FARMER PARTICIPATORY RESEARCH (FPR) TRIALS ON CASSAVA INTERCROPPING SYSTEMS IN VIETNAM

Tran Thi Dung¹ and Nguyen Thi Sam¹

ABSTRACT

Farmers in Vietnam practiced intercropping before the FPR project. However, they have increased the area of cassava intercropped as a result of the project. A total of 44 FPR intercropping trials were conducted by farmers on their own fields from 1999 to 2001. The results show that intercropping cassava with peanut, black or red bean (actually cowpea) or mungbean increased their net income, improved the soil, and reduced weeds and soil losses by erosion as compared to the monocropping system. As a result of these trials intercropping with peanut has been more widely adopted by farmers in Vietnam.

INTRODUCTION

Intercropping with food crops and grain legumes is a common practice in tropical cropping systems. Since cassava is widely spaced and it takes several months to completely cover the soil, intercropping during the early stage of crop development generally results in the highest land use efficiency, less erosion and higher total income. Estimates indicate that at least one-third of cassava grown worldwide is intercropped (Cock, 1985). Intercropping cassava tends to minimize the risk of crop failure. It generally does not affect the total crop value as the reduced yield of the main crop is compensated by the yield of the intercrops. In sloping areas, intercropping reduces nutrient loss and maintains soil fertility. Biological nitrogen fixation is an important N resource for cassava intercropped with legumes, and the incorporation of the residues of the intercrops may result in an increase in soil organic matter. Therefore, Vietnamese farmers have readily adopted cassava intercropping as a useful production system (**Table 1**).

RESULTS OF FPR TRAILS IN VIETNAM

A. In the North

Intercropping cassava with peanut is more common in north Vietnam, as it is beneficial and easy to adopt. After several years of conducting FPR intercropping trails using various crop species and systems, farmers were most interested in intercropping cassava with peanut in two rows, planted at the same time as cassava. Results confirm that intercropping cassava with peanut was able to maintain cassava yields (Nguyen Hue *et al.*, 2001; Nguyen The Dang *et al.*, 2001), while cassava and peanut planted at the same time (Vu Thi Luu *et al.*, 2001) and in two rows of intercrops (Trinh Phuong Loan *et al.*, 2001) were considered the best system.

Tables 2 to **8** show that in Ha Tay, Phu Tho, Tuyen Quang and Yen Bai provinces of north Vietnam intercropping with one or two rows of peanut between cassava rows was optimum, both in terms of the yields of cassava and peanut, and in increasing the net income. This practice has now been widely adopted by farmers.

¹ Thu Duc University of Agriculture and Forestry, Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam.

Village, district, province	N inte	lumber of l	Ad (No. o	Adoption (No. of farmers)	
	1999	2000	2001	2000	2001
Tran Phu, Chuong My, Ha Tay	-	3	3	5	60
Thach Hoa, Thach That, Ha Tay	-	-	3	-	-
Dac Son, Pho Yen, Thai Nguyen	-	-	-	-	8
Tien Phong, Pho Yen, Thai Nguyen	-	-	4	37	40
Minh Duc, Pho Yen, Thai Nguyen	-	-	3	-	25
An Thang, Son Duong, Tuyen Quang	-	-	2	-	-
Hong Tien, Son Duong, Tuyen Quang	-	-	1	-	-
Yen Hung, Van Yen, Yen Bai	-	-	1	-	-
Dong Rang, Luong Son, Hoa Binh	-	-	2	-	45
Thong Nhat, Phu Ninh, Phu Tho	-	-	2	-	-
Hong Ha, A Luoi, Thua Thien Hue	-	2	3	-	5
Thuong Long, Nam Dong, Thua Thien Hue	-	-	2	-	-
An Vien, Thong Nhat, Dong Nai	3	3	-	-	5
Dong Tam, Dong Xoai, Binh Phuoc	-	2	-	-	5
Minh Lap, Dong Xoai, Binh Phuoc	-	-	-	-	5
Suoi Rao, Chau Duc, Ba Ria-Vungtau	-	2	2	-	-
Son Binh, Chau Duc, Ba Ria-Vungtau	-	-	1	-	-
Total	3	12	29	42	198

Table 1. Number of FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in various sites in Vietnam from 1999 to 2001, and the number of households adopting this technology.

