19850

Beans in latin america

Douglas Pachico

19850

4

BEANS IN LATIN AMERICA

BY
Douglas Pachico

4 BEANS IN LATIN AMERICATRO DE COCU E TACIC

After low bean production in the Latin American region due to sharp declines in output of the major producers Brazil and Mexico during 1979 and 1980 an all time historic high in regional bean production of 4 8 million tons was achieved in 1981

In Mexico where drought resulted in a 1979 harvest of 600 000 tons the lowest figure in 18 years production recovered to 970 000 tons in 1980 and soared to 1 5 million tons in 1981. This later figure is 250 000 tons greater than the production attained in the previous record harvest of 1975.

This striking increase in Mexican bean production was due to the combination of the end of a severe drought and a series of policy measures enacted in order to stimulate bean production. As reported in the 1982 Trend Highlights support prices of beans were raised 33% to 50% input prices were reduced additional credit was allocated to beans, and crop insurance premiums were cut. These incentives led farmers to significantly increase areas devoted to beans, from 1.1 million hectares in 1979, to 1.8 million hectares in 1980, and to 2.2 million hectares in 1981. While yields also rose nearly 20% the main cause of higher bean production in Mexico was the doubling of area. Vastly strengthened economic incentives to Mexican bean farmers achieved the desired impact of raising bean production.

Similarly in Brazil policies to stimulate bean production led to an abrupt climb in production. After the poor harvest of 1.9 million tons in 1980 an increased allocation of subsidized credit to bean production, and a steep rise in the guaranteed minimum producers, price for beans were employed by the government to provide greater economic incentives to bean producers (see 1982 Trend Highlights). Bean output rose to 2.3 million tons in 1981, its highest level in almost a decade. Subsequently, in 1982 bean production reached 2.9 million tons according to preliminary data (Latin American Commodities Report, June 4. 1982), exceeding the previous

harvest record of 1967 by about 350 000 tons. This was achieved as the incentives for bean production were so favorable that some farmers are reported to have switched out of soy beans and rice into bean production (Latin American Weekly Report Feb 18 1982 FAS Report Jan 20 1982)

In 1982 the Brazilian government through the Comissão de Financiamento de Produção (CFP) purchased beans at about Cr 100/kg while it sold the beans to wholesalers at about Cr 40/kg resulting in a retail price of Cr 55-60/kg. This represents a subsidy of some US \$267/ton. Year end stocks were unofficially estimated at 650 000 tons and total government purchases of beans amounted to well over 1 0 million tons in 1982. The costs of the bean production incentives could potentially reach as much as US \$250 million.

There is concern that the domestic market can not absorb present stocks at any reasonable price. Some 40 000 tons of black beans are being exported to Venezuela at a CIF price of US \$240/ton. This represents a loss of close to US \$200/ton excluding the costs of handling freight storage and insurance.

A policy of high prices to bean farmers and cheap prices to consumers is likely to be politically attractive especially in an election year. However there is considerable speculation that production incentives will be reduced due to the high costs of the current policy and the difficulty of sustaining such costs when under pressure from external financial institutions which are urging Brazil to reduce fiscal deficits in order to combat problems of inflation balance of payment deficits and external debt management

These recent events in Brazil and Mexico demonstrate the great instability characteristic of bean production yields and prices in the region. These events also illustrate how better economic incentives to bean producers can lead to extremely high levels of production. In the case of Brazil though it seems possible that the farm level prices which are required to insure sufficient production to meet demand, are inconsistent with

retail level prices which enable the poor the main consumers of beans to purchase beans. Obviously cost reducing bean production technologies could play a critical role in bringing the farm level supply price into line with affordable retail prices for poor consumers.

Yield Trends

Although overall Latin American bean yields declined from 605 kg/ha in 1966 68 to 549 kg/ha in 1979-81 in nine of the countries in the region there were statistically significant increases in yields in this period while in only four countries were there statistically significant declines in yields (Table 4.1) Falling bean yields are not therefore characteristic of the majority of countries in the region. Brazil which alone accounts for about half of total bean production in the region is primarily responsible for declining regional average yields.

The reason for the striking drop in Brazilian bean yields are complex. In 16 of 18 Brazilian states bean yields have fallen (Table 4 2). Hence, national average bean yields have not declined because of shifts in the relative importance of production in the high yielding South compared to production in the low yielding North.

