Abstract: Different drying treatments, cross flow, greenhouse solar, and open-air sun, were applied to an American orange-fleshed sweetpotato variety. Trans-β-carotene losses in flour made from dried chips varied between 16 and 34% in all treatments. Hot air cross flow drying retained significantly more provitamin A than sun drying. Solar and sun drying were not significantly different in terms of provitamin A retention. The shape of the sweetpotato pieces (chip or crimped slice) influenced provitamin A retention during sun-drying; crimped slices retained more provitamin A. Other minor provitamin A compounds in fresh sweetpotato included 13-cis and 9-cis-β-carotene and β-carotene 5,6-epoxide. No significant increase in the cis-isomers was observed after drying.
Vitamin A activity in flours was found to be greater than 1,500 RE (β-carotene:retinol; 13:1) per 100g including in sun-dried samples. Flour from orange-fleshed sweetpotato therefore has potential as a significant source of provitamin A.
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The actual velocity of air over the sample should be provided; airflow through inlet air pipe is of little use and no relevant information can be derived from these data.
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Authors surely are aware of the fact of actual air drying is carried out at higher temperatures than those used in their experiments, how do they justify the use of such low temperatures in this type of drying?

**The drying temperature used in cross flow dryer (42ºC) was chosen because it is close to that of the greenhouse solar dryer (38ºC)(lines 92-94).**

A very good comparison between damage to B carotene was performed, however, authors should expand on the discussion of radiation vs convection effects.

**We added a comment on the possible effects of sun radiation (line 260-262), but we feel that this as far as we can go with the data available from this particular study.**

In my opinion, authors need considering most of the above comments for his paper to be published in JFE.
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Abstract: Different drying treatments, cross flow, greenhouse solar, and open-air sun, were applied to an American orange-fleshed sweetpotato variety. Trans-β-carotene losses in flour made from dried chips varied between 16 and 34% in all treatments. Hot air cross flow drying retained significantly more provitamin A than sun drying. Solar and sun drying were not significantly different in terms of provitamin A retention. The shape of the sweetpotato pieces (chip or crimped slice) influenced provitamin A retention during sun-drying; crimped slices retained more provitamin A. Other minor provitamin A...
compounds in fresh sweetpotato included 13-cis and 9-cis-β-carotene and β-carotene 5,6-epoxide. No significant increase in the cis-isomers was observed after drying. Vitamin A activity in flours was found to be greater than 1,500 RE (β-carotene:retinol; 13:1) per 100g including in sun-dried samples. Flour from orange-fleshed sweetpotato therefore has potential as a significant source of provitamin A.

INTRODUCTION

Sweetpotato (Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam.) is an important food crop. It is cultivated in more than 100 countries and ranks third in terms of world root and tuber crops production (FAOstat 2006). In Africa white-fleshed varieties are currently mainly grown. However, recent studies by van Jaarsveld et al. (2005) in South Africa and Low et al. (2007) in Mozambique demonstrated that consumption of orange-fleshed sweetpotato (OFSP) significantly increased the vitamin A status of children. OFSP could therefore potentially contribute to tackling vitamin A deficiency in African countries, if orange-fleshed varieties were to replace traditional white ones.

Sweetpotatoes are traditionally sun-dried in Africa for consumption in the dry season when fresh roots are not available. Roots are crushed or chipped and then dried for several days on stones or on dried cow dung. Dried pieces can be re-hydrated or milled into flour to be used in porridge. In urban areas, flour can also be used in a variety of baked products to partially replace wheat flour.