1. In Ha Tay province:

 Table 2. Average results of three FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in Tran Phu commune, Chuong My district in Ha Tay province in 2000/01.

		Cassava vield	Intercrop vield	Gross income ²⁾	Product. costs ³⁾	Net income	Farmers' preference
Treatmen	nts	(t/ha)	(t/ha)	('	000 dong/ha)	(%)
Cassava	monoculture	29.03	-	8,709	3,900	4,809	-
C+peanu	t (1 row)	32.50	0.887	14,185	5,143	9,042	-
C+peanu	t (2 rows)	30.43	1.760	17,929	5,386	12,543	94.0
C+black	bean (1 row)	27.27	0 ¹⁾	8,181	5,020	3,161	-
C+black	bean (2 rows)	25.83	0 ¹⁾	7,749	5,140	2,609	-
¹⁾ No yield	of black bean d	ue to drough	nt				
²⁾ Prices:	cassava	dong 300	/kg fresh ro	ots			
2)	peanut	5,000	/kg dry pod	s			
"Costs:	peanut seed	6,000	/kg dry grai	n (need 40.5	kg/ha for 1 r	ow, 81 kg/h	a for 2 rows)

6,000/kg dry grain (need 40.5 kg/ha for 1 row, 81 kg/ha for 2 rows) 6,000/kg dry grain (need 20 kg/ha for 1 row, 40 kg/ha for 2 rows) 2.8 mil. dong/ha 1.0 mil. dong/ha peanut seed black bean seed Cost cassava production

Labor costs intercropping Cost manure and application

1.1 mil. dong/ha

	Cassava	Intercrop	Gross	Production	Net	Farmers'
~ 1)	root yield	yield	income	costs	income	preference
Cropping system ¹	(t/ha)	(t/ha)	('000 dong/ha	a)	(%)
1. Cassava monoculture	29.46	-	8,838	3,660	5,178	0
2. C+ peanut (1 row)	22.37	0.975	11,586	4,546	7,040	0
3. C+ peanut (2 rows)	31.96	2.125	20,213	5,432	14,781	100
4. C+ mungbean (1 row)	33.45	0.133	11,099	4,560	6,539	12
5. C+ watermelon (2 rows)	32.09	0	9,627	3,860	5,764	0
¹⁾ Peanut planted 10 days before	cassava					
²⁾ Prices: cassava dong	300/kg fre	sh roots				
peanut	5,000/kg dry	v pods				
mungbean	8,000/kg dry	grain				
³⁾ Cost of fertilizers 85	59,500/ha					

Table 3. Average results of three FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in Tran Phu commune, Chuong My district in Ha Tay province in 2001/02.

 Table 4. Average results of four FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in Thach Hoa commune, Thach That district in Ha Tay province in 2001.

	Cassava	Peanut	Gross	Production	Net	Farmers'
	yield	yield	income ²⁾	costs ²⁾	income	preference
Cropping system ¹⁾	(t/ha)	(t/ha)		-('000 dong/h	a)	(%)
1. Cassava monoculture	24.9	-	7,470	3,660	3,810	0
2. C+1 row of peanut	23.1	1.293	13,395	4,546	8,879	0
3. C+2 rows of peanut	27.8	1.870	17,690	5,432	12,258	100
4. C+3 rows of peanut	29.9	2.220	20,070	6,118	12,932	0
¹⁾ Cassava planted 2 weeks afte	er peanut					

²⁾Prices: cassava dong 300/kg fresh roots peanut 5,000/kg dry pods peanut seed 6,000/kg dry pods *Source: Trinh Phuong Loan et al., 2001.*

2. In Tuyen Quang province:

Table 5. Results of an FPR intercropping trial conducted by a farmer in Hong	g Tien
commune of Son Duong district in Tuyen Quang province in 2001.	

