

1 **Potential geographic distribution of two invasive cassava green mites**

2
3 Soroush Parsa¹, Aymer Andrés Vásquez-Ordóñez¹, Qing Chen², Fuping Lu², Beatriz
4 Vanessa Herrera Campo¹, John Stephen Yaninek³

5
6 ¹Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT), Apartado Aéreo 6713, Cali,
7 Colombia

8
9 ²Environment and Plant Protection Institute, China Academy of Tropical Agriculture
10 Sciences (CATAS), Haikou, China

11
12 ³Department of Entomology, Purdue University, 901 W. State Street, West Lafayette, IN
13 47907, United States

14
15 **Address correspondence to:**

16
17 Soroush Parsa
18 CIAT (Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical)
19 A.A. 6713, Cali, Colombia, S.A.
20 Phone: +57 (2) 4450000
21 Fax: +57 (2) 4450073
22 Email: s.parsa@cgiar.org

47 **Abstract**

48

49 The cassava green mites *Mononychellus tanajoa* and *M. mcgregori* are highly invasive
50 species that rank among the most serious pests of cassava globally. To guide the
51 development of appropriate risk mitigation measures preventing their introduction and
52 spread, this article estimates their potential geographic distribution using the maximum
53 approach to distribution modeling. We compiled 1,232 occurrence records for *M. tanajoa*
54 and 99 for *M. mcgregori*, and relied on the CliMond climate database as a source of
55 environmental predictors. In addition to the distribution models, we conducted statistical
56 analyses comparing the climates where they occur. The models predicted different
57 potential distribution patterns for the two. Outside their native range in the Americas, *M.*
58 *mcgregori* seems better adapted to survive in Southeast Asia and *M. tanajoa* to Africa.
59 The statistical analyses suggested that unlike *M. tanajoa*, *M. mcgregori* can survive
60 locations without a pronounced dry season, potentially explaining its predicted
61 distribution across equatorial climates. Our results should help decision-makers assess the
62 site-specific risk of cassava green mite establishment, and develop proportional risk
63 mitigation measures to prevent their introduction and spread. These results should be
64 particularly timely to help address the recent detection of *M. mcgregori* in Southeast
65 Asia.

66

67 **Keywords:** cassava green mite, *Manihot esculenta*, *Mononychellus tanajoa*,

68 *Mononychellus mcgregori*, pest risk map, species distribution modeling

69

70 **Introduction**

71

72 About 800 million people in the tropics depend on cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) as a
73 source of food and income (Lebot 2009). Its production, however, can be severely limited
74 by a complex of arthropod pests (Bellotti and van Schoonhoven 1978; Bellotti et al.
75 1999). Top among these pests are a few Neotropical mite species of the genus
76 *Mononychellus*, commonly known as the “cassava green mites” (Bellotti et al. 2012). The
77 most notorious species is *M. tanajoa*, whose accidental introduction into Africa in the
78 1970s reduced cassava yields by up to 80% (Yaninek 1988; Yaninek and Herren 1988).
79 Although largely understudied, *M. mcgregori* follows in importance. This species was
80 first detected in China in 2008 (Lu et al. 2014a), and shortly thereafter begun causing
81 yield losses reaching up to 60% (Chen et al. 2010: cited in Lu et al., 2014). A year later,
82 *M. mcgregori* was reported in Vietnam and Cambodia (Bellotti et al. 2012; Vásquez-
83 Ordóñez and Parsa 2014), raising concerns over its potential spread throughout the
84 region.

85 Cassava green mites feed only on cassava (Bellotti et al. 2012). They are most
86 abundant at the top of the canopy, from the shoot tip to the youngest unfolded leaves
87 (Bellotti and van Schoonhoven 1978). Their feeding kills leaf cells and reduces
88 photosynthesis, interfering with normal leaf development (Yaninek and Herren 1988).
89 Under field conditions in the tropics, cassava green mites have overlapping generations,
90 each completed in less than one month, and are most abundant during dry seasons and at
91 the beginning of the rain season (Bellotti and van Schoonhoven 1978). Early rains cause
92 a flush of new leaf growth that promotes their rapid population growth (Yaninek et al.

93 1989). Continued rains eventually help suppress them to sub-economic levels through a
94 combination of plant compensation and rainfall mortality (Yaninek et al. 1989). Green
95 mites can also be suppressed to sub-economic levels by phytoseiid mites (Bellotti et al.
96 2012), which have been successfully deployed in classical biological control against *M.*
97 *tanajoa* in Africa (Yaninek and Hanna 2003). A similar effort has been advocated to
98 control *M. mcgregori* in Asia (Bellotti et al. 2012), but its potential remains to be
99 investigated.

