



hal-00493992, version 1 - 21 Jun 2010

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 Decreasing fallow duration in tropical slash-and-burn
10 agriculture alters soil macro-invertebrate diversity: A
11 case study in southern French Guiana
12
13
14

15
16 J.P. Rossi^{*,a}, L. Celini^b, P. Mora^b, J. Mathieu^c, E. Lapied^d, J. Nahmani^e,
17 J.-F. Ponge^f, P. Lavelle^c
18

19 ^a*INRA, UMR1202 BIOGECO, F-33612 Cestas, France*
20 ^b*Université Paris-XII, UMR 137 Biosol, F-94010 Créteil cedex, France*
21 ^c*Université Paris-VI, UMR 137 BioSol, F-93143 Bondy Cedex, France*
22 ^d*Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Department of Plant and Environmental*
23 *Sciences, Ås, Norway*
24 ^e*CNRS, UMR 7146 LIEBE, Université de Metz, F-57070 Metz, France*
25 ^f*MNHN, UMR 5176, F-91800 Brunoy, France*
26
27

28
29
30 **Abstract**
31

32
33 In the humid tropics, slash-and-burn cultivation causes changes in the compo-
34 sition of soil biota communities. We investigated the soil macro-invertebrates
35 (body length ≥ 2 mm) in five sites, two at Maripasoula, an Aluku village
36 along the Maroni river (French Guiana), with short fallow (≈ 8 years), and
37 the other three at Elahe, a Wayana village along the same river, with long
38 fallow (≈ 25 years). We report observed species richness, the correspond-
39 ing estimates by bootstrap and its associated standard deviation. At both
40 sites the cultivation led to impoverished communities. The overall observed
41 species richness i.e. γ diversity was ca. twice as larger in Elahe than in
42 Maripasoula. The landscape at Maripasoula was dominated by highly dis-
43 turbed areas with the direct consequence that local species richness relied on
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 ^{*}Corresponding author
56 *Email address: Jean-Pierre.Rossi@pierroton.inra.fr (J.P. Rossi)*
57

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

colonization from an impoverished regional species pool. On the contrary, in Elahe, crops formed small patches scattered across a landscape essentially constituted of rich undisturbed or slightly disturbed forests hence higher γ diversity. The proportion of rare species ranged from 44% to 54%. We found 6 indicator species amongst which 5 were associated to the old secondary forest in Elahe and one, the earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus* was associated to crop fields in Maripasoula (short fallow system). Results are discussed in a landscape context in terms of conservation and management of soil macrofaunal diversity in agro-ecosystems.

Key words: Soil macrofauna, species richness, slash-and-burn agriculture, agriculture intensification, landscape, biodiversity.

1. Introduction

2 Soil invertebrates are key mediators of soil functions in agro-ecosystems.
3 They substantially affect many important processes that take place below-
4 ground like comminution and incorporation of litter into the soil, building and
5 maintenance of structural porosity and aggregation in soils through burrow-
6 ing, casting and nesting activities and control of microbial activities (Lavelle
7 et al., 2006, and references therein). Invertebrates therefore contribute to
8 the ecosystem services provided by soils and for this reason, they are in-
9 creasingly considered as a resource to be managed and protected. Amongst
10 soil biota, macrofauna (animals with body length ≥ 2 mm (Anderson and
11 Ingram, 1993)) are dramatically affected by cultural practices and various
12 authors have discussed the utility of managing their populations to improve
13 the sustainability of soil fertility especially in countries or regions where farm-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

hal-00493992, version 1 - 21 Jun 2010

ers have limited access to mineral fertilizers (Matson et al., 1997; Brussaard et al., 2007; Rossi and Blanchart, 2005).

In the tropics, the traditional slash-and-burn system (shifting cultivation) consists of cutting the forest, burning the trees and settling familial agriculture for several years. Long fallow periods follow the cropping period and the regeneration of the vegetation combined with the recovery of soil fauna contribute to restore soil organic content and structure which in turn affect soil water and nutrient dynamics. These processes require a long fallow period (Grandisson, 1997). Unfortunately, the changes from a traditional to a permanent agriculture that accompany a population demographic growth generally lead to a decrease in the fallow duration (Fleury, 1998). Ultimately, the cropping period is followed by the establishment of permanent pastures used for cattle ranching instead of fallows. This is the case for huge surfaces of land in Brazil where these practices have a strong detrimental impact upon soil physical and chemical properties of the soil as well as diversity and activity of soil biota with dramatic impacts on the sustainability of agriculture (Mathieu et al., 2005).

In French Guiana, the demographic pressure threatens the long-lasting equilibrium between slash-and-burn agriculture and nature conservation, due to the progressive disappearance of shifting cultivation. In southern Guiana near the Suriname border, the duration of fallow in the slash-and-burn system has decreased from 15 to 7-8 years in the last 30 years (Topoliantz et al., 2006). The traditional shifting cultivation is still practised in that region by Amerindian communities and the duration of the fallow ranges from 15 to more than 100 years (Fleury, 1998). The aim of this study was to assess the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
39 impact of slash-and-burn cultivation upon the diversity of soil macrofauna in
10 two agricultural systems that differed by the duration of the fallow period.
11
12
13
41 We investigated a traditional shifting cultivation system in a small Wayana
14
15
42 Amerindian village and an accelerated rotation cycle in a larger Aluku village
16
17
43 where the demographic pressure is strong. Crops are mostly manioc (*Manihot*
18
19
44 *esculenta* Cranz) i.e. cassava in both villages and the studied systems are
20
21
45 good examples of traditional shifting cultivation (Wayana Amerindians) and
22
46 change to permanent agriculture due to demographic pressure (Aluku village)
23
24
47 (Grandisson, 1997).
25
26
27