			Gross	I	Production costs ²⁾		Net	Farmers'	
	Yield	(t/ha)	income ²⁾	labor	seed	fert.	Total	income	preference
Treatments ¹⁾	cassava	intercrop			('000	dong//ha	ı)		$(\%)^{3)}$
1. Cassava monoculture	23.60	-	11,800	2,900	-	1,430	4,330	7,470	11
2. C +maize	26.30	1.08	14,770	3,900	200	1,430	5,530	9,240	2
3. C +mungbean	33.30	-	16,650	3,900	250	1,430	5,580	11,070	5
4. C +peanut	29.10	0.76	18,350	3,900	300	1,430	5,630	12,720	50
¹⁾ All plots were fertilized	d with 1,1	00 kg/ha c	of 7:4:7 =	1.43 mil	. dong/l	ha			
²⁾ Prices: cassava dong	500/k	g fresh roo	ots 1	nungbea	in seed	12	2,500/kg	(use 20 k	(g/ha
maize	1,500/kg	dry grain	1	peanut se	eed	(6,000/kg	(use 50 k	(g/ha
peanut	5,000/kg dry pods			labor cost of cassava monoculture: 2.8 mil. dong/l					. dong/ha
7:4:7 fertilizers	1,300/kg		C	cost of fe	ertilizer	applicat	ion:	0.1 mil	. dong/ha
maize seed	4,000/kg	(use 50 kg	g/ha) c	cost of in	ntercrop	ping:		0.3 mil	. dong/ha

³⁾ Out of 46 farmers

				P	Production costs ²⁾			Net	Farmers'
Cropping	Yield	d (t/ha)	income ²⁾	labor	seed	fert.	Total	income	preference
system ¹⁾	cassava	intercrop			-('000	dong//ha	ı)		$(\%)^{3)}$
1.Cassava	15.00	-	7,500	2,900	-	1,430	4,330	3,170	11
monoculture									
2. C +maize	32.50	1.030	17,795	3,900	200	1,430	5,530	12,265	2
3. C +mungbean	31.20	0.400	18,000	3,900	250	1,430	5,580	12,420	5
4. C +peanut	23.70	0.500	14,350	3,900	300	1,430	5,630	8,720	50

 Table 6. Results of an FPR intercropping trial conducted by a farmer in Am Thang commune of Son Duong district in Tuyen Quang province in 2001.

¹⁾ 1.100 kg/ha of 7:4:7 applied to all treatments = 1.43 mil. dong/ha ²⁾ Prices: cases and dong 500/kg freeh prots

²⁾ Prices:	cassava	dong 500/kg fresh roots
	maize	1,500/kg dry grain
	peanut	5,000/kg dry pods
	mungbean	6,000/kg dry grain
	maize seed	4,000/kg dry grain (use 50 kg/ha)
	peanut seed	6,000/kg dry pods (use 50 kg/ha)
	mungbean seed	12,500/kg dry grain (use 20 kg/ha)
³⁾ Out of 46	6 farmers	

Source: Nguyen Thi Dang et al., 2001.

3. In Phu Tho province:

Table 7. Results of two FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in Thong Nhat commune of Phu Ninh district in Phu Tho province in 2001/02.

	Cas	sava yi (t/ha)	eld	Int	ercrop y (t/ha)	ield	Gross income ²⁾	Product. costs ²⁾	Net income	
Treatments ¹⁾	Hue	Luc	Av.	Hue	Luc	Av.	('(000 dong/ha	ι)	
C monoculture	15.5	16.2	15.8	6,320 4,53					1,781	
C+peanut	14.2	15.8	15.0	0.80	1.00	0.90	11,400	6,374	5,026	
C+black bean	14.2	16.2	15.2	0.42	0.33	0.37	7,375	6,374	1,001	
¹⁾ Fertilizers: 10 t	FYM +8) N+40	$P_2O_5 +$	80 K ₂ O/	′ha = 1.9	24 mil. o	long/ha			
²⁾ Prices: cassa	va	doı	ng	400/k	g fresh	roots	•			
pean	ıt			6,000/k	kg dry po	ods				
black	bean			3,500/k	kg dry gr	ain				
urea	(45%N)			2,000/k	cg					
SSP	$(17\% P_2O_2)$	5)		1,000/k	g					
KCl	60% K ₂ O)		2,500/k	g					
FYM				100/k	g					
labor				7,500/r	nanday					
labor	for mono	culture	cassav	a (272 n	nd/ha)	2.040	mil. dong/h	а		
labor	for interc	ropped	cassav	a (450 n	nd/ha)	3.375	mil. dong/h	a		
labor	for fertiliz	zer appl	icatior	1 (10 md	l/ha)	0.075	mil. dong/h	а		
bean	seed	eed dong 5.000/kg					0.5 mil. dong/ha			
pean	it seed	10.000/kg 0.5 mil. dong/ha								
cassa	va stakes		,	0		0.5 mi	il. dong/ha			
							8			

Source: Nguyen Hue et al., 2001.