In the South bean yields may have been depressed as soybean production rose dramatically expanding to over five million hectares by 1975 (Censo Agropecuario do Brasil). As soybeans spread beans may well have been displaced to more marginal lands. However, less than five hundred hectares of soybeans were cultivated in the North by 1975, so beans are obviously not being marginalized by soybeans in this area.

While various factors including demand prices imprecision of available field data and official policy may have contributed to apparently declining productivity in bean production in Brazil it must be noted that trends in bean fields are similar to those of other important crops. In the period 1960 - 81 in Brazil while bean fields fell - 3 0%/fr for cassava it was - 0 96/fr sorghum - 0 2 /fr and rice - 0 76/fr. Hence declining bean fields in Brazil seem not to be a phenomenon particular to beans but

a reflection of a general pattern among agricultural commodities where output growth comes from area expansion at the frontier rather than through more intensive production techniques associated with yield improvements

Demand and Nutrition

It is well known that beans are frequently the cheapest source of high quality protein (Table 4 3) and that consequently bean consumption is often greatest among the poor since beans are the most economic way of meeting nutritional requirements on a limited budget (Tables 4 4 and 4 5) Moreover due to generally lower incomes and problems of obtaining and storing meat in rural areas beans are much more important as a protein source in rural than urban areas (Table 4 6) and bean consumption is ofter higher in rural than urban areas (Table 4 7)

Although per capita bean consumption falls with increasing urbanization this can stimulate expansion in the market demand for beans. In rural areas as much as 70% of bean consumption is from subsistence production. "
(Table 4.7) Purchase of beans is low in rural areas, while overall consumption is high. In contrast, in urban areas almost all beans that are consumed are purchased. As a result, per capita purchase of beans is greater in urban than rural areas so that increasing urbanization contributes to strong growth in the market demand for beans, even when total bean consumption is declining. Hence falling per capita consumption of beans in rapidly urbanizing countries can be accompanied by an expanding market demand for beans.

TABLE 4 1 BEANS TRENDS IN YIELD LEVEL BY COUNTRY

1966-81

Country	Annual Growth Rate in Yield	Average Yield (kg/ha)			
Country	1966-81	1966-68	1972-74	1979-81	
BRAZIL	-3 0	668	594	470	
MEXICO	1 6	480	560	601	
	-1 6 ;	600	582	506	
BOLIVIA	7 3* *	37 7	81 8	1017	
COLOMBIA	1 6 * • *	56 1	705	715	
CUBA	1 2* *	638	671	740	
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	0 9	687	1007	832	
ECUADOR	1 1 ²	445	441	546	
PARAGUAY	1 ዓ-ተጽ	633	747	775	
PERU	0 7	849	857	935	
VENEZUELA	3 3 **	419	380	629	
TROPICAL SOUTH AMERICA	19* 1	575	659	734	
COSTA RICA	2 7*	394	547	498	
SALVADOR	0 6	670	725	786	
GUATEMALA	0 1	650	693	740	
HONDURAS	-2 6 *	702	540	52 9	
NICARAGUA	-0 7	838	718	791	
PANAMA	-0_3	<u>332</u>	289	3 <u>47</u>	
CENTRAL AMERICA	$-\frac{0}{2}$	649	633	त्र	
HAITI	17 **	425	4 44	41	
JAMAICA	- <u>0 9</u> *	681	708	639	
CARIBBEAN	1 7: 4:	425	445	541	
TROPICAL LATIN AMERICA	- <u>1_2</u> -**	599	588	530	
ARGENTINA	1 2	866	976	941	
CHILE	-1 2*	1198	1006	998	
URUGUAY	0 4	_55 3	504	<u>578</u>	
TEMPERATE SOUTH AMERICA	-0 4	1054	982	955	
LATIN AMERICA	-10	605	597	549	

