained high (27-38) in all other treatments except for pasture (11; Figure 1).

Total numbers of species by land use were similar in the forest (234) and in fallows of 3-5 years (219) and lowest in the pastures (80). Fallows of greater than five years: a) contained fewer species--and fewer tree species--than early or intermediate fallows, and b) were similar to the cropped periods after forest and after fallow in terms of numbers of species per plant type.

proportion dropping to 16% (23 species) by the third year. During the fallow, 35% of the forest trees were found in the 1-2 year fallows, a high of 46% (66 species) were found in the 3-5 year fallows; and 31% were in the fallows of more than 5 years. In cropped fields after fallow only 22-26% of the forest trees could be found. Finally, only 3-8% of the forest tree species were encountered in pasture lands.

Trees most sensitive to slash-and-burn agriculture included the families *Anacardiaceae*, *Burseraceae*, *Hippocrateaceae*, *Lauraceae*, *Meliaceae*, and *Sapotaceae*; as well as the palm *Arecaceae*. Five families, each represented by only one species, were also found only in forest: *Myristicaceae*, *Olacaceae*, *Opiliaceae*, *Quiinaceae*, and *Strychnaceae*. The species encountered belonging to these families were generally represented by few individuals.

A substantial number of forest trees put out new shoots and stems after felling and burning; but the numbers of species so surviving decreased with years of cropping: 40 species after initial forest clearing and burning, 33 species for fields cropped after forest for a second year, but only 7 species after a third year. Plant families present in the forest and more successful in surviving slash-and-burn agriculture were those having seeds dispersed largely by birds and bats (*Annonaceae*, *Euphorbiaceae*, *Lauraceae*, *Meliaceae*, and *Sapotaceae*) and those having wind-dispersed seed (*Apocynaceae*, *Bignoniaceae*, *Bombacaceae*, *Boraginaceae*, *Rubiaceae*, and *Vochysiaceae*).

"New" species also appeared in the land uses after forest conversion. In total for the non-forest land uses, 107 new tree species, 74 herbaceous plants, 48 vines, 29 shrubs, 2 palms, one *Musa* sp, and 17 "other" plant species which did not appear in the forest samples were counted in the cropped lands, fallows, and pastures (Figure 3, the second paired bar for each land use). Considering only trees again, 42 species "appeared" in the lands cropped after forest; 82 were found in the fallows; 38 were encountered in the fields cropped after fallow; and 8 tree species not found in the forest were counted in the pastures (totals are more than the 107 "new" trees because of species found in more than one land use). Several trees found in cropped lands but not in forest were "forest" trees that had been missed in the sampling: 8 tree species were among those which survived initial forest felling and burning by sending up new branches and had clearly been among forest trees.

By land uses, "new" trees appeared most in fallows (82 species), but also in the cropped periods (38-42). Only 8 non-forest trees were found in the pastures. Both non-forest herbaceous plants (53) and shrubs (19) were

of the species were identified as "undetermined" in terms of human uses, loss of species of potential utility may be quite high, especially as land is converted to pasture.

DISCUSSION

Colonists' land use in Pedro Peixoto followed an approximate sequence comprised of forest, cropping, fallow, cropping, and finally pasture. Many forest species were "lost" in the process. Cropping reduced the number of forest tree species by up to 84%, while pastures represented a 92% reduction in tree species. Palm trees, vines, and shrubs were similarly lost in the conversion from forest to other uses. Species lost were generally those represented in the forest by few individuals, those producing fleshy fruit with large seeds which are difficult to disperse, and/or species requiring more shade and higher soil moisture.

With cultivation and fallow, "new" species more adapted to disturbed, more open conditions emerged to replace some of the forest species such that 3-5 year fallows were nearly as diverse as forest in total numbers of species (although numbers of species again dropped as fallows aged and conditions again became more forest-like).