100 Pest risk maps, based on models estimating climatic suitability for a species, are
101 important decision-support tools for the management of invasive pests (Venette et al.
102 2010). They can be based on two complementary approaches: (1) the mechanistic or
103 deductive approach, which relies on the species' physiological data (Kearney and Porter
104 2009); and (2) the correlative or inductive approach, which relies on the species'
105 occurrence data (Elith and Leathwick 2009). When a pest's biology is still poorly known,
106 correlative models provide the most rapid and effective means to develop risk maps
107 (Venette et al. 2010).

108 This article responds to the need to better assess and address the risk of invasive
109 cassava green mites, emphasizing the invasion of *M. mcgregori* in Asia. Our principal
110 objective was to develop correlative models predicting their potential geographic
111 distribution, therefore guiding site-specific risk mitigation strategies. The resulting risk
112 maps could also be used to identify exploration sites for natural enemies with a high
113 probability of establishment in the affected locations.

114

115 **Materials and methods**

116

117 Occurrence data

118

119 We compiled occurrence data (i.e. presence-only) from three sources. Native distribution
120 records for both species originated from a database submitted by the International Center
121 for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT, its Spanish acronym) to the Global Biodiversity
122 Information Facility (GBIF; Vásquez-Ordóñez and Parsa 2014). Because this source
123 covers areas where the species co-occur, and may be confounded without proper
124 mounting, we only extracted specimen-based records from it. Exotic distribution records
125 of *M. tanajoa* in Africa originated from a database compiled by the International Institute
126 of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), as part of the monitoring efforts of their Africa-wide
127 Biological Control Programme (ABCP; Yaninek 1988; Yaninek and Herren 1988).
128 Exotic distribution records of *M. mcgregori* in Asia originated partly from specimen-
129 based records submitted to GBIF (Vásquez-Ordóñez and Parsa 2014) and partly from
130 published records reporting its invasion in Hainan, China (Lu et al. 2012). This last
131 source originally misreported the species as *M. tanajoa*, subsequently correcting its
132 identification after submitting samples for verification to CIAT's Arthropod Reference
133 Collection. Subsequent publications by the authors report the species as *M. mcgregori*
134 (e.g., Lu et al. 2014a).

135

136 Environmental data

137

138 Our source of environmental data for species distribution modeling was the CliMond
139 database (Kriticos et al. 2012), from which we derived 19 global bioclimatic variables
140 summarizing annual trends, seasonality and extreme conditions during 1961-1990 at a
141 10' spatial resolution. We favored CliMond as a source of environmental data because it
142 is thought to combine the best features of the WorldClim CRU climatic databases
143 (Kriticos et al. 2012).

144

145 Distribution modeling

146

147 Our species distribution modeling relied on the maximum entropy approach implemented
148 in Maxent (version 3.3.3k; Elith et al. 2011; Phillips et al. 2006; Phillips et al. 2004), one
149 of the best performing methods to model presence-only occurrence data (Elith et al.
150 2006). The bioclimatic variables were used as environmental layers to predict the
151 occurrences. The models for both species were run selecting the auto features, logistic
152 output and random seed, with the regularization multiplier maintained at 1 and the
153 maximum number of background points maintained at 10,000. To ensure model
154 convergence, we increased the maximum iterations to 5,000, maintaining the
155 convergence threshold at 0.00001. The test values were obtained by running 15
156 subsampled replicates of the model with 25% of observations held out for validation. We
157 used the Area Under the Curve (AUC) to assess model performance and the jackknife
158 functionality to assess variable importance. Following the guidelines of Thuiller et al.
159 (2005), we considered models with $0.8 < \text{AUC} < 0.9$ “fair,” $0.9 < \text{AUC} < 0.95$: “good,” and
160 $0.95 < \text{AUC} < 1$: “very good.”

161

162 Statistical analyses

163

164 To test for differences between *M. tanajoa* and *M. mcgregori* climatic niches, we used
165 the statistical software JMP (v 8.0.2.) to implement one-way analyses of variance
166 (ANOVA) of each bioclimatic variable against a categorical variable for the two species.

167

168 **Results**

169

170 A total of 1,232 occurrence records for *M. tanajoa* and 99 for *M. mcgregori* were
171 compiled. Their distribution shows some level of geographic overlap within their native
172 range in South America (Fig. 1). Outside this range, *M. tanajoa* occurrence is restricted to
173 Africa and *M. mcgregori* to Southeast Asia (Fig. 1).