4. In Yen bai province:

Table 8. Results of an FPR intercroppir	ng trial conducted by	y farmers in Yen	Hung commune
of Van Yen district in Yen Bai	province in 2001.		

	Cassava	Intercrop	Gross	Product.	Net	Farmers'
	yield	yield	income	costs	income	
Treatments	(t/ha)	(t/ha)	(mil. dong/ha)			(%)
1. Cassava monoculture	41.5	-	12.45	4.20	8.25	0
2. C+ peanut (1 row)	39.2	0.970	16.61	6.60	10.01	
3. C+ peanut (2 rows)	38.5	1.660	19.85	7.60	12.25	30
(15 days before planting cassava))					
4. C+ peanut (1 row)	39.6	0.890	16.33	6.60	9.73	
5. C+ peanut (2 rows)	39.0	1.530	19.35	7.60	11.75	70
(at the same time as cassava)						
6. C+ peanut (1 row)	40.8	0.690	15.69	6.60	9.09	0
7. C+ peanut (2 row)	40.0	0.960	16.80	7.60	9.20	
(15 days after planting cassava)						
Prices: cassava: dong 30	0/kg fresh ro	oots	SSP:	dong 1,	100/kg	
peanut: 500	5000/dry pods		labor:	15,000/manda		/
urea: 2,20	0/kg				-	

Source: Vu Thi Luu et al., 2001.

B. In the Central Region

1. In Thua Thien-Hue province:

In Thua Thien-Hue province intercropping with grain legumes, like peanut, red bean and black bean, did not significantly reduce the yield of cassava. In poor soils or without fertilizer application, cassava intercropping with red bean or black bean gave the best result (**Table 9**). In fertile soils or with fertilizer applications, cassava intercropping with peanut or red bean produced high yields of both the intercrops and cassava (**Table 10**). Based on the results of these FPR trials, almost all farmers selected this planting method.

 Table 9. Average results of two FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in Hong Ha

 Commune of A Luoi district in Thua Thien-Hue province in 2000.

	Cassava	Starch	Intercrop	Gross	Product.	Net	Farmers'
Intercropping treatments	yield	content	yield	income ¹⁾	costs ²⁾	income	
	(t/ha)	(%)	(t/ha)	('0	00 dong/ha	a)	(%)
1. Cassava monoculture	7.14	27.0	-	3,570	1,800	1,770	0
2. C+red bean	8.80	27.3	0.600	6,500	2,940	3,560	100
3. C+peanut	8.77	27.7	0.400	5,985	4,060	1,925	0
4. C+black bean	8.84	27.8	0.600	6,520	2,940	3,580	100
5. C+mungbean	8.73	27.6	0.300	6,165	3,180	2,985	54
¹⁾ Prices: cassava dong	500/kg	fresh root	s pean	ut seed	8,500	/kg (need	160 kg/ha)
red bean	3,500/kg	dry grain	red/b	lack bean s	seed 6,00	0/kg (nee	d 40 kg/ha)
peanut	4,000/kg	dry pods	mung	gbean seed	12,00	0/kg (nee	d 40 kg/ha)
black bean	3,500/kg	dry grain	labor	•	15,0)00/manda	iy
mungbean	6,000/kg	dry grain					
²⁾ Cost of cassava monocultu	nil. dong/ł	na (120 ma	indays)				
Cost of intercropping:	0.9 r	nil. dong/ł	na (60 man	idays)			