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE IS REPRESENTED AS FOLLOWS

ኑ ቱ P < 0 05

~ P < 0 01

P < 0 05

TABLE 4 2 BEAN YIELD AND PRODUCTION BY STATE BRAZIL 1968 69 AND 1976 77

	AVERAGE 1968 69			AVERAGE 1976 77			
	PRODUCTION (OOO TONS)	PER CENT OF NATIONAL PRODUCTION	YIELD (KG/HA)	PRODUCTION (OCO TONS)	PER CENT OF NATIONAL PRODUCTION	YIELD (KG/HA)	
SOUTH							
PARANA	499	21 6	751	582	28 2	714	
RIO GRANDE DO SUL	223	9 7	817	125	6 0	700	
SANTA CATERINA	109	4 7	970	117	5 7	673	
SAO PAULO	131	5 7	557	171	8 3	579	
CENTRAL							
ESPIRTU SANTO	45	2 0	515	35	1 7	422	
MINAS GERAIS	270	11 5	536	274	13 3	476	
RIO DE JANEIRO	8	0 4	496	7	0 3	600	
NORTHEAST							
ALAGOAS	51	2 2	454	31	1.5	358	
BAHIA	194	8 4	787	94	4 6	335	
CEARA	198	8 6	560	113	5 5	241	
MARANAHO	41	18	601	41	2 0	512	
PARAIBA	89	3 9	505	58	3 0	227	
PERNANBUCO	136	5 9	535	110	5 4	389	
PIAUI	60	26	500	34	17	204	
RIO GRANDE DO NORTE	66	3 0	436	56	2 7	280	
SERG1PE	16	0 7	343	7	0 4	331	
WEST							
GOIAS	115	5 0	768	97	4 7	450	
MATO GROSSO	45	2 0	765	72	3 5	752	

TABLE 4 3 COST OF PROTEIN NUMBER OF GRAMS OF PROTEIN SUPPLIED BY BEANS
FOR COST OF ONE GRAM OF PROTEIN FROM ALTERNATIVE FOODS

	ECUADOR	COLOMBIA	VENEZUELA	BRAZIL
BEEF	2 7	2 8	5 1	4 0
CHICKEN	3 1	1 8	2 0	3 3
PORK	-	6 1	8 5	98
BREAD	1 1	-	-	1 9
RICE	1 4	1 1	1 2	2 3

NOTES - DATA NOT AVAILABLE

SOURCES ANNUARIO ESTATISTICO DO BRASIL DANE INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE ESTADISTICA Y CENSOS ANUARIO AGROPECUARIO DE VENEZUELA FOOD COMPOSITION TABLES FOR THE ENGLISH SPEAKING CARIBBEAN

TABLE 4 4 PER CENT OF TOTAL FOOD EXPENDITURES ON BEANS OR PULSES BY INCOME CLASS

	Lowest Income Strata	Income Strata 2	Income Strata 3	Income Strata 4	Income Strata 5	Highest Income Strata
MEXICO ^b						
Rural Chichuahua	11 4	8 9	7 0	5 O \	5 5	4 8
Urban Chichuahua	10 5	9 6	6 5	4 4	3 1	2 9
EL SALVADOR URBAN ^a	10 2	5 6	5 3	4 8	8 7	4 1
PERU LIMA ^a	4 1	4 0	3 4	3 3	2 7	2 5 154
VENEZUELA, MARACAIBO	2 1	1 6	1 3	1 2	0 9	1 0

Source FAO 1977

a All pulses

Beans only

TABLE 4 5 BEAN CONSUMPTION BY INCOME QUARTILE BRAZIL 1975 (KG/CAPITA/YR)

	Lowest Income Quartile	Income Quartile 2	Income Quartile 3	Highest Income Quartile
RURAL BRAZIL	22 4	21 7	21 5	15 6
METROPOLITAN BRAZIL	14 9	13 5	15 2	10 8
ALL BRAZIL	18 7	16 7	16 6	12 1

Source Estimated from IBGE data

TABLE 4 6 NUTRITIONAL CONTRIBUTION OF BEANS AND BEEF BRAZIL 1975

	% PROTEIN FROM BEANS	% CALORIES FROM BEANS	% PROTEIN FROM BEEF	% CALOPIE FROM BLEF
NORTHEAST				
RURAL	37 9	17 2	8 3	2 6
RECIFE	15 9	8 o	19 1	6 0
EL SALVADOR	15 6	8 5	26 4	8 7
FORTALEZA	26 6	12 9	12 0	3 8
EAST				
RURAL MINAS GERAIS	32 3	12 8	3 6	0 8
BELO HORIZONTE	16 6	7 2	13 4	3 1
RIO DE JANEIRO STATE				
RURAL	26 1	10 5	9 1	2 3
METROPOLITAN	16 6	8 4	17 4	5 4
SAO PAULO STATE				
RURAL	25 3	10 4	7 8	1 8
METROPOLITAN	16 4	8 1	16 4	4 2
SOUTH				
RURAL	22 5	10 0	5 8	1 7
PORTO ALEGRE	10 8	5 3	20 8	6 6