Species diversity similarly increased until about seven years when total numbers tended to stabilize due to competitive elimination in a study of tropical forest succession after shifting cultivation in southwestern Nigeria

pioneer species and species most able to continue through the land use conversions were generally: a) *pioneer species* and b) *competitive in* mounts of small seed capable of being dispersed by wind, birds, and bats; c) fast growing, with a single main vertical trunk, with either simple and yearly burning were discontinued) depending on the

ing, seed stocks in the *from*

DRI 11111111111111111111

of the species were identified as "undetermined" in terms of human uses, loss of species of potential utility may be quite high, especially as land is converted to pasture.

DISCUSSION

Colonists' land use in Pedro Peixoto followed an approximate sequence comprised of forest, cropping, fallow, cropping, and finally pasture. Many forest species were "lost" in the process. Cropping reduced the number of forest tree species by up to 84%, while pastures represented a 92% reduction in tree species. Palm trees, vines, and shrubs were similarly lost in the conversion from forest to other uses. Species lost were generally those represented in the forest by few individuals, those producing fleshy fruit with large seeds which are difficult to disperse, and/or species requiring more shade and higher soil moisture.

With cultivation and fallow, "new" species more adapted to disturbed, more open conditions emerged to replace some of the forest species such that 3-5 year fallows were nearly as diverse as forest in total numbers of species (although numbers of species again dropped as fallows aged and conditions again became more forest-like). Species diversity similarly increased until about seven years when total numbers tended to stabilize due to competitive elimination in a study of tropical forest succession after shifting cultivation in southwestern Nigeria (Aweto 1981).

The pioneer species and species most able to continue through the land use conversions were generally: a) those producing large amounts of small seed capable of being dispersed by wind, birds, and bats; b) competitive in more open, less shaded environments; and c) fast growing, with a single main vertical trunk, with either simple deciduous or large composite or lobed leaves. Such characteristics mean that these would be the species most likely to recolonize abandoned pastures (i.e., where grazing and yearly burning were discontinued) depending on the presence of nearby forests.

Other studies of succession have shown the importance of seed survival after burning, seed stocks in the soil, and of bat- and bird-dispersed seed. In the southern Venezuelan Amazon, grasses and forbs established from large seed banks to dominate fallows after cultivation or pasture, senesced by one year, and were followed by

led to decreases in subsidized ranch formation, road building, and colonization projects. Deforestation rates in the Amazon dropped, although rates are still substantial (Fujisaka *et al* 1995). The decrease in absolute rates of deforestation, however, can only help to maintain plant diversity.

Agroforestry research has sought to enhance fallow regeneration in terms of more rapid biomass production (ICRAF 1994). A complementary goal would be to enhance fallow diversity; and indigenous forest management may hold useful clues as to how. The forest canopy of unmanaged fallows in the upper Ecuadorian Amazon were dominated by *Cecropia* spp and were much less diverse than fallows managed by the indigenous population (Irvine 1989); and a study from the eastern Amazon showed greater aggregate species diversity for indigenously managed forest succession in which different vegetational zones were exploited or managed (Balee and Gely 1989). The data from Pedro Peixoto show relative species richness in fallow recolonization--a process that should be sustainable as long as sufficient forest areas remain in the greater area.

Again, most species losses occurred when land was converted to pasture. Land in Pedro Peixoto was converted to pasture largely because of increased values assigned to such lands (Fujisaka *et al* 1995). Policies related to land tenure and credit would have to be changed to reduce this incentive. Unfortunately, a planned road to be built between Acre and the coast of Peru, giving Brazil access to the Pacific, will greatly increase land speculation and incentives to continue conversion to pasture.