		Cassa	va yield (t	/ha)	Starc	h conten	t	Interci	op yield
G (1)					6	(%)		(t/	ha)
Cropping	system"	no te	rt. with	fert.	no fert.	with fert.		no fert.	with fert.
1. Cassava monoculture		9.9	9.9 20		26.7	27.	.8	-	-
2. $C + red$	bean	9.7	9.7 25		26.4	28.1		0.500	0.750
3. C + pea	nut	9.6	2	5.1	26.8	27.9		0.430	0.600
4. $C + blac$	ck bean	9.7	24	4.9 5 0	26.7	27.	.7	0.500	0.750
$5. \mathrm{C} + \mathrm{mu}$	ngbean	9.6	b 23	5.0	26.5	28.	.0	0.300	0.450
			. 2)		2)				
		Gross	income ²	Produc	ct. costs ²	Net in	Net income		Preference
	1)	(*000 c	dong/ha)	('000 d	long/ha)	('000 dong/ha)		(%)
Cropping	system ¹⁾	no fert.	with fert.	no fert.	with fert.	no fert.	with fert.	no fert.	with fert.
1. Cassava	monoculture	4,950	13,000	1,800	3,700	3,150	9,300	0	0
2. C + red l	bean	6,600	15,475	2,940	4,840	3,660	10,630	0	100
3. $C + pear$	nut	6,950	15,550	4,028	5,928	2,922	9,622	0	100
4. C + black bean		6,350	14,700	2,940	4,840	3,410	9,860	0	10
5. C + mungbean		6,600	15,200	3,180	5,080	3,420	10,120	0	74
¹⁾ Fertilize	rs applied: 60	kg N+60	P_2O_5+12	$0 \text{ K}_2 \text{O/ha}$	a				
²⁾ Prices:	cassava	dong	500/kg	fresh ro	ots				
	peanut		5,000/kg	dry pod	s				
	red bean		3,500/kg	dry grai	n				
	black bean		3,000/kg	dry grai	n				
	mungbean		6,000/kg	dry grai	n				
Costs:	urea		3,500/kg						
	SSP (17% P ₂	O ₅)	1,500/kg						
	KCl (60% K ₂	2 O)	3,000/kg						
	labor		15,000/ma	anday					
	peanut seed		8,300/kg	dry pod	s (use 160	kg/ha)			
	red/black bea	in seed	6,000/kg	(use 40	kg/ha)				
	mungbean se	ed	12,000/kg	(use 40	kg/ha)				
Labor for	cassava mono	culture 1	20 manda	ys/ha = 1	1.8 mil. do	ng/ha			
Labor for fertilizer application $20 \text{ mandays/ha} = 0.3 \text{ mil. dong/ha}$									
Fertilizer of	Fertilizer costs: 1.6 mil.dong/ha								
Labor for	Labor for intercropping 60 mandays/ha = 0.9 mil. dong								
Source: Nguyen Thi Cach et al.,2001.									

Table 10. Results of an FPR intercropping and fertilizer application trial conducted by farmers in Thuong Long commune of Nam Dong district in Thua Thien-Hue province in 2001/02.

C. In the South

Short-duration crops such as mungbean, peanut and maize were intercropped with cassava. The results indicate that intercropping cassava with peanut or mungbean was most effective in increasing farmers' income as compared to monocropped cassava. The highest net income was obtained for the crop combination of cassava+peanut in Dong Nai, Binh Phuoc and Baria-Vungtau provinces (**Tables 11, 12, 13** and **14**). The next best combination was cassava+mungbean in Baria-Vungtau province (**Table 15**). However, the yield of cassava intercropped with maize was significantly lower than that of the monocrop. In this case, the cassava yield was affected by the competition for nutrients and water, or by

shading out by tall maize plants. **Tables 15** and **16** show that intercropping with peanut or mungbean produced better yields and income than intercropping with maize.

1. In Dong Nai province:

Table 11. Average results of three FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in An V	/ien
village of Thong Nhat district in Dong Nai province in 1999/2000.	

	Cassava	Intercrop	Gross	Production	Net	Farmers'
	root yield	yield	income ¹⁾	costs ¹⁾	income	preference ²⁾
Treatments	(t/ha)	(t/ha)		-('000 dong/ha)		(%)
1. Cassava monoculture	25.96	-	6,480	2,950	3,530	20
2. C+peanut (1row)	26.59	0.66	9,287	4,050	5,237	60
3. C+peanut (2 rows)	25.27	0.28	8,195	3,785	4,320	20
¹⁾ Prices: cassava de	ong 290/kg	fresh roots				
peanut	4000/kg	dry pods				
urea	2000/kg					
SSP	1000/kg					
KCl	2200/kg					
labor	20,000/ma	unday				
²⁾ Number of participatir	ng farmers: 2	20				

Table	12. Average results of three FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in An Vie	en
	village of Thong Nhat district in Dong Nai province in 2000/01.	