48 2. Materials and methods

49 2.1. Sites

50 The present survey was carried out in southern French Guiana near
51 the border between France and Suriname along the Maroni river. We in-
52 vestigated soil macrofauna diversity in agricultural fields of two communi-
53 ties, Wayanas (indians) and Alukus (maroons, of ancient African lineage).
54 Wayana Amerindians are still using the traditional slash-and-burn system
55 where short cropping periods (ca. 2–3 yr) alternate with long fallow periods
56 (≈ 25 yr). The fields (thereafter referred to as “abattis”) are settled by cut-
57 ting and burning forest plots and are planted with manioc which constitutes
58 the basic food. Soils are not tilled and manioc cuttings (from previous crops)
59 are planted after resprouting. Neither Wayanas nor Alukus use pesticides,
60 herbicides or fertilizers. Alukus are using a similar system with the difference
61 that cultivation does not exceed 1 year and fallows are shorter (≈ 8 yr on
62 average). The length of the cultivation period depends on the soil fertility
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 and the spontaneous regrowth of vegetation (Topoliantz et al., 2006).

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

and the spontaneous regrowth of vegetation (Topoliantz et al., 2006).

Amerindian village of Elahe (long fallow). We sampled soil macrofauna in the Wayana (Amerindian) village of Elahe. This small village is situated on the Tampock river which is a subsidiary of Maroni (3 ° 26'N, 53 ° 59'W). Three contrasted situations were investigated. A field that had been cut, burnt and cultivated by an Indian family 3 yrs before this study (EA). This field was located next to a secondary forest and was itself a secondary forest before its cultivation. It was sampled in July 1999. We sampled an old secondary forest (EF) located nearby EA (ca. 100 m). The old secondary forest showed woody species typical of mature forests (e.g. *Astrocaryum sciophilum* (Miq.) Pulle, and *Dicorynia guianensis* Amsh. (Poncy et al., 2001) which indicated that it had been left untouched for at least 100 years. The plot located in the secondary forest was resampled in May 2000 after it had been cut and burnt in December 1999 for cultivation (EB).

Aluku village of Maripasoula (short fallow). The second site is located along the Maroni river (3 ° 39'N, 54 ° 2'W) near the village of Maripasoula, ca. 25 km downstream of the first study site. Maripasoula is a large village (pop 1200 in 1999) mostly inhabited by Aluku people. The increase in population density during the last 3 decades led to a decrease in the surface of cultivable land and the subsequent decrease of fallow duration (Fleury, 1998). We sampled a 1-yr old abattis (MA) at the end of the crop period. It had been opened by an Aluku family by cutting and burning an 8-yr-old woody fallow referred to as MF. MF was characterized by pioneer woody species such as *Cecropia latiloba* Miq. and *Inga capitata* Desv. Both MA and MF plots were sampled in July 1999. The MF plot was intended to be burnt in December

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
88 1999 and we planned to resampled in May 2000 but unfortunately the Aluku
89 family did not burn it as expected.

90 The mean annual temperature is 26 ° C and the mean annual rainfall is
91 2000 mm. There is a main dry season from September to December and a
92 shorter one between March and April. Sampled soils are sandy Oxisols at pH
93 of 5 and 4.7 on average in Maripasoula and Elahe, respectively (Topoliantz
94 et al., 2006). The average total C content was 24.6, 22.5, 25.8, 19.1 and 18.6
95 g kg⁻¹ while the total N content was 1.65, 1.48, 1.78, 1.39 and 1.35 g kg⁻¹
96 the in plots MA, MF, EA, EF and EB respectively (data from Table 1 in
97 Topoliantz et al., 2006). Other physico-chemical features of the soils at the
98 study sites are available in Topoliantz et al. (2006).

99 *2.2. Sampling*

100 We used the Tropical Soil Biology and Fertility (TSBF) procedure (An-
101 derson and Ingram, 1993). Sampling units consisted of 25 cm × 25 cm by
102 30 cm deep soil monoliths. As recommended in the TSBF procedure, we
103 used 10 monoliths per transect and carried out 3 transects per plot (i.e. 30
104 samples per plots). The distance separating monoliths was 5 m and transects
105 were 20 m distant from each other. The litter was collected at each sampling
106 point and a trench was then dug to a depth of 30 cm around the 25 × 25
107 cm² area to get a soil monolith. Macroinvertebrates from soil and litter were
108 hand-sorted and preserved in 4% formalin solution. Invertebrates were later
109 counted and identified in the laboratory. We grouped specimens in morphos-
110 pecies and identified most of them with the help of different taxonomists.
111 We excluded larvae from the statistical analyses because they were partially
112 redundant with adults found in the same samples. Overall, our estimation

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 of species richness is therefore underestimated.

114 2.3. Data analysis

115 2.3.1. Community structure

116 *Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA)*. The first step of data analysis con-
117 sisted of a Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) of the raw data set (site-
118 species abundances). This multivariate analysis is fully described in Legendre
119 and Legendre (1998). It was first proposed by Gower (1966) and consists of
120 the Euclidean representation of a set of objects described by any similarity
121 or distance coefficients. We used PCoA in order to produce a general rep-
122 resentation of our soil fauna samples and to examine to which extent they
123 differed according to sites and land-use types. One advantage of this analysis
124 is that the user can select the most appropriate distance or similarity index
125 given the data at hand, which is not the case in more classical multivariate
126 analyses e.g. PCA or CoA. We used the Bray–Curtis index (Legendre and
127 Legendre, 1998, p. 287). The coefficient was computed using the R software
128 (R Development Core Team, 2008) and the `labdsv` package (Roberts, 2007).
129 The PCoA was done using the `ade4` package (Chessel et al., 2004). The
130 significance of PCoA axes were assessed by means of a bootstrap procedure
131 based on 1000 randomizations (Pillar, 1999).