174 Maxent-based predicted distributions are presented in Figure 2. The average test
175 AUC for the 15 replicate runs was 0.961 for *M. tanajoa* and 0.979 for *M. mcgregori*,
176 indicating “very good” model performance. As would be expected for tropical species,
177 their global distribution was best explained by (low) Temperature seasonality (> 40%
178 contribution), followed by Annual precipitation for *M. tanajoa* and Isothermality for *M.*
179 *mcgregori* (Table 1). The environmental variables that produced the highest gain when
180 used in isolation were Temperature seasonality for *M. tanajoa* and Temperature annual
181 range for *M. mcgregori*. On the other hand, the environmental variables that decreased
182 the gain the most when omitted were Precipitation of the coldest quarter for *M. tanajoa*
183 and again Temperature annual range for *M. mcgregori*.

184 On average, *M. tanajoa* and *M. mcgregori* were found in locations with relatively
185 similar temperatures (Table 1). The locations differed, however, with respect to several
186 precipitation variables, with *M. mcgregori* found in locations with greater and more
187 continuous rainfall than *M. tanajoa* (Table 1). Interestingly, *M. mcgregori* was found in
188 locations with no pronounced dry season, with up to 186 mm of rainfall in their driest
189 month (Bio14; e.g., 6°03'23.4"N 75°11'06.4"W). Where it was reported as an invasive
190 pest, however, rainfall in the driest month averaged 20 mm. By contrast, and despite a
191 much larger number of observations, *M. tanajoa* was not found in any location with more
192 than 85 mm of rainfall in its driest month. This difference is also reflected in the
193 predicted distribution map (Fig. 2). For example, the Congo Basin, an area where high
194 rainfall limits the establishment of the cassava mealybug *Phenacoccus manihoti* (Parsa et
195 al. 2012), was rendered suitable for *M. mcgregori* but not for *M. tanajoa* (Fig. 2). The
196 same is true for areas around the equator in Southeast Asia.

197

198 **Discussion**

199

200 Our main objective was to predict the potential distribution of *M. tanajoa* and *M.*
201 *mcgregori* in order to guide the development of appropriate risk mitigation measures.
202 These measures could include the passage of phytosanitary regulations, the establishment
203 of pest-surveillance networks, and the development of emergency response plans to
204 address their potential incursion (Venette et al. 2010). Our predictions should therefore be
205 most valuable for high-risk locations where the species are still absent. In Southeast Asia,

206 for example, these locations include the south of Vietnam for *M. tanajoa* and Indonesia
207 for *M. mcgregori*.

208 Given the magnitude and spatial coverage of our database, we suspect the risk
209 maps presented here represent the best approximation to *M. tanajoa* and *M. mcgregori*'s
210 fundamental niche available to date. A previous effort to model *M. tanajoa* relied on only
211 215 occurrence records (Herrera Campo et al. 2011), and generated broadly similar
212 predictions to ours, albeit rendering high-rainfall locations more suitable for the species
213 than our model. Our predictions, based on 1,232 records, rendered the same locations
214 relatively unsuitable, but are more consistent with previous research demonstrating
215 rainfall is a primary mortality factor limiting *M. tanajoa* populations (Gutierrez et al.
216 1988; Yaninek et al. 1989). Previous models of *M. mcgregori* may be less reliable, as
217 they utilized *M. tanajoa* and *M. mcgregori* occurrence records jointly as data inputs (Lu
218 et al. 2014b; Lu et al. 2012), potentially confounding their predicted distributions.

219 Our results suggest that unlike *M. tanajoa*, *M. mcgregori* typically occurs in
220 locations with no pronounced dry season. Its ability to survive in those locations,
221 however, does not necessarily imply an ability to reach economic status. It is generally
222 believed that cassava green mites need a dry season lasting 2-6 months with rainfall
223 below 60 mm/month to become economic pests (Bellotti et al. 2012; Bellotti et al. 1987).
224 This condition is met across the locations where *M. mcgregori* was reported as an
225 invasive pest in Asia. However, the extent to which *M. mcgregori* may impact cassava
226 during the wet season, or in locations without a dry season, merits empirical attention.

227 For locations where cassava green mites are already established as invasive pests,
228 classical biological control by phytoseiid predators should be considered. Based on their

229 climatic homology to potential target areas, our predictions suggest Colombia's inter-
230 Andean valleys rank among the best sites to import them from. Explorations conducted in
231 Colombia during the mid 1980s identified 46 phytoseiid species associated with cassava
232 mites (Bellotti et al. 1987). The list includes *Typhlodromalus aripo*, a predator introduced
233 into Africa to target *M. tanajoa*, resulting in a highly successful case of classical
234 biological control (Yaninek and Hanna 2003). Efforts to test the potential of *T. aripo* or
235 an alternative phytoseiid predator against *M. mcgregori* in Asia are therefore warranted.