Few studies have been reported on β-carotene retention in dried sweetpotato. Hagenimana et al. (1999) found that drying fresh slices from 24 sweetpotato varieties in a forced air oven at 60°C for 12 hours reduced total carotenoids content by 30%. Kósambo (2004)
similarly reported that drying fresh slices of 13 OFSP varieties from Kenya in an electric cabinet dryer at 58ºC for 4 hours caused an average loss of 35% in \textit{trans-\(\beta\)-carotene} content. Losses in cabinet drying and open air sun drying respectively were 28% and 83% on SPK004 and 47% and 72% on Jonathan varieties (Kósambo 2004). Lower retention in open air sun drying was explained by the destructive effect of sunlight and the non-controlled environmental conditions argued Kósambo (2004). Both van Hal (2000) and Kósambo (2004) reported that artificial cabinet drying generally retained more provitamin A than natural sun drying.

With recent increased interest in using OFSP as a biofortification route to reducing vitamin A deficiency in sub-Saharan Africa, combined with the seasonality of the crop, there is renewed interest in the effect of drying on provitamin A retention. The studies reported in this paper aim to clarify the extent and nature of provitamin A losses during drying at low temperature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Raw material

Sweetpotato roots having red skin and deep orange flesh imported from the United States of America were purchased locally in Montpellier, France (Rubina® Agrexco Carmel Rungis, France). No information was available on the variety, exact location, harvest batch and transport, but roots were all purchased in a single batch and stored in a conditioning room (14ºC) during the analysis time (1 month).
Sample preparation for drying

Roots were peeled and chipped/sliced using electrical equipment: CL 50 Robocoupe (Vincennes, France) for crimped slices and A200 Hobart (Marne la Vallee, France) for chips. Precautions were taken to protect samples from light, such as by the use of foil and low light conditions during handling.

Drying of chips

Crimped slices and chips were dried in three dryers described below. Drying times were estimated by weighing the product at regular intervals to an estimated moisture content of 10-11%.

Cross flow dryer

The cross flow dryer made in wood, called SCec-T®, was developed by CIRAD for the drying of granular products such as couscous in West Africa (Méot et al. 2007). The air heating system consisted of a butane gas jet and a centrifuge fan (Gomez Eslava 2005). Experiments were carried out indoors. Two temperature probes were positioned between trays and one temperature/humidity probe was placed in the outlet (Gomez Eslava 2005). Hot air arrived through a pipe (φ200cm) underneath the drying trays with an air temperature between 24 and 45°C (average 42°C). Low temperature (mean temperature 42°C) cross flow drying was used for a comparison to be made with solar drying (mean temperature 38°C).

Temperature, humidity, and air velocity through the sample are presented in Figure 1.
The inlet pipe had three holes (Ø100 mm) that let air rise and cross flow the food product placed on three overlaid trays (0.94 m x 0.6 m = 0.564 m² for each tray). The air velocity through the product was 0.28 m.s⁻¹. The external ambient temperature ranged between 24 and 30 °C and relative humidity between 33 and 59% (Figure 1). A 3-mm layer of chips or crimped slices was placed on the trays with an initial loading density of 8 kg.m⁻² for chips and 15 kg.m⁻² for crimped slices (Table 1).

**Greenhouse solar dryer and open air-sun drying**

Solar drying is achieved by direct sun radiation and greenhouse effect. A polythene film covered the solar dryer similar to a greenhouse (Gomez Eslava 2005) of 6 m long x 2.5 m wide. A fan was used to force air into the dryer. Five wire mesh trays (2 x 0.94 m) placed 30 cm above the ground, were loaded with a 2 mm layer of crimped sliced or chipped sweet potato placed on terylene tissue. Two temperature probes and one temperature/humidity probe were placed between the trays to measure temperature and outlet air humidity. The temperature/humidity within the solar dryer ranged from 27 to 50 °C /14 to 52% compared to the external ambient range of 24 to 36 °C/24 to 52% (Figure 2). Air velocity was 0.04m.s⁻¹. Solar irradiance (Pyranometer Cimel CE 180 (Paris, France)) ranged between 421 and 1005 W.m⁻² (9 am to 2 pm) depending on the course of the sun with an average of 751 W.m⁻². Temperature and humidity as well as air velocity through sample are presented in Figure 2. Tray loading densities were 3.5 kg.m⁻² for both chips and crimped slices.