- Sanford, RL, J Saldarriaga, KE Clark, C Uhl, & R Herrera. 1985. Amazon rainforest fires. *Science* 227:53-55
- Uhl, C, R Buschbacher, & EAS Serrao. 1988. Abandoned pastures in eastern Amazonia. I. Patterns of plant succession. *Journal of Ecology* 76:663-681
- Uhl, C, H Clark, & K Clark. 1982. Successional patterns with slash-and-burn agriculture in the upper Rio Negro region of the Amazon Basin. *Biotropica* 14:4:249-254
- Uhl, C, H Clark, & P Maquirino. 1982. Successional pattern associated with slash-and-burn agriculture in the upper Rio Negro region of the Amazon Basin. *Biotropica* 14:249-254
- Uhl, C, K Clark, H Clark, and P Murphy. 1981. Early plant succession after cutting and burning in the upper Rio Negro region of the Amazon Basin. *Journal of Ecology* 69:631-649
- Uhl, C and K Clark. 1983. Seed ecology of selected Amazon Basin successional species. *Botanical Gazette* 144:3:419-425

Table 3. Plants sampled which provide building materials (mostly commercial timber), Pedro Peixoto, 1995.

Genus species	Family	Local name
<i>Astronium lecointei</i>	Anardiaceae	<i>Aruera</i>
<i>Spondias lutea</i>	Anacardiaceae	<i>Caja</i>
<i>Tapirira guianensis</i>	Anacardiaceae	<i>Pau pombo</i>
<i>Guatteria poeppigiana</i>	Annonaceae	<i>Envira caju</i>
<i>Aspidoperma sp</i>	Apocynaceae	<i>Amarelin pereiro</i>
<i>Aspidoperma vargasii</i>	Apocynaceae	<i>Amarelao</i>
<i>Didymopanax morototonii</i>	Araliaceae	<i>Morototo</i>
<i>Tabebuia serratifolia</i>	Bignoniaceae	<i>Pau d'arco amarelo</i>
<i>Ceiba pentandra</i>	Bombacaceae	<i>Samauma preta</i>
<i>Chorisia speciosa</i>	Bombacaceae	<i>Samauma barriguda</i>
<i>Ochroma sp</i>	Bombacaceae	<i>Algodoeiro bravo</i>
<i>Cordia alliodoro</i>	Boraginaceae	<i>Freijo</i>
<i>Cordia guediana</i>	Boraginaceae	<i>Freijo preto</i>
<i>Protium apiculatum</i>	Burseraceae	<i>Breau vermelho</i>
<i>Protium divaricarum</i>	Burseraceae	<i>Breau manga</i>
<i>Apuleia molaris</i>	Caesalpiniaceae	<i>Cumaru Cetim</i>
<i>Cassia cf. lucens</i>	Caesalpiniaceae	<i>Sao joao</i>
<i>Copaifera sp</i>	Caesalpiniaceae	<i>Guaribeiro</i>
<i>Hymenaea courbaril</i>	Caesalpiniaceae	<i>Jatoba</i>
<i>Martiodendron elatum</i>	Caesalpiniaceae	<i>Pororoca</i>
<i>Sclerobium sp</i>	Caesalpiniaceae	<i>Tachi vermelho</i>
<i>Tachigalia myrmecophyla</i>	Caesalpiniaceae	<i>Tachi preto</i>
<i>Rheedia macrophylla</i>	Clusiaceae	<i>Bacuri liso</i>
<i>Terminalia sp</i>	Combretaceae	<i>Imbirindiba amarela</i>
<i>Alchorneopsis floribunda</i>	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Farinha seca</i>
<i>Alchorneopsis sp</i>	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Pintadinho</i>
<i>Dipterix odorata</i>	Fabaceae	<i>Cumaru ferro</i>
<i>Hymenolobium excelsum</i>	Fabaceae	<i>Favela preta</i>
<i>Myroxylum peruiferum</i>	Fabaceae	<i>Balsamo</i>
<i>Pterocarpus sp</i>	Fabaceae	<i>Macucu sangue</i>
<i>Vatairea sericea</i>	Fabaceae	<i>Sucupira amarela</i>
<i>Casearia cf. sylvestris</i>	Flacourtiaceae	<i>Caferana</i>
<i>Casearia risinifera</i>	Flacourtiaceae	<i>Sernambi de indio</i>
<i>Casearia sp</i>	Flacourtiaceae	<i>Laranjinha</i>
<i>Casearia sp</i>	Flacourtiaceae	<i>Cabelo de cotia</i>
<i>Mezilaurus itauba</i>	Lauraceae	<i>Itauba</i>
<i>Nectandra membranacea</i>	Lauraceae	<i>Louro preto</i>
<i>n.d.</i>	Lauraceae	<i>Louro embauba</i>
<i>Bertholletia excelsa</i>	Lecythidaceae	<i>Castanheira</i>
<i>Cedrela odorata</i>	Meliaceae	<i>Cedro</i>
<i>Guarea costulata</i>	Meliaceae	<i>Jito preto</i>
<i>Guarea purusana</i>	Meliaceae	<i>Jito da terra firme</i>
<i>Guarea trichiliodes</i>	Meliaceae	<i>Jito branco</i>