132 *Species indicator value: IndVal*. Because the PCoA is based on the diagonal-
133 ization of a distance/similarity matrix there is no direct link between factorial
134 axes and original descriptors (i.e. macrofauna species). We thus examined
135 the presence of species associated to one or more samples or sites by means
136 of a specific method, the *IndVal* value (Dufrière and Legendre, 1997). Indi-

hal-00493992, version 1-21 Jun 2010

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

137 cator species are species mostly present in one of the groups to be compared,
138 while being mostly absent in other groups. Dufrêne and Legendre (1997)
139 proposed to compute the *IndVal* index by combining two terms reflecting
140 the specificity and the fidelity of a species for the samples corresponding to
141 a certain land-use type. The specificity term is the mean abundance of a
142 species i in the samples of the land-use j compared to all land-uses.

$$A_{ij} = N_{individuals_{ij}}/N_{individuals_{i\bullet}}$$

The fidelity term is the relative frequency of occurrence of species i in samples of land-use j .

$$B_{ij} = N_{sites_{ij}}/N_{sites_{\bullet j}}$$

The indicator value of a given species is the product of specificity and fidelity terms in percent:

$$IndVal_{ij} = A_{ij} \times B_{ij} \times 100$$

143 The indicator value of a species i for an array of sites is the largest value of
144 $IndVal_{ij}$ observed over all sites j . In this paper we adopted the threshold
145 level of 25% for the index as suggested by Dufrêne and Legendre (1997),
146 i.e. species i is present in at least 50% of samples of land-use j and its
147 relative abundance in land-use j is at least 50%. We assessed the statistical
148 significance of observed *IndVal* values by means of a permutation test (1000
149 randomizations) as proposed by Dufrêne and Legendre (1997).

150 *Multi Response Permutation Procedure (MRPP)*. We tested the significance
151 of community dissimilarities among land-uses by means of the Multi Re-
152 sponse Permutation Procedure (MRPP) (Quinn and Keough, 2002). MRPP

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

153 tests whether there is a significant difference between two or more groups of
154 sampling units. The MRPP statistic δ is the overall weighted mean of within-
155 group means of pairwise dissimilarities among sampling units. The observed
156 value is statistically tested by mean of a permutation test where sampling
157 units and their associated pairwise distances are permuted N times and δ is
158 recalculated. The significance test is based on the proportion of permuted
159 δ s that are less than the observed δ . We used the Bray–Curtis distance to
160 quantify the dissimilarities between land-uses. MRPP was computed using
161 the `vegan` package (Oksanen et al., 2008).

162 2.3.2. *Species richness*

163 Samples were pooled to compute the observed bulk species richness for
164 each land-use type. We used bootstrap procedure to determine a possible bias
165 in the species richness estimator and to remove it from the observed value.
166 For a given land-use, a randomized sample of $n = 30$ sampling units was
167 constituted by randomly sampling with replacement amongst the 30 original
168 units and the observed cumulated species richness was computed. This was
169 repeated N times and constituted the bootstrap sample. The bias was defined
170 as the observed mean species richness minus the average of the N observed
171 species richnesses (Manly, 1997). A corrected estimate of species richness
172 was obtained by subtracting the bias to the observed species richness. We
173 approximated the standard error of the estimated species richness by the
174 standard deviation of bootstrap estimates following Manly (1997, p. 36).
175 Species rarity was measured as absolute and relative frequencies of singletons,
176 i.e. species with at most 1 individual per sample. We also report another
177 measure of rarity based on frequency of species: the number of unique species,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 178 i.e. species that occurred in only one sample. The observed species richnesses
11 179 in the different land-uses were compared by mean of a one-factor analysis
12
13 180 of variance. Because our data did not satisfy most of the assumptions of
14
15 181 ANOVA we used the randomization test described by Manly (1997, p.117).
16
17 182 The observed F statistic was tested by comparison with corresponding values
18
19 183 in N ANOVAS after randomization of raw data.
20
21

22 184 **3. Results**

23 185 *3.1. General statistics*

24
25
26
27 186 Soil macrofauna communities differed markedly amongst land-uses and
28
29 187 between sites. The average macrofaunal density was higher in the secondary
30
31 188 forest (EF) in Elahe followed by the abbatis in Maripasoula (MA) and it was
32
33 189 lowest in the recently burnt secondary forest in Elahe (EB)(Table 1). The
34
35 190 observed species richness varied accordingly with a total of 121 species in the
36
37 191 secondary forest in Elahe (EF) and values ranging from 22 to 54 species in the
38
39 192 other plots. Corresponding bias-corrected values estimated from bootstrap
40
41 193 were somewhat higher (Table 1) but between-site ranking did not change at
42
43 194 all (there is a linear relationship between original and corrected values). The
44
45 195 number of singletons and unique species respectively ranged from 44% to 54
46
47 196 % and 55% to 72%, respectively, and was fairly homogeneous amongst sites
48
49 197 (Table 1). A grand total of $S = 186$ species was recorded (all sites pooled)
50
51 198 amongst which 42 (22.6%) were common to Elahe and Maripasoula (shared
52
53 199 species). One hundred and twelve (60.2%) species were encountered in the
54
55 200 site of Elahe whereas 32 (17.2%) species were only recorded in Maripasoula.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
201 *3.2. Community structure*

10
11 First and second eigenvalues of PCoA significantly differed from those
12
13 stemming from $N = 1000$ randomizations and accounted for 20.7% and 16%
14
15 of the total inertia, respectively. Axis 1 clearly reflected changes in macro-
16
17 fauna community structure according to the type of agriculture: Maripasoula
18
19 plots (slash-and-burn agriculture with short fallow periods) are opposed to
20
21 Elahe plots (traditional shifting agriculture) along Axis 1 (Fig. 1). EA, the
22
23 abbatis from the Elahe village was intermediate. Interestingly, plots EA, EF
24
25 and EB were clearly different and much more heterogeneous than MA and
26
27 MF. Within-plot heterogeneity was graphically represented by the scatter-
28
29 ing of samples around each centre of inertia. Axis 2 mainly reflected within
30
31 site variability and did not discriminate land-uses. MRPP (1000 random-
32
33 izations) showed that community dissimilarity among the five land-uses was
34
35 highly significant ($p < 0.001$).
36
37