SOURCE IBGE

TABLE 4 7 BEAN CONSUMPTION AND PURCHASE IN RURAL AND URBAN BRAZIL (kg /cap /year)

CONSU	CONSUMPTION		HASES
RURAL	URBAN	RURAL	URBAN
27 6	13 4	8 5	12 5
27 4	18 5	18 6	17 7
24 8	19 3	17 9	18 9
32 5	15 9	10 7	15 1
38 2	16 4	12 3	15 3
	27 6 27 4 24 8 32 5	RURAL URBAN 27 6 13 4 27 4 18 5 24 8 19 3 32 5 15 9	RURAL URBAN RURAL 27 6 13 4 8 5 27 4 18 5 18 6 24 8 19 3 17 9 32 5 15 9 10 7

SOURCE IBGE data

TABLE 4 8 BEANS PRODUCTION, RELATIVE IMPORTANCE IN THE REGION AND PER CAPITA PRODUCTION LEVELS

Country	Pro	ducti	o n		per Capita Production
	1966-68	1972-78	1979-81	1979-81	1979-81
		- 1000 Mt			kg
BRAZIL	2372	2250	2165	52 6	20
MEXICO	950 3322	905 3156	1014 3178	24 7 77 3	<u>17</u> <u>19</u>
BOLIVIA	3	2	4	0 1	1
COLOMBIA	38	70	82	2 0	4
CUBA	22	24	26	0 7	3
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	24	37	42	1 0	8
ECUADOR	37	27	26	0 6	4
PARAGUAY	20	49	64	1 5	24
PERU	64	57	45	1 1	3
VENEZUELA TROPICAL SOUTH AMERICA	40 248 -	3 <u>2</u> 299	46 335	1 1 8 1	-4
COSTA RICA	16	13	12	0 3	6
EL SALVADOR	21	36	41	1 0	10
GUATEMALA	56	71	82	2 0	14
HONDURAS	50	34	39	0 9	13
NICARAGUA	54	46	53	1 3	24
PANAMA	7	4	4	0 1	3
CENTRAL AMERICA	205	205	231	5 6	<u>12</u>
HAITI	40	43	49	1 2	10
JAMAICA	0	0	0	0 0	0
CARIBBEAN	40	44	49	1 2	5
TROPICAL LATIN AMERICA	3815	3703	3794	92 3	14
ARGENTINA	27	116	203	49	8
CHILE	75	85	113	2 7	11
URUGUAY	2	2	3	0 1	_1
TEMPERATE SOUTH AMERICA	103	203	319	77	1
LATIN AMERICA	3918	3906	4112	100 0	108

COLUMNS MAY NOT ADD EXACTLY DUE TO ROUNDING

TABLE 4 9 BEANS ANNUAL GROWTH RATES

Country	Production 1966 81	Area 1966-81	Y1eld 1966-81	
BRAZIL MEXICO	-0 8 0 1 -0 5	2 2 ° - 1 6 1 1 ° ° °	$\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 0 \\ \underline{1} & \underline{6} \\ -\underline{1} & \underline{6} \end{array}$	
BOLIVIA COLOMBIA CUBA DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	3 2 6 4 ** 1 2 ** 4 5***	-4 1 4 7 -0 0 3 6	7 3 1 6 1 2 0 9	
ECUADOR PARAGUAY PERU VENEZUELA TROPICAL SOUTH AMERICA	-3 3*** 9 7*** -2 6*** 0 9 2 5***	-4 4*** 7 8 * * -3 3 * -2 4 * * 0 6 * *	1 1** 1 9 * 0 7 3 3 1 9 **	
COSTA RICA SALVADOR GUATEMALA HONDURAS NICARAGUA PANAMA CENTRAL AMERICA	-0 2 4 9 * * 2 8 * * * -2 3 * * -0 4 -3 3 * * 0 9 * *	-2 9 4 3 * * * * 2 7 * * * 0 4 0 3 -3 0 * * 1 2, * *	2 7* 0 6 0 1 -2 6*** -0 7 -0 3 -0 2	
HAITI JAMAICA CARIBBEAN TROPICAL LATIN AMERICA	1 5 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	-0 2 9 8 *** -0 2 1 1 **	1 7 -0 9° 1 7 -1 2°	
ARGENTINA CHILE URUGUAY TEMPERATE SOUTH AMERICA	15 9** 4 0 1 9 ** 9 4 *	14 7 5 2 3 1 5 0 4 9 8	1 2 -1 2* 0 4 -0 4	
LATIN AMERICA	0 3	1 3 %	-1 0	