Open air sun drying was carried out concurrently with solar drying and using the same tray loading density (Table 1). Wire mesh trays (0.43x 0.45 m) were placed in the sun on a stand 10 cm above ground level.
Dimensions of chip and slice samples

Three photographs of samples (chips and slices together) in open air drying at the start of drying; after two hours and at the end of drying were analysed using Image J 1.40g Software (National Institute of Health, USA). Using the width of the drying tray as known measurement, pixels values were converted into distance units (cm) (11 pixels=1 cm in the three photographs). On each picture thirty chips and slices were selected individually and their visible surface area calculated using ROI (Region of Interest) manager macro in Image J software. Area measured using the Image J software was in agreement with earlier estimation by calliper measurement (0.01 mm precision) done on ten chips/slices at initial time.

Moisture and water activity determination

Dry matter contents were determined by drying triplicate 5 g samples at 105ºC to constant mass (AOAC 1984). Water activity (Aw) was determined in duplicate on finely blended flour samples using an Aqualab (Decagon, Pullman, WA, USA) controlled with a sodium chloride standard solution (Aw=0.75).

Sample preparation for provitamin A analysis

Fresh samples were prepared according to Rodriguez Amaya and Kimura (2004). Five raw roots were randomly picked, peeled, quartered. Two opposites sections were combined and blended to a fine pulp using a Thermomix multi-purpose household food processor (Vorwerk, Germany). All operations were carried out under dim light. The samples were thoroughly mixed and packed into 100 ml closed plastic boxes wrapped in black plastic and stored at -20ºC before analysis (1 month maximum).
After drying, chips or slices were collected tray by tray and milled into coarse flour using a Thermomix food processor (Vorweck, Germany). Flour was packed into sealed plastic bags under vacuum and stored at -20°C. Samples were further milled into a fine flour (< 250 μm) on the Laboratory Mill 3100 (Perten Instruments, Roissy, France) before analysis.

Provitamin A carotenoid analysis

Carotenoid extraction was carried out according to Dhuique-Mayer et al. (2005) which was based on Taungbodhitham et al. (1998). A sub-sample from the homogeneous representative sample, 2 g for fresh and 1 g for dried samples was extracted. Sub-samples were extracted in triplicate on the same day. Extraction was conducted under low light conditions to limit carotenoid losses.

Carotenoids were analysed by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography using an Agilent 1100 system with photodiode array detection (Massy, France) according to the previously published method of Dhuique-Mayer et al. (2005). Carotenoids were separated through a C$_{30}$ reverse phase column (250 x 4.6 mm i.d. 5μm YMC (EUROP GmbH) with a flow rate of 1ml.min$^{-1}$, a column temperature at 25°C and an injection volume of 20 μl. Absorbance was measured with Agilent Chemstation Plus software at 450 nm (beta carotene in Petroleum Ether). Quantification of carotenoids was achieved using calibration curves with β-carotene at five concentration levels (4.38, 15.34, 30.69, 46.08, 61.38 mg/L). The curve passed through the origin and had a coefficient of correlation of 0.9986.

Samples from the same extract were analysed on a spectrophotometer UVIKON 933 UV/Visible double beam to measure absorbance at 450 nm. Samples were diluted in
Petroleum Ether; 100 μl/10ml for fresh samples and 50 μl/10ml for dried samples.

Concentrations were determined by comparison to a standard curve using pure β-carotene from Extrasynthese, Genay, France. Concentration was calculated by Lambert Beer law from the absorbance (Britton et al. 1995).