38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
3.3. Species richness within and between sites

206 A total of 145 species were found in Elahe amongst which 121 were
207 recorded in the secondary forest (EF) i.e. 83% of the total (Table 2). The
208 abattis (EA) hosted 45 species among which 17% were shared with EF. The
209 recently burnt forest (EB) harboured a total of 22 species, ca. 15% of the
210 total richness (Table 2). The number of exclusive species i.e. those species
211 that were found in only one land-use was 93, 20 and 12 for EF, EA and EB,
212 respectively. The proportion of shared species between EB and both EF and
213 EA was low and ranged from 6.7% to 9.8% (Table 2). In the short fallow
214 system (Maripasoula) the total species richness (all sites pooled) was 77. The
215 woody fallow that served as reference hosted 54 species (70% of the total)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
226 while the abattis hosted 41 species (53% of the total) (Table 3). The propor-
10
11 tion of shared species was 28% (Table 3) which was higher than Elahe (Table
12
13 2). The observed species richness significantly differed among land-uses as
14
15 indicated by the ANOVA test based on 1000 randomizations ($p < 0.001$).
16
17

18 3.4. *Indicator species*

19
20 Six morphospecies showed *IndVal* values $\geq 25\%$ and significant associ-
21
22 ated probabilities at $\alpha = 0.05$: two earthworms, two centipedes, a termite
23
24 and a bristletail (Table 4). Five of these were associated to the old secondary
25
26 forest (traditional slash-and-burn shifting agriculture) while one earthworm
27
28 species, *Pontoscolex corethrurus*, was indicator of the abattis in the short
29
30 fallow system in the Aluku village of Maripasoula.
31

32 4. Discussion

33 4.1. *Forest logging and fire*

34
35 Forest clearing and burning had a strong impact upon soil macrofauna
36
37 species richness in Elahe. Soil macrofauna communities were dramatically
38
39 impoverished soon after fire (plot AB) and remained strongly affected three
40
41 years after crop establishment (plot EA). Tree harvesting is known to affect
42
43 the composition of soil faunal communities as well as food web structure in
44
45 various ways (Bengtsson et al., 1997). One direct and strong impact is the
46
47 change in soil climatic conditions (temperature, moisture) that is associated
48
49 to the direct exposure of soil to solar radiation (Matlack, 1993). These effects
50
51 are magnified by fire associated with forest logging. During fire, the temper-
52
53 ature of the surface soil can exceed 400 ° C (Gimeno-García et al., 2004) with
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 249 important consequences upon soil dwelling organisms and most notably non-
10 250 mobile species that inhabit litter layers and/or upper soil strata (Mathieu
11 251 et al., 2005; Abbott et al., 2003). Fire also indirectly affects soil fauna by
12 252 destroying epigeic microhabitats like decaying wood, fine twigs, dead plant
13 253 stems and leaves that serve as trophic resources or habitat for numerous
14 254 species (Mathieu et al., 2005).

20 255 Not surprisingly, the species pool observed in the recently burnt plot EB
21 256 was constituted either by species that withstand forest logging and burning
22 257 or by colonisers originating from adjacent areas.

23 258 After a few years of cropping, the species richness had increased but re-
24 259 mained low (plot EA) and ca. half the species richness of the abattis was
25 260 constituted by species also present in the forest (Table 2). One may hy-
26 261 pothesize that these species are eurytopic (species with large niche breadth)
27 262 that can withstand the environmental conditions that prevail in crop plots.
28 263 These results are fully in accordance with data collected in eastern Amazonia
29 264 (Brazil) (Mathieu et al., 2005).

30 265 Interestingly, forest clearing and the set up of manioc crop has a lower
31 266 impact on the observed richness in the region of Maripasoula where crop
32 267 rotation is more rapid. The abattis (MA) and the woody fallow (MF) share
33 268 more species than corresponding plots in Elahe (EA and EF): one reason for
34 269 this is that the plots that are logged and burnt in Maripasoula are woody
35 270 fallows ca. 7–8 yr-old and by no means old growth forests as in Elahe. The
36 271 species richness of such plots is low and roughly comparable to what is ob-
37 272 served in the abattis in the traditional slash-and-burn system in the native
38 273 Amerindian area of Elahe. These results indicate that agricultural intensi-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 274 fication leads to impoverishment of species richness and homogenization of
10
11 275 soil macrofaunal communities.

12
13 276 All but one species that were found to be indicators were associated to the
14
15 277 old secondary forest in Elahe (EF). Given huge environmental differences that
16
17 278 exist between the forest and other land-uses, forest specialists could be ex-
18
19 279 pected to have high *IndVal* values. This index encapsulates two contrasted
20
21 280 and complementary information, specificity and fidelity. Soil macroorgan-
22
23 281 isms generally display a highly aggregated spatial distribution (Ettema and
24
25 282 Wardle, 2002) and this is even more marked in the case of social insects
26
27 283 like termites or ants. This leads to high variance of abundance data and
28
29 284 may cause low values of the fidelity term B_{ij} and subsequently low and/or
30
31 285 not-significant *IndVal* index (Nahmani et al., 2006). Apart from specialist
32
33 286 species from the secondary forest, only one species was associated to crops
34
35 287 with short fallow periods, the pantropical endogeic earthworm *P. corethrurus*
36
37 288 (*Oligochaeta*, *Glossoscolecidae*). This peregrine species has been dispersed
38
39 289 worldwide by man and is probably indigenous to the Guianas plateau in
40
41 290 South America (Righi, 1984). This species exhibits a very good aptitude to
42
43 291 withstand soil disturbance and scarcity of organic matter and is abundant
44
45 292 in open areas over a wide range of tropical regions (Lavelle et al., 1987).
46
47 293 *P. corethrurus* has been shown to have the capacity to invade Amazonian
48
49 294 pastures and to cause soil degradation through physical compaction of the
50
51 295 soil surface (Chauvel et al., 1999). However, other studies showed that it
52
53 296 can incorporate charcoal to the topsoil thereby increasing its humus content
54
55 297 of the topsoil by adding finely powdered black carbon (Ponge et al., 2006;
56
57 298 Topoliantz and Ponge, 2003, 2005).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 299 *4.2. Landscape context and species diversity*