			Intercrop	Gross	Production	Net	Farmers'	
		root yield	yield	income ¹⁾	costs ¹⁾	income	preference ²⁾	
Treatme	nts	(t/ha)	(t/ha)	('000 dong/ha)			(%)	
1. Cassa	va monoculture	30.60	-	8,874	4,298	4,576	50	
2. C+pea	anut	30.28	0.20	9,781	5,248	4,533	50	
3. C+cowpea		23.89	0	6,928	4,798	2,130	0	
4. C+mungbean		29.74	0	8,625	4,698	3,927	0	
¹⁾ Prices:	cassava	dong 2	90/kg fresh	roots				
	peanut	50	00/kg dry p	ods				
	urea	23	00/kg					
SSP		10	00/kg					
	KCl	2300/kg						
	labor	25,0	00/manday					
2) Number	of nontiainating	forman 22						

²⁾ Number of participating farmers: 22

	Cassava	Peanut	Gross	Production	Net	Farmers'
	root yield	yield	income ²⁾	costs ²⁾	income	preference
Treatments ¹⁾	(t/ha)	(t/ha)		('000 dong/	′ha)	(%)
1. Cassava monoculture	29.15	-	12,243	4,651	7,592	30
2. C+ peanut (1 row)	33.32	-	13,994	5,051	8,943	50
3. C+ peanut (2 rows)	30.73	-	12,907	5,451	7,456	20
¹⁾ Fertilizers: 80 N+40 P ₂ C	O5+80 K2O/h	a = 950,850	d/ha			
²⁾ Prices: cassava	dong	420/kg	fresh roots			
urea (45%N)		2,300/kg				
SSP (17% P ₂ O	5)	1,000/kg				
KCl (60% K ₂ C))	2,300/kg				
labor for plant	ing	300,000 d/h	a			
land preparation	on	600,000 d/h	a			
peanut seed (8	,000 d/kg)	400,000 d/h	a for one ro	W		
fertilizer appli	cation	100,000 d/h	a			
weeding $(3x)$	1	,600,000 d/h	a			
harvest (55 mc	l/ha) 1	,100,000 d/h	a			
labor		20.000 d/n	nd			

 Table 13. Average results of three FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in An Vien village of Thong Nhat district in Dong Nai province in 2001/02.

Source: Nguyen Huu Hy et al., 2002.

2. In Binh Phuoc province:

 Table 14. Average results of two FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in Dong Tam village of Dong Xoai district in Binh Phuoc province in 2000/01.

		Cassava root yield	Intercrop yield	Gross income ¹⁾	Production costs ¹⁾	Net income	Farmers' preference ²⁾
Treatmen	nts	(t/ha)	(t/ha)	(('000 dong/ha)-		(%)
Cassava	monoculture	30.23	-	8,767	3,879	4,888	60
C+cowpea		29.33	-	8,506	4,359	4,147	0
C+peanut		30.22	0.225	9,889	5,139	4,750	40
C+mungbean		29.70	-	8,613	4,299	4,314	0
¹⁾ Prices:	cassava	dong	290/kg fres	sh roots			
	peanut		5,000/kg dry	pods			
peanut seed			6,000/kg (ne	a)			
cowpea seed			6,000/kg (need 30 kg/ha)				
	mungbean s	eed	8,000/kg (need 15 kg/ha)				
2)							

²⁾Number of participating farmers: 24

Source: Nguyen Huu Hy et al., 2001.