Table 4. Plants sampled which provide food, Pedro Peixoto, 1995

Genus species	Family	Local name
<i>Spondias lutea</i>	Anacardiaceae	Caja
<i>Anona sp</i>	Annonaceae	Atinha
<i>Guatteria poeppigiana</i>	Annonaceae	Envira cajo
<i>Tabernaemontana heterophyllum</i>	Apocynaceae	Grao de galo
<i>Rauwolfia sp</i>	Apocynaceae	Marfim fedorento
n.d.	Araceae	n.d.
<i>Euterpe precatoria</i>	Arecaceae	Asahi / Azai
<i>Oenocarpus bacaba</i>	Arecaceae	Bacaba
<i>Phytelephas macrocarpa</i>	Arecaceae	Jarina
<i>Astrocarium murmuru</i>	Arecaceae	Murmuru
<i>Jessenia batava</i>	Arecaceae	Pataua
<i>Astrocaryum tucuma</i>	Arecaceae	Tucuma
<i>Scheelea martiana</i>	Arecaceae	Uricuri
<i>Arrabidea sp</i>	Bignoniaceae	Cipo cruz
<i>Protium apiculatum</i>	Burseraceae	Breu vermelho
<i>Hymenaea courbaril</i>	Caesalpiniaceae	Jatoba
<i>Cassia tora</i>	Caesalpiniaceae	Matapasto
<i>Jaracatia spinosa</i>	Caricaceae	Jaracatia
<i>Rheedia brasiliensis</i>	Clusiaceae	Bacuri de espino
<i>Rheedia macrophylla</i>	Clusiaceae	Bacuri liso
<i>Costus sp</i>	Costaceae	Orelha de danta
<i>Cucurbita sp</i>	Cucurbitaceae	Cipo melancinha
<i>Alchorneopsis floribunda</i>	Euphorbiaceae	Farinha seca
n.d.	Fabaceae	Feijao bravo
<i>Bertholletia excelsa</i>	Lecythidaceae	Castanheiro
n.d.	Mimosaceae	Angelca vermelha
<i>Inga cf. neisseriana</i>	Mimosaceae	Inga peluda
<i>Inga cf. thibaudina</i>	Mimosaceae	Inga vermelha
<i>Albizzia sp</i>	Mimosaceae	Timbauba
<i>Clarisia racemosa</i>	Moraceae	Guariuba amarelha
<i>Brosimum alicastrum</i>	Moraceae	Inhare
<i>Brosimum guianensis</i>	Moraceae	Inhare mole
<i>Sorocea sp</i>	Moraceae	Jaca brava
<i>Brosimum uleanum</i>	Moraceae	Manite
<i>Helicostylis sp</i>	Moraceae	Paima caucho
<i>Pseudolmedia laevis</i>	Moraceae	Paima preta
<i>Psidium araca</i>	Myrtaceae	Araza bravo
<i>Eugenia sp</i>	Myrtaceae	Arazazinho
<i>Eugenia sp</i>	Myrtaceae	Goibinha
<i>Neea sp</i>	Nyctaginaceae	Joao mole
n.d.	n.d.	Fruta jacu