10
11 300 Our sampled soils differed in terms of physico-chemical parameters (e.g.
12 total C content) and these differences can be explained by land management
13 301 practices among which fallow duration. Land management can have direct
14 302 effects upon soil biodiversity as well as indirect effects through changes in
15 303 soil parameters like or C or N content. Both direct and indirect effects
16 304 convey land-use impacts on soil biodiversity. In that context, our plots can
17 305 be compared although our data do not allow unravelling such direct and
18 306 indirect effects.
19 307

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 308 Comparing Elahe and Maripasoula sites allows us to discuss the effects of
28 309 the reduction of fallow duration upon γ , i.e. regional diversity. The impact of
29 310 reduced fallow on the pooled richness was huge (145 versus 77 species in Elahe
30 311 and Maripasoula, respectively). The main difference between these species
31 312 pools is due to the low richness of the woody fallow (MF) in Maripasoula as
32 313 compared to the old secondary forest (EF) in Elahe. The woody fallow is the
33 314 typical habitat that is cut and burnt before crop settlement in the reduced
34 315 fallow system. There is no secondary forest nor older fallow left in the region
35 316 of Maripasoula where all areas accessible by foot or by canoe have been and
36 317 are still used for cultivation (Topoliantz et al., 2006). On the other hand,
37 318 abattis are similar in Elahe and Maripasoula in terms of richness (roughly
38 319 40 to 45 species) although the proportion of shared species is low ($\approx 21\%$).
39 320

40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49 320 Various mechanisms contribute to link α diversity to neighbouring land-
50 321 scape configuration (Dunning et al., 1992; Rossi and van Halder, in press).
51 322 Species richness in the abattis could be affected by the neighbouring species-
52 323 rich secondary forest or by woody fallows through the so-called “vicinism” or
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 324 “mass” effect (Zonneveld, 1995) whereby a flow of individuals originates from
11 325 species rich habitat and allows the presence of species in neighbouring habi-
12 326 tats where they are not self-maintaining (Shmida and Wilson, 1985). This
13 327 corresponds to the “spillover effect” by which species can be maintained in
14 328 unsuitable habitat patches in the framework of source-sink dynamics (Holt,
15 329 1997). In the context of accelerated crop rotation, the amount of land covered
16 330 by pristine habitats is very low if not zero. In the site of Elahe, the situation
17 331 is inverse, crops constituting a habitat distributed as small patches scattered
18 332 across a landscape essentially constituted of rich undisturbed or slightly dis-
19 333 turbed forests. In highly anthropised landscapes, the overall proportion of
20 334 species with high capacity to colonize abattis is larger and the landscape con-
21 335 text tends to favour a rapid colonization of newly settled fields. This is not the
22 336 case in the Amerindian region where abattis are hardly spatially connected.

23 337 *4.3. The importance of rare species*

24 338 We defined rare species as species collected as single individuals, single-
25 339 tons. All studied sites exhibited roughly 50% of singletons and an even larger
26 340 proportion of unique species. Such high values were reported e.g. for insect
27 341 communities associated to tropical trees (Novotný and Basset, 2000) and soil
28 342 macrofauna in Amazonian pastures (Rossi et al., 2006). Rarity can be a prob-
29 343 lem during data analysis and various indices have been proposed to correct
30 344 estimates of species richness and shared species (Colwell and Coddington,
31 345 1994; Chao et al., 2005). In this study we used a bootstrap correction of
32 346 species richness estimates which led to corrected estimates that were linearly
33 347 correlated to observed species richnesses. This indicated that our perception
34 348 of between-site similarity in terms of species richness were correct even when

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 349 solely based on raw data.

10
11 350 Statistics aside, rare species raise the question why are they so numerous.
12
13 351 First, rare species could be species that were inadequately sampled and this
14
15 352 must be considered with caution. Indeed, soils are highly variable habitats
16
17 353 with various nested sources of spatial heterogeneity that affected species dis-
18
19 354 tribution and hence short-scale diversity patterns (Mathieu et al., 2009). The
20
21 355 problem of sampling deficiency in estimating biodiversity in soils is discussed
22
23 356 elsewhere (Rossi et al., 2006) and could possibly be responsible for a certain
24
25 357 proportion of our rare species. It must be also noted that the TSBF sampling
26
27 358 protocol may not be optimal for certain type of organisms and notably litter
28
29 359 inhabiting species. Other sampling methods like Winkler bags may also be
30
31 360 used (Smith et al., 2008) while specific strategies have been developped for
32
33 361 social insects (termites) (Jones and Eggleton, 2000). On the other hand, the
34
35 362 “spillover effect” could lead to the presence of rare species simply because
36
37 363 they are transient species i.e. species that cannot maintain in one habitat
38
39 364 but originates from a neighbouring habitat that acts as a permanent source.
40
41 365 Distinguishing transient species is a very difficult task (Novotný and Basset,
42
43 366 2000) that would require a huge amount of additional field data (and direc-
44
45 367 tional activity traps) in our case. However, this is the only way to refine our
46
47 368 estimates of species richness while controlling for close neighbourhood effects
48
49 369 and therefore assessing landscape effects upon crop field species richness.