Statistical analyses

Normality of distribution of sample visible surface area was verified by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test used for small sample size (n=30). Analysis of variance (ANOVA one way - homogeneity of variance test) was carried out to determine whether there were significant differences between means; a significant difference between means was determined by a Tukey test. An independent sample T Test was carried out to determine significant differences between provitamin A compounds before and after drying. All data were integrated on SPSS 14.00 for Windows.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Quality of flour

Flour from dried sweetpotato was evaluated for its moisture content and water activity in order to assess its quality for storage. Tray loading and drying time for each treatment and the moisture contents and water activities (Aw) of flours are shown in Table 1. The flour moisture content was between 9.8 and 11.2%. Flour water activity that ranged between 0.38 and 0.45 should favour carotenoid stability. It was demonstrated on dehydrated carrots in different conditions that better stability of carotenoids was obtained with water activity of 0.43 (Arya et al. 1979) and between 0.31-0.54 (Lavelli et al. 2007). Moreover water activity below 0.7 also limits the risk of microbial deterioration and the
lowest lipid oxidation is found between 0.2-0.4 (Rahman and Labuza 1999). The water activities of the dried sweetpotato flours were therefore considered suitable for storage.

**Influence of drying treatment on provitamin retention**

Provitamin A losses influenced by drying treatment are reported in the Table 2 for chipped sweetpotatoes.

Losses with the different drying techniques ranged from 13 to 33% in total carotenoids content and 16 to 34% in \( \text{trans-} \beta \)-carotene content. Losses were low for all treatments including sun drying. Levels of loss in sun drying were in contrast to the high levels of loss reported (72 to 83%) previously by Kósambo (2004).

Drying by hot air gave significant higher retention than sun drying (respectively 13% compared to 33% in total carotenoids content and 16% compared to 34% in \( \text{trans-} \beta \)-carotene content) in chips. There was no significant difference between drying by hot air and solar drying.

Negi and Roy (2000) also reported that solar drying was equivalent to cabinet drying at 65°C in terms of provitamin A retention in various leafy vegetables (savoy beet, amaranth and fenugreek). However in other studies retention in solar drying was significantly less in comparison with artificial drying: the same authors found in another study that solar drying was found to induce more \( \beta \)-carotene losses than cabinet drying at 65°C in savoy beet and amaranth leaves (Negi and Roy 2001). Solar drying results can be variable because it depends on the prevailing environmental conditions. In this study, temperature in the solar dryer was similar to the hot air dryer (42°C). However the hot air cross flow dryer had a
better drying performance; higher tray loading and quicker drying (8 kg.m$^{-2}$; 2 h) compared to solar dryer (3.5 kg.m$^{-2}$; 8 h) (Table 1). No significant difference between solar and sun drying was observed for samples dried under the same conditions (3.5 kg.m$^{-2}$; 8 h). Similar results were reported by Mulokozi and Svanberg (2003) working with leafy vegetables, where on the whole solar drying retained more provitamin A carotenoids than open-sun drying. However when analysing individual results, it appeared there were no significant differences between solar and sun drying on five out of seven leafy vegetables.

The low levels of losses obtained in this study with sun drying may be partially explained by environmental factors: the weather was hot and dry during the study with an ambient average of 29°C/39%, which allowed quick drying (8h); the weather was also windy during the experiment which allowed rapid sun-drying. Traditionally in sub-Saharan Africa, sweetpotato pieces are sun-dried for 2-3 days. Chavez et al. (2007) reported 62.1% losses in sun dried cassava dried for 2-3 days up to a moisture content of 12%. However a recent study by Bengtsson et al. (2008) using Ugandan sweetpotato varieties confirmed the results of this study. Losses of trans-β-carotene in oven; solar and open sun drying on OFSP chips were respectively 12%; 9% and 16% in Ejumula variety from Uganda dried up to 10% moisture. Drying times and temperature were 10 h at 57°C in oven drying; and between 6-10 h in sun (30-52°C) and solar drying (45-63°C). Bengtsson et al. (2008) indicated that there were no significant differences of retention between oven; solar and sun drying, contrary to previous publications. Bengtsson et al. (2008) likewise commented that a quick drying may result in higher retention.
Influence of either chipping or slicing on provitamin A content

The influence of chip size on total carotenoids content under solar and sun drying carried out under the same conditions (same time and loading density) was investigated (Table 3).