3. In Baria-Vungtau province:

	Cassava	Starch	Intercrop	Gross	Product.	Net	Farmers'
	root yield	content	yield	income ¹⁾	costs ¹⁾	income	preference
Treatments	(t/ha)	(%)	(t/ha)	('000 dong/ha)		(%)	
Cassava monoculture	36.13	30.4	-	10,839	6,843	3,996	-
C + peanut	40.20	29.6	0.524	14,890	8,360	6,530	67
C + mungbean	42.24	30.0	0.287	14,394	7,600	6,794	100
C + maize	29.07	27.7	4.653	13,467	8,200	5,267	-
¹⁾ Prices: cassava do	ong 300/ k	g fresh ro	ots				
peanut	5,400/ k	g dry pod	S				
mungbean	6,000/ k	g dry grai	n				
maize	1,020/ k	g dry grai	n				

Table 15. Average results of two FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in Suoi Rao village of Chau Duc district in Baria-Vungtau province in 2000/01.

Table 16. Average results of three FPR intercropping trials conducted by farmers in Suoi Rao and Son Binh villages of Chau Duc district in Baria-Vungtau province in 2001/02.

		Cassava	Intercrop	Starch	Gross	Product.	Net	Farmers'
Treatmen	ts	root yield	vield	content	income ¹⁾	costs	income	preference
		(t/ha)	(t/ha)	(%)	('0	00 dong/h	a)	(%)
Cassava r	nonoculture	31.88a	_	27.9	17,534	7,116	10,418	29.0
C + peanu	ıt	30.74a	1.483	27.7	25,805	10,071	15,734	48.3
C + mung	gbean	29.81a	0.570	26.7	20,383	8,640	11,743	41.9
C + soybe	ean	34.54a	0	27.5	18,997	8,620	10,377	6.4
C + maize	e	21.00b	3.643	24.3	15,557	8,588	6,969	35.0
C.V. (%)		12.16						
LSD (0.05)		6.872						
¹⁾ Prices:	cassava		dong	550/kg	fresh roots			
	peanut			6,000/kg	dry pods			
	mung bean			7,000/kg	dry grain			
	maize			900/kg	dry grain			
	labor for ca	ssava	4,1	40,000/ha	(207 manda	iys/ha)		
	labor for in	tercrops	8	00,000/ha	(40 manday	/s/ha)		
	labor for fe	rtilizer appli	cation 1	00,000/ha	(5 mandays	applicatio	n)	
	cassava stal	xes	5	500,000/ha				
	fertilizers for	or cassava	1,0	95,600/ha				
	fertilizers for	or maize	5	50,000/ha				
Source:Tra	in Thi Dung	et al., 2002.						

CONCLUSIONS

Cassava intercropping systems practiced by farmers generally had a greater total productivity than monocropping. The results of these FPR trials indicate that intercropping cassava with grain legumes produced generally a higher gross income than cassava grown in monoculture. The best intercropping systems were the combination of cassava and peanut, or cassava and mungbean. After evaluating the intercropping of several intercrops with cassava, peanut was found to be the most successful and profitable intercrop. The

adoption of this technology would considerably improve the sustainability of the cropping system, optimize the use of land and increase farmers' income.

REFERENCES

- Nguyen Thi Cach *et al.* 2001. Cassava research and extension in Thua Thien-Hue in 2000. *In*: Cassava's Present Situation and Future Trends and Development Strategy in Vietnam in the 21st Century. Proc. Vietnamese Cassava Workshop, held in Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam. March 13-14, 2001. pp.101-121. (in Vietnamese)
- Nguyen Huu Hy, Hoang Kim, R. Howeler, Tran Cong Khanh, Vo Van Tuan and Tong Quoc An. 2001. Cassava varietal development and sustainable cultivation on grey soils in Dong Nai province. *In*: Cassava' s Present Situation and Future Trends and Development Strategy in Vietnam in the 21st Century. Proc. Vietnamese Cassava Workshop, held in Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam. March 13-14, 2001. pp. 122-133. (in Vietnamese)
- Nguyen Huu Hy, R. Howeler and Tong Quoc An. 2002. Cassava agronomy research in southeastern Vietnam. Report of Vietnamese Cassava Workshop, held in Thua Thien-Hue, Vietnam. August 5-9, 2002. (in Vietnamese)
- Nguyen Hue, Thai Phien and Tran Minh Tien. 2001. Sustainable cassava cultivation on sloping lands in Hoa Binh and Phu Tho provinces. *In*: Cassava's Present Situation and Future Trends and Development Strategy in Vietnam in the 21st Century. Proc. Vietnamese Cassava Workshop, held in Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam. March 13-14, 2001. pp. 101-121. (in Vietnamese)