50
51 370 *4.4. Conservation and management of soil macrofaunal diversity in agro-*
52
53 371 *ecosystems*

54
55 372 It is broadly acknowledged that the conservation of soil macrofauna in
56
57 373 agroecosystems is an important aspect of sustainable agriculture (Brussaard

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 374 et al., 2007) but the spatial facet of that question have not yet received the
11 375 attention it requires (but see Tschardt et al., 2005). The landscape at Mari-
12 376 pasoula is dominated by highly disturbed areas and the direct consequence
13 377 is an erosion of γ diversity. Local species richness thus rely on colonization
14 378 from impoverished regional species pool. Swift et al. (2004) argue that the
15 379 management of biodiversity in agricultural context is more effective at the
16 380 landscape scale than at the local plot scale where strictly utilitarian options
17 381 prevail. Following this line of reasoning, and given that most forest species
18 382 are unlikely to maintain themselves within abattis, the management of γ
19 383 diversity may be based on the maintenance of fallow plots of a large range
20 384 of age and not only of pristine ecosystems. It is additionally important to
21 385 consider the spatial arrangement of these plots across the landscape. They
22 386 act as source of colonisers for newly abandoned field crops and as such their
23 387 spatial location may be of prime importance. The dispersal abilities of most
24 388 soil invertebrates are not very well documented but are certainly limited for
25 389 many species and this reinforces the importance of the distribution of the
26 390 source of colonisers within agricultural landscapes.

42 43 391 **5. Acknowledgements**

44
45
46 392 We are greatly indebted Gabriel Fossé (Maripasoula) who guided us
47 393 through the forest and the rivers, tremendously contributed to field work
48 394 and had the role of interpreter when we were at the village of Elahe. We also
49 395 want to thank everybody at Elahe and Maripasoula for their warm welcome
50 396 and giving access to their abattis. We thank Céline Houdin, Périne Lavelle,
51 397 Jocelyne Roman, Corinne Rouland and Stéphanie Topoliantz who helped in

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
398 the fieldwork. We are indebted to Guy Pallaud (ONF, Maripasoula) for his
10
11 help and interest in this project when we stayed in Maripasoula in 2000. This
12
13 work was conducted in the framework of the SOFT programme “Effets des
14
15 pratiques culturelles traditionnelles sur la fertilité des sols et la régénération
16
17 de la forêt du sud de la Guyane” coordinated by J. M. Betsch et S. Bahuchet
18
19 and funded by the French Ministry of the Environment. We thank two
20
21 anonymous reviewers for their useful comments on an earlier version of the
22
23 manuscript
24

25 26 **References**

- 27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- 407 Abbott, I., Burbidge, T., Strehlow, K., Mellican, A., Wills, A., 2003. Log-
408 logging and burning impacts on cockroaches, crickets and grasshoppers, and
409 spiders in Jarrah forest, Western Australia. *Forest. Ecol. Manage.* 174,
410 383–399.
- 411 Anderson, J., Ingram, J., 1993. *Tropical Soil Biology and Fertility: a Hand-*
412 *book of Methods.* CAB International, Oxford.
- 413 Bengtsson, J., Persson, T., Lundkvist, H., 1997. Long-term effects of logging
414 residue addition and removal on macroarthropods and enchytraeids. *J.*
415 *Appl. Ecol.* 34, 1014–1022.
- 416 Brussaard, L., de Ruiter, P. C., Brown, G. G., 2007. Soil biodiversity for
417 agricultural sustainability. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 121, 233–244.
- 418 Chao, A., Chazdon, R. L., Colwell, R. K., Shen, T.-J., 2005. A new statistical
419 approach for assessing similarity of species composition with incidence and
420 abundance data. *Ecol. Lett.* 8, 148–159.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
421 Chauvel, A., Grimaldi, M., Barros, E., Blanchart, E., Desjardins, T., Sar-
10 razin, M., Lavelle, P., 1999. Pasture damage by an Amazonian earthworm.
11 Nature 398, 32–33.
12
13
14
15
16
424 Chessel, D., Dufour, A.-B., Thioulouse, J., 2004. The ade4 package-I: One-
17 table methods. R News 4, 5–10.
18
19
20
426 Colwell, R. K., Coddington, J. A., 1994. Estimating terrestrial biodiversity
21 through extrapolation. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 345, 101–118.
22
23
428 Dufrière, M., Legendre, P., 1997. Species assemblages and indicator species:
24 the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. Ecol. Monogr. 67, 345–366.
25
26
429
30 Dunning, J. B., Danielson, B. J., Pulliam, H. R., 1992. Ecological processes
31 that affect populations in complex landscapes. Oikos 65, 169–175.
32
33
432 Ettema, C., Wardle, D., 2002. Spatial soil ecology. Trend. Ecol. Evol. 17,
34 177–183.
35
36
434 Fleury, M., 1998. Les populations du Haut-Maroni et le projet du Parc Na-
37 tional de la Guyane. JATBA 40, 577–610.
38
39
436 Gimeno-García, E., Andreu, V., Rubio, J., 2004. Spatial patterns of soil
40 temperatures during experimental fires. Geoderma 118, 17–38.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
438 Gower, J., 1966. Some distance properties of latent root and vector methods
50 used in multivariate analysis. Biometrika 53, 325–338.
51
52
440 Grandisson, M., 1997. Diagnostic de la reproductibilité de la fertilité dans les
53 abattis de la région ouest-Guyane: étude des stratégies de gestion et anal-
54 yse des trajectoires d'évolution des composantes de la fertilité en fonction
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- 443 du milieu et des modes de mise en valeur. Ph. thesis, Université Antilles-
444 Guyane, Cayenne, France.
- 445 Holt, R. D., 1997. From metapopulation dynamics to community structure
446 - some consequences of spatial heterogeneity In: Hanski, I. A., Gilpin,
447 M. E. (Eds.), Metapopulation Biology - Ecology, Genetics, and Evolution.
448 Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 149–164.
- 449 Jones, D. T., Eggleton, P., 2000. Sampling termite assemblages in tropical
450 forests: testing a rapid biodiversity assessment protocol. J. Appl. Ecol. 37,
451 191–203.
- 452 Lavelle, P., Barois, I., Cruz, C., Hernandez, A., Pineda, A., Rangel, P.,
453 1987. Adaptative strategies of *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (Glossoscolecidae,
454 Oligochæta), a peregrine geophagous earthworm of the humid tropics. Biol.
455 Fert. Soils 5, 188–194.
- 456 Lavelle, P., Decaëns, T., Aubert, M., Barot, S., Blouin, M., Bureau, F.,
457 Margerie, P., Mora, P., Rossi, J.-P., 2006. Soil invertebrates and ecosystem
458 services. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 42, S3–S15.
- 459 Legendre, P., Legendre, L., 1998. Numerical Ecology, Second English Edition.
460 Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 461 Manly, B., 1997. Randomization and Monte Carlo methods in Biology. Chap-
462 man & Hall, London.
- 463 Mathieu, J., Grimaldi, M., Rouland, C., Lavelle, P., Desjardin, T., Rossi, J.-