The distribution of mean sample visible surface area over 30 chips or crimped slices during drying followed a normal distribution (Figure 3). “Shrinkage” of the visible surface area of the samples during drying was more marked for chips (51.2% of the initial area) compared to crimped slices (70.5% of the initial area) (Figure 4).

When drying chips, there was a significant difference between sun and solar drying in terms of provitamin A content under the same conditions. The difference was, however, not significant in crimped slices. Although data are only available for sun-dried samples, it would appear that chips that had the greatest carotenoid loss also had the greatest degree of “shrinkage”. It can be therefore hypothesised that there is relationship between the degree of “shrinkage” and carotenoid degradation, but this needs further investigation. It is possible that there could be a relationship between cellular collapse caused by “shrinkage” and susceptibility of degradation of provitamin A by sun radiation, but more research would be needed to understand this more fully.

Identification of provitamin A carotenoids

Several carotenoids were observed on the chromatogram of fresh sweetpotato (16; 17; 24; 25; 30; 32; 33; 34; 37; 39 minute retention times) (Figure 5). Carotenoids were identified by diode array by their three-peak spectrum at three wavelengths.
Trans-\(\beta\)-carotene peak appeared at 37 minutes (peak 4). The spectrum of maximum absorption wavelength was 428-452-478 nm in ethanol/hexane, slightly staggered by 2.5 mm compared to literature and % III/II = 13% was in accordance with literature (Rodriguez Amaya and Kimura 2004). (%III/II is an indicator of fine spectral structure calculated as ratio of longest-wavelength absorption peak III and that of the middle absorption peak II).

Apart from trans-\(\beta\)-carotene (peak 4), peaks 1 and 2 were clearly defined (retention time 16 and 30 minutes). Peak 1 did not appear constantly on all samples analysed; the peak 1 was definitely not a carotene: its retention time far from apolar \(\beta\)-carotene indicated a more polar molecular structure such as xanthophylls.

Peak 2 was firstly thought to be \(\beta\)-cryptoxanthin because the retention time was identical to the \(\beta\)-cryptoxanthin standard when co-injected (retention time 30 minutes). However the calculation of the %III/II of peak 2 (%III/II = 46%) was contradictory with \(\beta\)-cryptoxanthin’s %III/II equal to 20%. On the other hand it was in agreement with \(\beta\)-carotene 5,6 epoxide’s %III/II equal to 57% (Rodriguez and Rodriguez-Amaya 2007). It is to note that the molecular weights of \(\beta\)-cryptoxanthin and \(\beta\)-carotene 5,6 epoxide are the same (552 g mol\(^{-1}\)) which make the identification difficult. Furthermore it was found that \(\beta\)-carotene 5,6-epoxide was present in the fresh roots of Kakamega sweetpotato variety (Kosambo et al. 1998); on the other hand \(\beta\)-cryptoxanthin was not mentioned as part of the carotenoids of sweetpotato in literature.

The amounts of both compounds, peaks 1 and 2, were small (less than 10% total carotenoids).

Other compounds were less clearly defined; the peak 3 (retention time 34 minute) fitted a typical curved-cis and was identified as 13-cis-\(\beta\)-carotene by co-injection of 13-cis...
standard. Peak 5 appearing after all-trans-β carotenoids (retention time 39 minutes) was likely to be 9-cis-β-carotene (Lessin et al. 1997; Rodriguez-Amaya and Kimura 2004; Kimura et al. 2007). No α-carotene was identified from raw sweetpotato.

Quantification of provitamin A carotenoids

The percentage of trans-β-carotenes and minor carotenoids identified are reported in Table 4 for fresh and dried sweetpotato in the drying treatments jointly analysed.