236

237 **Acknowledgements**

238

239 We thank Rodrigo Zuñiga for his curatorial work at CIAT's Arthropod Reference
240 Collection (CIATARC). We also thank the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture
241 (IITA) for generously sharing their *M. tanajoa* distribution database with us. Emmanuel
242 Zapata and Julian Ramirez (CIAT) kindly helped with GIS methods. This research was
243 supported by the Research Program on Roots, Tubers, and Bananas (RTB) of the
244 Consultative Group on International Agriculture Research (CGIAR).

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252 **References**

253

254 Bellotti AC, Herrera Campo BV, Hyman G (2012) Cassava production and pest
255 management: Present and potential threats in a changing environment. *Trop Plant*
256 *Biol* 5: 39-72

257 Bellotti AC, Mesa N, Serrano M, Guerrero J, Herrera C (1987) Taxonomic inventory and
258 survey activity for natural enemies of cassava green mites in the Americas. *Int J*
259 *Trop Insect Sci* 8: 845-849

260 Bellotti AC, van Schoonhoven A (1978) Mite and insect pests of cassava. *Annu Rev*
261 *Entomol* 23: 39-67

262 Bellotti AC, Smith L, Lapointe SL (1999) Recent advances in cassava pest management.
263 *Annu Rev Entomol* 44: 343-370

264 Chen Q, Lu F, Huang G, Li K, Ye J, Zhang Z (2010) General survey and safety
265 assessment of cassava pests. *Chin J Trop Crops* 31: 819-827

266 Elith J, Graham CH, Anderson RP, Dudik M, Ferrier S, Guisan A, Hijmans RJ,
267 Huettmann F, Leathwick JR, Lehmann A (2006) Novel methods improve
268 prediction of species distributions from occurrence data. *Ecography* 29: 129-151

269 Elith J, Leathwick JR (2009) Species distribution models: Ecological explanation and
270 prediction across space and time. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* 40: 677-697

271 Elith J, Phillips SJ, Hastie T, Dudík M, Chee YE, Yates CJ (2011) A statistical
272 explanation of maxent for ecologists. *Divers Distrib* 17: 43-57

273 Gutierrez A, Yaninek JS, Wermelinger B, Herren H, Ellis C (1988) Analysis of
274 biological control of cassava pests in Africa. III. Cassava green mite
275 *Mononychellus tanajoa*. J Appl Ecol 25: 941-950

276 Herrera Campo BV, Hyman G, Bellotti AC (2011) Threats to cassava production: Known
277 and potential geographic distribution of four key biotic constraints. Food Sec 3:
278 329-345

279 Kearney M, Porter W (2009) Mechanistic niche modelling: Combining physiological and
280 spatial data to predict species' ranges. Ecol Lett 12: 334-350

281 Kriticos DJ, Webber BL, Leriche A, Ota N, Macadam I, Bathols J, Scott JK (2012)
282 Climond: Global high resolution historical and future scenario climate surfaces
283 for bioclimatic modelling. Methods Ecol Evol 3: 53-64

284 Lebot V (2009) Tropical root and tuber crops: Cassava, sweet potato, yams and aroids.
285 CABI, Wallingford

286 Lu F, Chen Q, Chen Z, Lu H, Xu X, Jing F (2014a) Effects of heat stress on
287 development, reproduction and activities of protective enzymes in *Mononychellus*
288 *mcgregori*. Exp Appl Acarol 63: 267–284

289 Lu H, Lu F, Xu XL, Chen Q (2014b) Potential geographic distribution areas of
290 *Mononychellus mcgregori* in Guangxi province. Appl Mech Mater 522: 1051-
291 1054

292 Lu H, Ma Q, Chen Q, Lu F, Xu X (2012) Potential geographic distribution of the cassava
293 green mite *Mononychellus tanajoa* in Hainan, China. Afr J Agric Res 7: 1206-
294 1213

295 Parsa S, Kondo T, Winotai A (2012) The cassava mealybug (*Phenacoccus manihoti*) in
296 asia: First records, potential distribution, and an identification key. PloS One 7:
297 e47675

298 Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE (2006) Maximum entropy modeling of species
299 geographic distributions. Ecol Modell 190: 231-259

300 Phillips SJ, Dudík M, Schapire RE (2004) A maximum entropy approach to species
301 distribution modeling. In: Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on
302 Machine Learning. ACM Press, New York, pp 655–662