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 464 P., 2009. Variations in soil macrofauna biodiversity with spatial patterns
11 465 of grasses in Amazonian pastures. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41, 586–593.
12
13
14 466 Mathieu, J., Rossi, J.-P., Grimaldi, M., P., M., Lavelle, P., Rouland, C.,
15
16 467 2004. A multi-scale study of soil macrofauna biodiversity in Amazonian
17
18 468 pastures. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 40, 300–305.
19
20 469 Mathieu, J., Rossi, J.-P., Mora, P., Lavelle, P., Martins, P. F. D. S., Rouland,
21
22 470 C., Grimaldi, M., 2005. Recovery of soil macrofauna communities after
23
24 471 forest clearance in Eastern Amazonia, Brazil. *Conserv. Biol.* 19, 1598–
25
26 472 1605.
27
28
29 473 Matlack, G. R., 1993. Microenvironment variation within and among forest
30
31 474 edge sites in the eastern United States. *Biol. Conserv.* 66, 185–194.
32
33
34 475 Matson, P. A., Parton, W. J., Power, A. G., Swift, M. J., 1997. Agricultural
35
36 476 intensification and ecosystem properties. *Science* 277, 504–509.
37
38
39 477 Nahmani, J., Lavelle, P., Rossi, J.-P., 2006. Does changing the taxonomical
40
41 478 resolution alter the value of soil macroinvertebrate as bioindicators of metal
42
43 479 pollution? *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38, 385–396.
44
45
46 480 Novotný, V., Basset, Y., 2000. Rare species in communities of tropical insect
47
48 481 herbivores: pondering the mystery of singletons. *Oikos* 89, 564–572.
49
50 482 Oksanen, J., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O’Hara, B., Simpson, G., Stevens, M.,
51
52 483 Wagner, H., 2008. *vegan: Community Ecology Package*. R package version
53
54 484 1.13-1. <http://vegan.r-forge.r-project.org/>
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
485 Pillar, V. D., 1999. The bootstrapped ordination re-examined. *J. Veg. Sci.*
10 10, 895–902.
11
12
13
14 487 Poncy, O., Sabatier, D., Prévost, M.F., Hardy, I., 2001. The lowland high
15 rainforest: structure and tree species diversity. In: Bongers, F., Charles-
16 Dominique, P., Forget, P.M., Théry, M. (Eds.), *Nouragues: Dynamics and*
17
18 489 *Plant-Animal Interactions in a Neotropical Rainforest*. Academic Press,
19
20 490 San Diego, pp. 149–164.
21
22
23
24 492 Ponge, J., Topoliantz, S., Ballof, S., Rossi, J.-P., Lavelle, P., Betsch, J.,
25
26 493 Gaucher, P., 2006. Ingestion of charcoal by the amazonian earthworm
27
28 494 *Pontoscolex corethrurus*: a potential for tropical soil fertility. *Soil Biol.*
29
30 495 *Biochem.* 38, 2008–2009.
31
32
33 496 Quinn, G., Keough, M., 2002. *Experimental Design and Data Analysis for*
34
35 497 *Biologists*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
36
37
38 498 R Development Core Team, 2008. *R: A Language and Environment for Sta-*
39
40 499 *tistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Aus-
41
42 500 tria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL <http://www.R-project.org>.
43
44
45 501 Righi, G., 1984. *Pontoscolex* (Oligochæta, Glossoscolecidae): a new evalua-
46
47 502 tion. *Stud. Neotrop. Fauna Environ.* 19, 159–177.
48
49
50 503 Roberts, D., 2007. labdsv: Ordination and Multivariate Analysis for Ecology.
51
52 504 R package version 1.3-1. <http://ecology.msu.montana.edu/labdsv/R>
53
54
55 505 Rossi, J.-P., Blanchart, E., 2005. Seasonal and land-use induced variations
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 506 of soil macrofauna composition in the Western Ghats (South India). *Soil*
10 *Biol. Biochem.* 37, 1093–1104.
11
12
13
14 508 Rossi, J.-P., van Halder, I., in press. Towards indicators of butterfly bio-
15 diversity based on a multiscale landscape description. *Ecol. Indic.*, DOI:
16 10.1016/j.ecolind.2009.07.016.
17
18
19
20 511 Rossi, J.-P., Mathieu, J., Cooper, M., Grimaldi, M., 2006. Soil macrofaunal
21 biodiversity in Amazonian pastures: matching sampling with patterns. *Soil*
22 *Biol. Biochem.* 38, 2178–2187.
23
24
25
26
27 514 Shmida, A., Wilson, M. V., 1985. Biological determinants of species diversity.
28 *J. Biogeogr.* 12, 1–20.
29
30
31
32 516 Smith, J., Potts, S., Eggleton, P., 2008. Evaluating the efficiency of sampling
33 methods in assessing soil macrofauna communities in arable systems. *Eur.*
34 *J. Soil Biol.* 44, 271–276.
35
36
37
38 519 Swift, M. J., Izac, A. M. N., van Noordwijk, M., 2004. Biodiversity and
39 ecosystem services in agricultural landscapes—are we asking the right ques-
40 tions? *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 104, 113–134.
41
42
43
44
45 522 Topoliantz, S., Ponge, J. F., 2003. Burrowing activity of the geophagous
46 earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (Oligochaeta : Glossoscolecidae) in
47 the presence of charcoal. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 23, 267–271.
48
49
50
51 525 Topoliantz, S., Ponge, J. F., 2005. Charcoal consumption and casting activity
52 by *Pontoscolex corethrurus* (Glossoscolecidae). *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 28, 217–
53 224.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 528 Topoliantz, S., Ponge, J. F., Lavelle, P., 2006. Humus components and bio-
10 genic structures under tropical slash-and-burn agriculture. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*
11 529 57, 269–278.
12
13 530
14
15 531 Tschardtke, T., Klein, A. M., Krues, A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Thies, C.,
16 2005. Landscape perspectives on agricultural intensification and biodiver-
17 532 sity – ecosystem service management. *Ecol. Lett.* 8, 857–874.
18
19 533
20
21
22 534 Zonneveld, I. S., 1995. Vicinism and mass effect. *J. Veg. Sci.* 6, 441–444.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1: Soil macrofaunal diversity in southern French Guiana. EF and EB correspond to the same plot sampled in 1999 and 2000 was burnt in between.