The contents of trans-β-carotene and minor compounds: isomers and β-carotene 5,6 epoxide were found to be similar in both fresh and dried samples. These results differ from other previous studies that have indicated that under stressful conditions, such as heating, UV exposure and storage, trans-carotenoids tend to isomerise into cis-carotenoids. There may be several reasons for these observations. Raw roots already contain smaller amounts of 13-cis isomers if they were stored too long (Chandler and Schwartz 1988). The presence of small amounts of 9-cis and 13-cis in Rubina sweetpotato raw roots could be explained by long root storage time after harvest; these were roots grown in USA and purchased in France. Drying temperatures were not very high (<45°C on average) and drying was quick. The quantity of isomer formed was found to be related to the heat and length of treatment (Chandler and Schwartz 1988; Doering et al. 1995). This may explain why carotenoids losses during drying were low (13–40%). In addition, isomerisation in dried samples may need harsher processing conditions to occur. These results were consistent with a study by Mulokozi and Svanberg (2003) on leafy vegetables submitted to solar and sun drying in Tanzania where all trans-β carotene 13-cis and 9-cis isomers were similarly affected by sun and solar drying. 13-cis and 9-cis isomers represented 5% and
15% of $\beta$-carotene respectively in Mulokozi and Svanberg (2003) whilst 3% and 6% respectively in this study. Mulokozi and Svanberg (2003) formulated the hypothesis that “the stereo-isomeric forms of $\beta$-carotene could be strongly correlated with each other on light exposure and storage”; which means that instead of isomerising, trans-$\beta$-carotene could have been converted into oxidative products as well as their isomers. This hypothesis was corroborated by the fact that ratio of trans-$\beta$-carotene, 13-cis, $\beta$-carotene 5,6 epoxide and 9-cis are the same in fresh and dried samples. This result was confirmed by Kidmose et al. (2007) on shade dried OFSP; same amount of 13-cis-$\beta$-carotene was found in root and flour made from dried chips (representing 1% of trans-$\beta$-carotene). An interesting and recent work by Hiranvachat et al. (2008) showed that a minimum of 5h at constant temperature of 60ºC was necessary to induce formation of 13-cis-$\beta$-carotene in oven-dried diced carrot. The absence of isomerisation could therefore be explained since the average temperature in the three dryers was around 40ºC and never went beyond 50ºC. Oxidation occurs through a free radical process and loss of water during drying has proved to be a risk factor (Chandler and Schwartz 1988). Therefore loss of carotenoids (by oxidation) would have occurred rather than isomerisation.

The percentage of $\beta$-carotene 5,6 epoxide was significantly lowered after drying. This could result from quicker degradation of $\beta$-carotene 5,6 epoxide than $\beta$-carotene. A combination of factors (light, heat, exposure to oxygen) could have degraded $\beta$-carotene 5,6 epoxide slightly more rapidly than trans-$\beta$-carotene and stereo-isomers.
Vitamin A activity

Vitamin A activity was calculated using the recent conversion factor of Haskell et al. (2004), who demonstrated that bioavailability in fresh sweetpotato puree was $\beta$-carotene: retinol 13:1. This updated the previous estimation of 6:1 by NAS/NRC (1974). Bioavailability of cis-isomers is estimated as half of trans-$\beta$-carotene and that of $\beta$-carotene 5,6 epoxide would represent also half of $\beta$-carotene activity because it has only one un-substituted $\beta$-ionone ring instead of two. Carotenoids contents from minor provitamin A carotenoids and trans-$\beta$-carotene and an estimation of vitamin A activity are summarised in Table 5.