303 Thuiller W, Richardson DM, Pyšek P, Midgley GF, Hughes GO, Rouget M (2005)
304 Niche-based modelling as a tool for predicting the risk of alien plant invasions at
305 a global scale. Glob Change Bio 11: 2234-2250

306 Vásquez-Ordóñez AA, Parsa S (2014) A geographic distribution database of
307 *Mononychellus* mites (Acari, Tetranychidae) on cassava (*Manihot Esculenta*).
308 ZooKeys 407: 1-8

309 Venette RC, Kriticos DJ, Magarey RD, Koch FH, Baker RH, Worner SP, Raboteaux
310 NNG, McKenney DW, Dobesberger EJ, Yemshanov D (2010) Pest risk maps for
311 invasive alien species: A roadmap for improvement. Biosci 60: 349-362

312 Yaninek JS (1988) Continental dispersal of the cassava green mite, an exotic pest in
313 Africa, and implications for biological control. Exp Appl Acarol 4: 211-224

314 Yaninek JS, Herren H (1988) Introduction and spread of the cassava green mite,
315 *Mononychellus tanajoa* (Bondar)(Acari: Tetranychidae), an exotic pest in Africa
316 and the search for appropriate control methods: A review. Bull Entomol Res 78:
317 1-13

318 Yaninek JS, Herren H, Gutierrez AP (1989) Dynamics of *Mononychellus tanajoa* (Acari:
319 Tetranychidae) in Africa: Seasonal factors affecting phenology and abundance.
320 Environ Entomol 18: 625-632

321 Yaninek JS, Hanna R (2003) Cassava green mite in africa: A unique example of
322 successful classical biological control of a mite pest on a continental scale. In:
323 Neuenschwander P (ed) Biological control in IPM systems in Africa. CABI,
324 Wallingford, pp 61-75

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356 **Figure 1.** Global occurrence records for *Mononychellus tanajoa* and *M. mcgregori*.

357

358 **Figure 2.** Predicted distribution maps for *Mononychellus tanajoa* (A, C) and *M.*

359 *mcgregori* (B, D).

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

Table 1. Environmental predictor variables used to model *Mononychellus tanajoa* and *M. mcgregori* geographic distributions.

Variable	Description ¹	Mean		ANOVA ²		Maxent % contribution	
		<i>M. mcgregori</i>	<i>M. tanajoa</i>	F	<i>p</i>	<i>M. mcgregori</i>	<i>M. tanajoa</i>
Bio01	Annual Mean Temperature	24.2	25.0	7.7	0.0056	0	0.5
Bio02	Mean Diurnal Range (Mean of monthly (max temp - min temp))	10.1	10.2	0.7	0.3975	2.5	2.3
Bio03	Isothermality (BIO2/BIO7) (* 100)	79.0	71.7	49.3	0.0000	25	4.8
Bio04	Temperature Seasonality (standard deviation *100)	88.8	114.0	14.1	0.0002	43.1	55.2
Bio05	Max Temperature of Warmest Month	30.7	32.4	24.1	0.0000	0	0.6
Bio06	Min Temperature of Coldest Month	17.7	18.1	0.9	0.3343	4.1	0.7
Bio07	Temperature Annual Range (BIO5-BIO6)	13.0	14.3	13.7	0.0002	4.1	4.1
Bio08	Mean Temperature of Wettest Quarter	24.5	24.8	1.0	0.3170	0.1	1.9
Bio09	Mean Temperature of Driest Quarter	23.4	24.8	14.7	0.0001	0.6	0.9
Bio10	Mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter	25.1	26.4	15.4	0.0000	0.3	0.9
Bio11	Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarter	22.9	23.4	2.3	0.1255	2.5	6.3
Bio12	Annual Precipitation	1,758.7	1,388.9	40.9	0.0000	5	10.3
Bio13	Precipitation of Wettest Month	265.1	247.7	3.5	0.0619	0.7	0
Bio14	Precipitation of Driest Month	58.4	16.4	351.2	0.0000	6.8	2.6
Bio15	Precipitation Seasonality (Coefficient of Variation)	49.8	69.0	85.2	0.0000	2.1	0.3
Bio16	Precipitation of Wettest Quarter	701.6	638.6	6.4	0.0114	0.6	0.3
Bio17	Precipitation of Driest Quarter	210.3	73.7	260.0	0.0000	0.1	0.2
Bio18	Precipitation of Warmest Quarter	479.5	274.5	174.1	0.0000	1.1	4.9
Bio19	Precipitation of Coldest Quarter	429.5	413.5	0.2	0.6379	1.1	3

¹Temperatures are in °C and precipitation in mm.

²df=1329