Sites	Acronym	n	date	mean density (ind.m ⁻²)	S_{obs}	S_{corr}	singleton	unique
Elahe (traditional slash-and-burn)								
Secondary forest (≥ 100 -yr)	EF	30	July 1999	1781.9 (289.8)	121 (8.3)	151.2	56 (46.3%)	76 (62.8%)
Abbatis (3-yr)	EA	30	July 1999	541.3 (92)	45 (4.2)	56.8	20 (44.4%)	29 (64.4%)
Recently burnt forest	EB	30	May 2000	224.5 (60.8)	22 (2.1)	27	11 (50%)	12 (54.5%)
Maripasoula								
Woody fallow (8-yr)	MF	30	May 2000	645.3 (76.5)	41 (3.7)	51.6	21 (51.2%)	26 (63.4%)
Abbatis (1-yr)	MA	30	July 1999	1109.9 (271.2)	54 (4.6)	69.4	29 (53.7%)	39 (72.2%)

S_{obs} : observed species richness, S_{corr} : bias-corrected species richness, singleton: species with at most one individual, unique: species encountered in only one sample. Percentages for singletons and uniques are computed with reference to the total species richness in the site. Standard errors for mean density and S_{obs} are indicated in parentheses.

Table 2: Observed species richness and shared species of soil macrofauna in different land-uses in a traditional Amerindian slash-and-burn system (region of Elahe, southern French Guiana).

$S_{\bullet} = 145$	EF	EA	EB
EF	121 (83.4%)	24 (142)	9 (134)
EA	17%	45 (31%)	6 (61)
EB	6.7%	9.8%	22 (15.2%)

539 EF: Secondary forest, EA: Abbatis, EB: recently burnt forest, S_{\bullet} : total
540 species richness (all sites pooled). On diagonal: observed species richness and
541 corresponding ratio to the total number of species (in parentheses). Above
542 diagonal: absolute number of species shared by site pairs and total number of
543 species collected in site pairs. Below diagonal: ratio of the number of shared
544 species to the total number of species in site pairs.

hal-00493992, version 1-21 Jun 2010

Table 3: Observed species richness and shared species of soil macrofauna in different land-uses in a short-fallow system (region of Maripasoula, southern French Guiana).

$S_{\bullet}=77$	MF	MA
MF	54 (70.1%)	21 (74)
MA	28.4%	41 (53.2%)

MF: Secondary forest, MA: Abbatis, S_{\bullet} : total species richness (all sites pooled). On diagonal: observed species richness and corresponding ratio to the total number of species (in parentheses). Above diagonal: absolute number of species shared by site pairs and total number of species collected in site pairs. Below diagonal: ratio of the number of shared species to the total number of species in site pairs.

hal-00493992, version 1-21 Jun 2010

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 4: Soil macrofaunal indicator species in agricultural landscapes in southern French Guiana. IndVal values were tested using permutation tests ($n = 1000$). See text for definitions and Table 1 for land-use acronyms.

Species	group	Specificity	Fidelity	<i>IndVal</i>	<i>p</i>	Land-use
<i>Pontoscolex corethrurus</i>	Oligochaeta	0.38	0.97	36.9	0.001	MA
morphospecies 1	Diplura	0.92	0.33	30.4	0.001	EF
morphospecies 2	Isoptera	0.84	0.57	47.9	0.001	EF
morphospecies 3	Chilopoda	0.79	0.43	34	0.001	EF
morphospecies 4	Chilopoda	0.68	0.43	29.2	0.001	EF
morphospecies 1	Lumbricida	0.51	0.67	34.2	0.001	EF

hal-00493992, version 1-21 Jun 2010

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

551 **Figure captions**

552

553 **Figure 1**

554 Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) of soil macrofaunal communities in
555 different land-uses in southern French Guiana. Projection of site scores in
556 the plane defined by Axes 1 (horizontal) and 2 (vertical). Labels are placed
557 at the centre of gravity of each site and are linked to each sample of the
558 corresponding site. See Table 1 for site codes.

559

560