Table 5. Plants sampled which provide medicines, Pedro Peixoto, 1995

Genus species	Family	Local name
<i>Adiantum sp</i>	Adiantaceae	<i>Barba de paca</i>
<i>Himantus sucuba</i>	Apocynaceae	<i>Janaguba</i>
<i>Geissospermum sp</i>	Apocynaceae	<i>Quina quina branca</i>
n.d.	Araceae	n.d.
<i>Euterpe precatória</i>	Arecaceae	<i>Asahi / Azai</i>
n.d.	Asteraceae	<i>Quebra panela</i>
<i>Copaifera multijuga</i>	Caesalpiniaceae	<i>Copaiba</i>
<i>Cassia tora</i>	Caesalpiniaceae	<i>Matapasto</i>
n.d.	Caesalpiniaceae	<i>Moroso branco</i>
<i>Cecropia sp</i>	Cecropiaceae	<i>Embauba branca</i>
<i>Cecropia sp</i>	Cecropiaceae	<i>Embauba de capoeira</i>
<i>Vismia guianensis</i>	Clusiaceae	<i>Lacre</i>
<i>Costus guianensis</i>	Costaceae	<i>Cana de macaco</i>
<i>Cucurbita sp</i>	Cucurbitaceae	<i>Cipo melancihna</i>
n.d.	Cucurbitaceae	<i>Melancihna</i>
<i>Phyllanthus sp</i>	Euphorbiaceae	<i>Quebra pedra</i>
<i>Myroxylum periferum</i>	Fabaceae	<i>Balsamo</i>
<i>Bahunia macrostachya</i>	Fabaceae	<i>Cipo de jaboti</i>
<i>Salacia sp</i>	Hippocrateaceae	<i>Cipo xixua</i>
<i>Humiranthera sp</i>	Icacinaceae	<i>Surucuina</i>
<i>Maranthus sp</i>	Maranthaceae	<i>Pacavira</i>
<i>Cedrela odorata</i>	Meliaceae	<i>Cedro</i>
<i>Guarea trichiloides</i>	Meliaceae	<i>Jito branco</i>
<i>Siparuna cf. cervicornia</i>	Monimiaceae	<i>Capitiu macumbeiro</i>
<i>Bagassa guianensis</i>	Moraceae	<i>Tajajuba</i>
<i>Psidium araca</i>	Myrtaceae	<i>Araza bravo</i>
<i>Potomorphe peltata</i>	Piperaceae	<i>Capeba</i>
<i>Piper sp</i>	Piperaceae	<i>Pimenta brava</i>
<i>Digitaria insularis</i>	Poaceae	<i>Capim rabo de burro</i>
<i>Andropogon bicornis</i>	Poaceae	<i>Capim rabo de raposa</i>
<i>Imperata brasiliensis</i>	Poaceae	<i>Sape</i>
n.d.	Rubiaceae	<i>Quina-quina</i>
<i>Manilkara sp</i>	Sapotaceae	<i>Massaranduba</i>
<i>Physalis angulata</i>	Solanaceae	<i>Canapum / saco de bode</i>
<i>Solanum jurubeba</i>	Solanaceae	<i>Jurubeba</i>
<i>Brunfelsia guianensis</i>	Solanaceae	<i>Manaca</i>
<i>Guazuma ulmifolia</i>	Sterculiaceae	<i>Mutamba preta</i>
<i>Tremma micrantha</i>	Ulmaceae	<i>Periquiteira</i>
<i>Lantana cf. lilacina</i>	Verbenaceae	<i>Chumbinho</i>
<i>Vitex triflora</i>	Verbenaceae	<i>Taruma</i>