Estimated vitamin A activity ranged between 1,596 and 2,012 RE per 100g flour and was 2,382 RE per 100g on fresh roots (dry basis). All flours, including sun-dried (1,596 RE), provided a substantial amount of vitamin (about 400% of daily nutritional requirements). These estimations do not take into account further significant losses occurring during the preparation of finished products from the orange-fleshed sweetpotato flours. An example of finished product is a traditional doughnut commonly eaten in Uganda called mandazi. Mandazis are usually prepared using wheat flour, but up to 30% of it can be substituted with sweetpotato flour (Owori and Hagenimana 2000). These authors reported that dried chips of Zappalo sweetpotato variety with a vitamin A activity of 1,170 RE per 100 g (db) resulted in a mandazi with vitamin A activity of 157 RE per 100g (fb) (Hagenimana et al. 1999). One hundred grams of the finished product could therefore meet 40% of the recommended intake of provitamin A for children. Another example is porridge made from sweetpotato-sorghum composite flour (70%:30%). Kosambo (2004) reported that dried chips of Jonathan sweetpotato variety with a vitamin A activity of 853 RE per 100g
resulted in porridge with vitamin A activity of 448 RE (db); considering a moisture content of 75% due to addition of water, one hundred grams of porridge (fb) would meet 30% of the recommended intake of provitamin A for children. In this present study greater vitamin A activities in flour of 1946 RE on average compared to Owori and Hagenimana (2000) and Kosambo (2004) should favourably result in greater vitamin A content in finished products. Products such mandazi and porridge made from orange-fleshed sweetpotato could therefore contribute significantly to vitamin A intake in the diet.

CONCLUSION

The effects of drying treatment and chip size on provitamin A losses in OFSP were investigated. Low levels of loss varying between 16 and 34% in trans-β-carotene were obtained for all the treatments. The significant findings are that sun-drying was not so damaging to provitamin A content compared to solar and hot air drying. Another finding was chip shape had an influence on retention: sun-dried samples exhibited significantly lower retention on chips but retention was greater with crimped slices. Crimped slice bulkiness or lesser degree of “shrinkage” may have protected them from damage from the sun’s rays and oxidation. These low levels of loss may be attributed by quick drying (8h) due to the favourable dry, hot and windy climatic conditions. Contrary to expectations, there was not an increase in isomerisation (formation of 9-cis and 13-cis-β-carotenes) due to drying. A similar result was found on a study on sun and solar dried leafy-vegetables by Mulokosi and Svanberg (2003) and Kidmose et al. (2007), who suggested that all stereo-isomers; trans-β-carotene, 9-cis and 13-cis, are likely to be oxidised following the same trend. OFSP flour therefore gave promising results with respect to provitamin A retention. Because of the high β-carotene content of fresh roots (close to 300 µg.g⁻¹ db) and its high
retention even in low cost-sun-drying treatment, orange-fleshed sweetpotato demonstrates a potential for a significant contribution to vitamin A in the diet.
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**Figure 1**: S Cec-T® cross flow dryer
Temperature/humidity: mean (min-max)
Figure 2: SCec-Serre® greenhouse solar dryer
Temperature/ humidity: mean (min-max)
Figure 3: Distribution of grated chip and crimp slice visible surface areas during open air-sun drying.  
F=fresh; I=after two hours of drying; D=dried. Each histogram represents the area of 30 samples. mean ± standard deviation.
Figure 4: Reduction of sample visible surface area during open air-sun drying. F=fresh; I=after two hours of drying; D=dried. Each value is a mean of 30 samples.
Figure 5: Reverse phase HPLC separation of carotenoids in raw sweetpotatoes

1. non-identified polar carotenoid; 2. β-carotene 5,6 epoxide; 3. 13-cis-β-carotene, 4. all trans-β-carotene; 5. probably 9-cis-β-carotene
Table 1: Tray loading, drying time, moisture content and water activity of flours made from dried chips and crimped slices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dryer</th>
<th>Slicing</th>
<th>Tray loading (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Drying time (h)</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)*</th>
<th>Water activity (Aw)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hot air cross flow</td>
<td>Chips</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slices</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar</td>
<td>Chips</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slices</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>Chips</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slices</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.449</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean of three replicates with a standard deviation lower than 1%
**Mean of two replicates
Table 2: Influence of drying treatment on losses of total carotenoid content and trans-β-carotene content in chips.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dryer</th>
<th>Loss in total carotenoids (%)</th>
<th>Loss in trans-β carotene (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hot air</td>
<td>13a</td>
<td>16a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar</td>
<td>21ab</td>
<td>23ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>33b</td>
<td>34b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in the same column followed with different letters are significantly different; ANOVA Tukey (p ≤0.05).
Table 3: Influence of size reduction and drying treatment on total carotenoid content of dried sweetpotato (µg/g db)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Solar</th>
<th>Sun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chip</td>
<td>294(17)a</td>
<td>250(8)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimped slice</td>
<td>307(20)a</td>
<td>319(18)a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each value corresponds to an average of three extractions made on 100g flour from milled dried slices. Values followed with different letters are significantly different; ANOVA Tukey (p ≤0.05).*
Table 4: *Trans*-β-carotene and minor carotenoids as percentage of total carotenoids content in fresh and dried sweetpotato