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE IS REPRESENTED AS FOLLOWS

» • P < 0 005

P < 0 01

* P < 0 05

TABLE 4 10 PULSES SUMMARY OF LATIN AMERICA TRADE (THOUSAND TONS)

		Export			Import		+ I m	port -Exp	ort
Region	1966-68	1972-74	1979-81	1966-68	1972-74	1979-81	1966-68	1972-74	1979-81
BRAZIL	16	3	2	21	19	37	5	16	35
MEXICO	86 102	$\frac{68}{71}$	87 89	$\frac{1}{22}$	21 40	321 358	- <u>85</u> - <u>80</u>	- <u>47</u> - <u>31</u>	234 269
BOLIVIA	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
COLOMBIA	1	10	5	2	12	38	1	2	33
CUBA	0	0	0	73	99	101	73	99	101
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	1	1	3	3	6	5	2	5	2
ECUADOR	0	0	0	1	1	4	1	1	4
PERU	2	3	3	7	2	8	5	-1	5
/ENEZUELA	<u>o</u>	0	0	41	38	78	41	38	78
TROPICAL SOUTH AMERICA	<u>5</u>	15	11	128	159	235	123	144	224
COSTA RICA	1	1	1	9	18	11	8	17	10
EL SALVADOR	2	1	0	15	3	2	13	2	2
GUATEMALA	2	1	0	3	2	1	1	1	1
IONDURAS	19	6	1	1	1	2	-18	- 5	1
NICARAGUA	ц	4	4	2	4	8	-2	0	4
PANAMA	0	0	_0	<u>4</u>	4	_5	4	4	_5
CENTRAL AMERICA	28	13	<u></u>	34	32	29	6	<u>19</u>	<u>23</u>
BARBADOS	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1
GUYANA	1	1	0	4	4	ц	3	3	4
ITIAH	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
JAMAICA	1	0	0	2	3	1	1	3	1
TRINIDAD ETC	_1	3	<u>2</u> <u>3</u>	_7_	<u>11</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>6</u>	_8	<u>11</u>
CARIBBEAN	4	5	3	16	<u>21</u>	21	12	16	18
TROPICAL LATIN AMERICA	139	104	109	200	252	643	61	148	534
ARGENTINA	25	52	172	1	1	3	-24	-51	-169
CHILE	15	21	70	1	2	Ō	-14	-19	-70
JRUGUAY	0	0	0	ī	_ 1	3	1	1	3
TEMPERATE SOUTH AMERICA	40	73	242	3	4	6	-37	-69	-236
LAIIN AMEPICA	179	177	351	203	256	64°	24	79	298

REFERENCES

- Anuario Estadistico Agropecuario Ministerio de Agricultura y Cria Caracas Venezuela 1978
- Anuario Estadistico do Brasil Fundação Instituto Brasileiro de Geografía e Estadistica Rio de Janeiro various issues
- Caribbean Food and Nutrition Institute Food Consumption Tables for the English Speaking Caribbean Kingston Jamaica 1974
- Censos Agropecuario do Brasil 1975 Fundação Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística Rio de Janeiro 1979
- DANE (Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadistica) Boletin Mensual
 Bogota Colombia various issues
- FAO Review of Food Consumption Surveys Vol 2 Rome 1977
- FAO Projecciones para Productos Agricolas 1970-1980 Rome 1971
- FAS Report U S Department of Agriculture Washington D C Jan 20 1982
- Instituto Brasileiro de Geografía y Estatistica <u>Estudio Nacional da Despesa</u>

 Familiar Rio de Janeiro 1978
- Instituto Nacional de Estadistica y Censos <u>Indice de Precios al Consumidor</u>
 Quito Ecuador various issues
- Latin American Commodities Report London June 4 1982
- Latin American Weekly Report London Feb 18 1982
- PACHICO D Beans in Latin America <u>Trends in CIAT Commodities</u> CIAT
 Cali Colombia 1982