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identified compound</th>
<th>Average retention time (min.)</th>
<th>37</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh (%)</td>
<td><em>Trans</em>-β-carotene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>86.0±3.8a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13-cis-β-carotene</td>
<td>2.3±0.7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β-carotene 5,6 epoxide</td>
<td>5.6±1.5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-cis-β-carotene</td>
<td>1.3±0.9a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried (%)</td>
<td><em>Trans</em>-β-carotene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88.2±3.6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13-cis-β-carotene</td>
<td>2.7±1.0a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β-carotene 5,6 epoxide</td>
<td>4.5±0.7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-cis-β-carotene</td>
<td>1.1±0.6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value corresponds to an average of 20 extractions made on a puree from five fresh roots or on a 100g-flour from milled dried chips/slices. Values in the same column followed with different letters are significantly different; Independent T-test
Table 5: Estimated vitamin A activity of samples of fresh and dried sweetpotato under different conditions based on their carotenoids content and contribution to daily vitamin A requirement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Trans-β-carotene (µg/g db)</th>
<th>13-cis-β-carotene (µg/g db)</th>
<th>β-carotene 5,6 epoxide (µg/g db)</th>
<th>9-cis-β-carotene (µg/g db)</th>
<th>Estimated vitamin A activity (RE/100g db)*</th>
<th>Contribution to daily vitamin A requirement (% fb) **</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>293.0 (13.3)a</td>
<td>9.1 (0.4)ab</td>
<td>18.4 (1.4)a</td>
<td>6.0 (1.1)a</td>
<td>2,382 (111) a</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chipped &amp; cross flow dried</td>
<td>246.9 (22.8)abc</td>
<td>10.2 (0.9)a</td>
<td>14.6 (1.0)ab</td>
<td>4.6 (0.1)abc</td>
<td>2,012 (182) abc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crimped sliced &amp; cross flow dried</td>
<td>232.0 (23.4)bc</td>
<td>10.2 (0.7)a</td>
<td>13.2 (0.5)ab</td>
<td>4.9 (1.5)ab</td>
<td>1,893 (189) bc</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chipped &amp; sun dried</td>
<td>198.6 (18.5)c</td>
<td>6.3 (2.7)ab</td>
<td>9.3 (4.9)b</td>
<td>2.4 (1.1)bc</td>
<td>1,596 (174)c</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chipped &amp; greenhouse solar dried</td>
<td>226.0 (16.9)bc</td>
<td>10.4 (1.4)a</td>
<td>12.4 (0.7)ab</td>
<td>5.4 (0.2)a</td>
<td>1,847 (128) bc</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value corresponds to an average of three extractions made on a puree from five fresh roots or on 100g-flour from milled dried chips/slices. Values in the same column followed with different letters are significantly different.

*µg retinol equivalent (RE) = 1/13µg trans-β-carotene (Haskell et al. 2004) and half of the provitamin A activity for other pro-vitamin A compounds µg retinol equivalent (RE) = 1/26µg cis-β-carotene and β-carotene 5,6 epoxide. Calculated on a dry weight basis (db)

**According to FAO/WHO (2002) recommendations are 400 RE per 100 g per day for children (2-6 years old); calculated per 100g of flour on a fresh weight basis (fb).